Appendix S1 - Full model results

Contents

Co	ompensation & total energy use	2
	Compensation	2
	Total energy use	2
Co	ommunity composition	9
	Kangaroo rat proportional energy use	3
	C. baileyi proportional energy use	3
## ##	Attaching package: 'dplyr'	
## ##	The following objects are masked from 'package:stats':	
##		
## ##	The following objects are masked from 'package:base':	
##	intersect, setdiff, setequal, union	
## ##	Attaching package: 'nlme'	
## ##	The following object is masked from 'package:dplyr':	
##		
##	Loading in data version 3.25.0	
##	Joining, by = "plot"	
##	Loading in data version 3.25.0	
##	Joining, by = "plot"	
	Joining, by = "period" Joining, by = "period"	

Compensation & total energy use

Compensation

```
## [1] 19
## Joining, by = "oera"
```

Table S1. Coefficients from GLS for compensation

```
## Value Std.Error t-value p-value
## (Intercept) 0.34503129 0.02949958 11.696141 8.903067e-27
## oera.L 0.06479327 0.05241035 1.236269 2.172146e-01
## oera.Q -0.28335529 0.04773594 -5.935890 7.228121e-09
```

Table S2. Estimates from GLS for compensation

```
## oera emmean SE df lower.CL upper.CL
## 1 a_pre_pb 0.1835362 0.05203782 43.00020 0.07859198 0.2884805
## 2 b_pre_reorg 0.5763899 0.04626406 46.19839 0.48327599 0.6695038
## 3 c_post_reorg 0.2751677 0.05280098 45.59188 0.16885920 0.3814763
```

Table S3. Contrasts from GLS for compensation

```
## contrast estimate SE df t.ratio
## 1    a_pre_pb - b_pre_reorg -0.39285369 0.06894132 46.70328 -5.698378
## 2    a_pre_pb - c_post_reorg -0.09163152 0.07411943 44.37665 -1.236269
## 3 b_pre_reorg - c_post_reorg 0.30122217 0.06949891 48.30465 4.334200
## p.value
## 1 2.319161e-06
## 2 4.384824e-01
## 3 2.149599e-04
```

Total energy use

Table S4. Coefficients from GLS on total energy ratio

```
## Value Std.Error t-value p-value
## (Intercept) 0.5016731 0.02711764 18.499880 2.562257e-53
## oera.L 0.1413504 0.04776457 2.959316 3.300140e-03
## oera.Q -0.2503659 0.04293122 -5.831790 1.279286e-08
```

Table S5. Estimates from GLS on total energy ratio

```
## oera emmean SE df lower.CL upper.CL
## 1 a_pre_pb 0.2995118 0.04758060 36.07948 0.2030213 0.3960023
## 2 b_pre_reorg 0.7060960 0.04197730 38.39277 0.6211459 0.7910460
## 3 c_post_reorg 0.4994115 0.04800663 37.50364 0.4021849 0.5966381
```

Table S6. Contrasts from GLS on total energy ratio

```
## contrast estimate SE df t.ratio
## 1 a_pre_pb - b_pre_reorg -0.4065842 0.06233984 40.38396 -6.522060
## 2 a_pre_pb - c_post_reorg -0.1998997 0.06754930 37.00046 -2.959316
## 3 b_pre_reorg - c_post_reorg 0.2066845 0.06264557 41.31271 3.299267
## p.value
## 1 2.486739e-07
## 2 1.445901e-02
## 3 5.564041e-03
```

Community composition

Kangaroo rat proportional energy use

```
## Warning in eval(family$initialize): non-integer #successes in a binomial glm!
```

Table S7. Coefficients from GLM on Dipodomys energy use.

```
## Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
## (Intercept) 1.4032480 0.1503201 9.335068 1.009263e-20
## oera.L -1.1000833 0.2871738 -3.830723 1.277674e-04
## oera.Q 0.5855493 0.2304516 2.540878 1.105745e-02
```

Table S8. Estimates from GLM on Dipodomys energy use.

```
## oera prob SE df asymp.LCL asymp.UCL
## 1 a_pre_pb 0.9183528 0.02564615 Inf 0.8680872 0.9686183
## 2 b_pre_reorg 0.7160901 0.03985374 Inf 0.6379782 0.7942020
## 3 c_post_reorg 0.7035835 0.04566769 Inf 0.6140765 0.7930905
```

Table S9. Contrasts from GLM on Dipodomys energy use.

```
## contrast estimate SE df z.ratio p.value
## 1 a_pre_pb - b_pre_reorg 0.20226269 0.04739247 Inf 4.2678236 5.861775e-05
## 2 a_pre_pb - c_post_reorg 0.21476925 0.05237616 Inf 4.1005151 1.220401e-04
## 3 b_pre_reorg - c_post_reorg 0.01250656 0.06061236 Inf 0.2063368 9.768027e-01
```

C. baileyi proportional energy use

```
## Warning in eval(family$initialize): non-integer #successes in a binomial glm!
## Warning in eval(family$initialize): non-integer #successes in a binomial glm!
## Warning in eval(family$initialize): non-integer #successes in a binomial glm!
```

Note that a model fit as pb_proportional_energy_use ~ era + treatment + era:treatment does not outperform a model fit without the interaction term, or pb_proportional_energy_use ~ era + treatment (AIC for the no interaction model = 231 compared to 237 for the interaction model; p-value for an anova Chi-squared comparison of the two models = 0.15). We therefore use the model without the interaction term.

Table S10. Coefficients from GLM on C. baileyi energy use

```
## Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
## (Intercept) -1.574028 0.1670168 -9.424367 4.327058e-21
## oera.L -1.409273 0.2010398 -7.009921 2.384524e-12
## oplottype.L 2.184896 0.2267112 9.637355 5.560233e-22
```

Table S11. Estimates from GLM on C. baileyi energy use

```
## oera prob SE df asymp.LCL asymp.UCL
## 1 b_pre_reorg 0.3595031 0.03966444 Inf 0.28176221 0.4372440
## 2 c_post_reorg 0.0710590 0.01702653 Inf 0.03768761 0.1044304
```

Table S12. Contrasts from GLM on C. baileyi energy use.

```
## contrast estimate SE df z.ratio p.value ## 1 b_pre_reorg - c_post_reorg 0.2884441 0.04036733 Inf 7.145484 8.96796e-13
```