

S-3.23

feasible forest /

dat / true-fs-draws.csv \rightarrow s n draw hill1

true-fs-pars.csv \rightarrow s n meanhill1 sdhill1

train-fs-pars.csv \rightarrow s n meanhill1 sdhill1

test-fs-pars.csv \rightarrow s n meanhill1 sdhill1

logseries-samples.csv \rightarrow s n hill1

rf-predicted-pars.csv \rightarrow s n meanhill1 sdhill1

rf-predicted-draws.csv \rightarrow s n draw hill1

R /

obs to
draws
(%ile)

obs to
 $N(\mu, \sigma)$
(z score)

generate
draws from
 $N(\mu, \sigma)$

reports /

comparison of
LS \rightarrow FS
via:

- obs to draws (%ile)
- obs to FS $N(\mu, \sigma)$ (z)
- obs to predicted draws (%ile)
- obs to predicted $N(\mu, \sigma)$ (z)

question is: comparison of a,b to c,d.
d is the least computationally
intensive method.