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# Abstract

Energetic compensation can occur in a community when declines in abundance from some species are offset by compensatory gains in others such that community-level metabolic flux is maintained, consistent with a zero-sum competitive dynamic. For energetic compensation to occur in niche structured communities, species must overlap in both their resource use and their match to broader biotic and abiotic conditions. Over time, shifting conditions may modulate the degree of functional overlap between species and cause energetic compensation to shift or break down, even within a consistent set of species. Using a 40-year manipulative experiment on competition in desert rodents, we explored how changing environmental conditions and associated changes in rodent community composition have affected energetic compensation. We find that, while this system has displayed strong energetic compensation in the past, energetic compensation has broken down following a drought and community reorganization event in 2010. These results demonstrate that energetic compensation is a shifting, contingent phenomenon that depends strongly on metacommunity effects, including niche tracking, dispersal, and species pool constraints. Zero-sum compensatory dynamics, and the maintenance of assemblage-level function despite species’ declines, may therefore manifest over long timescales, driven by metacommunity and even macroevolutionary processes.
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# Introduction

Determining the circumstances under which community-level properties are sensitive to changing species composition, and how this sensitivity changes over time, is key for understanding how communities are structured and how community function may respond to future perturbations. For communities of consumers with a shared resource base, the total metabolic flux (‘total energy use’, *Etot*) of a community reflects the total amount of resources being taken up by that assemblage, and is an important metric of community function (Ernest et al. 2008; White et al. 2004; non-weec refs). The extent to which a community’s *Etot* is robust to changing species composition, and specifically declines or losses of particular species, depends on both the initial composition of the community, and on the potential for compensation from the species that remain. Species loss can affect *Etot* directly through the loss of the energy use accounted for by the species that are lost. However, if the remaining species in a community are sufficiently similar to the lost species, these remaining species may be able to exploit a large proportion of the resources originally used by the species that were lost, and offset the decline in *Etot* directly caused by species loss. This phenomenon is known as energetic compensation (Ernest and Brown 2001; Kelt 2015). When it occurs, near-complete energetic compensation is consistent with a zero-sum competitive dynamic, in which resources not being used by one species are readily absorbed by other competitors, and any increases in abundance from one species must come at the direct expense of resources being used by other species (Van Valen 1973, Ernest et al. 2008). By definition, strong energetic compensation also renders community-level energy use relatively stable despite species-level fluctuations (Houlahan et al. 2007; Ernest et al. 2008).

Changing conditions over time may alter the sensitivity of a community’s *Etot* to the loss of particular species, either through changes to baseline community composition – and therefore the direct impact of species loss on *Etot* – or through changes in the degree of energetic compensation achieved by the remaining species. These distinct pathways are difficult to disentangle from short-term or observational data, and indeed are sometimes treated interchangeably (Ernest and Brown 2001; Thibault et al 2010; Bledsoe and Ernest 2019). However, they have different implications for community structure and function. Changes in energetic compensation over time signal changes in the competitive dynamics of an assemblage, either driven by metacommunity processes or by changes in the functional overlap among the species already present in an assemblage. If the species present in a local community lack the traits necessary to exploit the resources made available through species loss, but species with the appropriate traits are present in a larger regional species pool, the necessary species may disperse to the local community and greatly increase energetic compensation (as documented in Ernest and Brown 2001).Energetic compensation may also wax and wane, even within the same set of species, if shifting conditions affect the species in an assemblage in different ways and alter the extent to which they can compensate for each other (Chesson 2001; Loreau 2004). Fluctuations in energetic compensation, especially within a consistent set of species, would mean that zero-sum dynamics, and their implications for short-term population dynamics and long-term eco-evolutionary processes, are intermittent and context-dependent. However, changes in the sensitivity of *Etot* to species loss may also occur without changes to energetic compensation, if the baseline community composition changes. That is, if the proportion of *Etot* accounted for by the species that are eventually lost differs under different conditions, the loss of those species will naturally result in different impacts to *Etot*, independent of changes to energetic compensation.

Here, we investigate how shifting conditions affect the sensitivity of *Etot* to species loss, using a 30-year experiment on desert rodents to disentangle the effects of changes to dispersal limitation, functional overlap, and baseline community composition on *Etot*. In this study system, kangaroo rats – the largest, and behaviorally and competitively dominant, species in the rodent community – have been removed from experimental plots since 1977. Comparing species- and community-level energy use between manipulated and unmanipulated plots allows for direct assessment of how *Etot* responds to species loss, as well as the extent to which energetic compensation and baseline community composition account for this response (Ernest and Brown 2001; Thibault et al. 2010). Long-term monitoring of this experiment has documented repeated shifts in the habitat and species composition of this system, resulting in distinct time periods characterized by different habitat conditions and configurations of the rodent community (Christensen et al. 2018). By making comparisons across these time periods, we explored how changes to dispersal limitation, functional overlap between species, and baseline community composition have contributed to changes in energetic compensation and *Etot* over time*.*

Specifically, in 1996, an abrupt reorganization event occurred in the rodent community when a new species of pocket mouse, *Chaetodipus baileyi*, established at the site (Ernest and Brown 2001; Christensen et al. 2018). *C. balieyi* is more similar in size, and presumably other traits, to kangaroo rats than are the other species found at the site, and its rise to dominance at the site drove a pronounced increase in energetic compensation (Ernest and Brown 2001).Following a second reorganization event ca. 2010, *C. baileyi* has become relatively scarce, but remains present in the community (Christensen et al. 2018). Finally, over the course of this experiment, the habitat at the study site has transitioned from desert grassland to scrub, driving a shift in baseline rodent community composition away from kangaroo rats and favoring other, smaller, granivores (Ernest et al. 2008).

# Methods

## All data and code are available at [www.github.com/diazrenata/squareone](http://www.github.com/diazrenata/squareone). All analyses were conducted in R version 4.0.3 (R Core Team 2020).

## The Portal Project

The Portal Project consists of a set of 24 fenced experimental plots located approximately 7 miles east of Portal, AZ, USA, on unceded land of the Chiricahua Apache. Beginning in 1977, kangaroo rats (*Dipodomys spectabilis, D. merriami,* and *D. ordii*) have been experimentally excluded from a subset of these plots (exclosures), while all other rodents are allowed access through small holes cut in the plot fencing. Control plots, with larger holes, are accessible to all rodents, including kangaroo rats. Rodents on all plots are censused monthly. For additional details on the site and methodology of the Portal Project, see Ernest et al. (2019).

## Data

We use data for 4 control and 5 exclosure plots from January 1988 until January 2020. The experimental treatments for some plots have changed over time, and we used the subset of plots that have had the same treatments for the longest period of time (Ernest et al. 2019). We broke this timeseries into three time periods corresponding to major transitions in the rodent community: from January 1988 to June 1997; from July 1997, which is the first census period in which *C. baileyi* was captured on all exclosure plots at the site (Bledsoe and Ernest 2020), until January 2010, which is approximately when the most recent reorganization event occurred (Christensen et al. 2018); and from January 2010 until January 2020, when data collection was interrupted by the COVID-19 pandemic. For each individual rodent captured, we estimated the individual-level metabolic rate as 5.69 \* (*m*0.75), where *m* is body mass in grams (White et al. 2004). We calculated treatment and species-level energy use as the sum of the appropriate individuals’ metabolic rates. All data were accessed using the R package *portalr* (Christensen et al. 2019b).

## Rodent community energy use

For all variables, we combined data for all plots within a treatment in each monthly census period and calculated treatment-level means. This is necessary to calculate energetic compensation, and we treated other variables in the same way to maintain consistency. To measure the overall impact of kangaroo rat removal on *Etot*, we calculated the ratio of treatment-level *Etot* for kangaroo-rat exclosure plots relative to unmanipulated control plots (Thibault et al 2010; Bledsoe and Ernest 2019). This quantity is distinct from energetic compensation, which we defined as the proportion of the energy made available by removing kangaroo rats from the community that is taken up via compensatory increases in energy use by small granivores (all granivorous species other than kangaroo rats; *Baiomys taylori, C. baileyi, Chaetodipus hispidus, Chaetodipus intermedius, C. penicillatus, Perognathus flavus, Peromyscus eremicus, Peromyscus leucopus, Peromyscus maniculatus, Reithrodontomys fulvescens, Reithrodontomys megalotis,* and *Reithrodontomys montanus*). We calculated this as (*SGE - SGC)/KRC* where *SGE* and *SGC* are the amount of energy used by small granivores on exclosure and control plots, respectively, and *KRC* is the amount of energy used by kangaroo rats on control plots (Ernest and Brown 2001). To compare these variables across time periods, we used generalized least squares models (the R package *nlme*; Pinheiro et al. 2020), accounting for temporal autocorrelation, using the form *response ~ time period* + *CORCA1(census period)*, and calculated estimates and contrasts using the R package *emmeans* (Lenth 2021). To evaluate change in baseline community composition over time, we calculated the proportion of treatment-level energy use accounted for by kangaroo rats on control plots in each census period. Because proportional abundance is bounded 0-1 and is therefore not appropriate for generalized least squares, we compared values across time periods using a generalized linear model with a quasibinomial link function. Finally, we calculated the proportional energy use of d. C. baileyi was not present at the site prior to 1996, and we restricted the analysis of *C. baileyi* proportional energy use to July 1997-2020. We compared *C. baileyi* proportional energy use over time and across treatments using a quasibinomial generalized linear model of the form *response ~ time period. \* treatment.*

# Results

    The sensitivity of Etot to kangaroo rat removal has changed repeatedly over time, through a combination of abrupt shifts in energetic compensation driven by *C. baileyi*, and long-term changes in baseline community composition sitewide. The first shift occurred around 1996, when *C. baileyi* - which had not previously been observed at the site - established in the community (see also Ernest and Brown 2001). *C. baileyi* rapidly became dominant on exclosure plots and dramatically increased energetic compensation, from an average of X% from 1988-1996 to an average of X% from 1996-2010. With *C. baileyi*’s addition to the community, the ratio of Etot on exclosures relative to controls increased from X% to X%. In the second shift, beginning around 2010, *C. baileyi*’s abundance sitewide dropped precipitously, from an average of X% of Etot from 1996-2010 to X% from 2010-2020 on exclosure plots (and from X to X on control plots). No other species of small granivore made compensatory gains to offset the decline in *C. baileyi*. As a result, energetic compensation declined from an average of X% to X%, a level not significantly different from the X% observed prior to *C. baileyi*’s establishment at the site. Somewhat paradoxically, while the ratio of Etot on exclosures relative to controls also dropped following *C. baileyi*’s decline, from an average of X% from 1996-2010 to X% from 2010-2020, it remained higher than its average of X% from 1988-1996. Over the course of the experiment, rodent community composition shifted sitewide, such that in later years, kangaroo rats account for a lower proportion of baseline Etot than they did at the beginning of the study. Because the proportion of *Etot* directly lost to kangaroo rat removal was smaller from 2010-2020 than from 1988-1996, the ratio of EtotX to EtotC was higher from 2010-2020 than it was from 1988-1996 - even though there was not a detectable difference between the two time periods in the proportion of that loss being offset through energetic compensation.

# Discussion

    The dynamics of rodent community energy use at Portal illustrate that the sensitivity of Etot to species loss fluctuates over time, and that the processes driving these fluctuations have changed over time. First, while the shift in 1996 highlighted dispersal limitation as a constraint on energetic compensation, the shift in 2010 demonstrates that changing functional overlap, within the same set of species, can have major consequences for energetic compensation. The 1996 increase in compensation, driven by *C. baileyi*’s establishment at the site, was a clear and compelling example of colonization from the regional species pool overcoming dispersal limitation. That is, while the small granivore species originally present in the community did not possess the traits necessary to exploit the resources made available through kangaroo rat removal, *C. baileyi* supplied those traits and substantially restored community function on exclosure plots. In contrast, following the transition in 2010, *C. baileyi* remained present in the community, butceased to operate as a functional replacement for kangaroo rats. This is consistent with fluctuating conditions modulating the degree of functional overlap between similar, but non-identical, competitors. Kangaroo rats and *C. baileyi* are relatively similar in size and are demonstrably capable of using similar resources. However, *C. baileyi* prefers different, shrubbier microhabitats than kangaroo rats, and the two groups have been found to trade off in dominance over adjacent habitats. We suggest that this study site, which has historically been dominated by kangaroo rats, constitutes marginal habitat for *C. baileyi*, and that, while conditions from 1996-2010 aligned sufficiently with *C. baileyi*’s requirements to create substantial functional overlap between kangaroo rats and *C. baileyi*, conditions since 2010 have caused this overlap to break down. Specifically, *C. baileyi*’s decline occurred immediately following a period of low plant productivity and low rodent abundance community-wide (Christensen et al. 2018). In the decade following, the site experienced two long and severe droughts interspersed with an exceptionally wet period (Christensen et al. 2018; Figure 2). These extreme conditions may themselves have limited *C. baileyi’s* fitness at the site, or the community-wide low abundance event may have temporarily overcome incumbency effects and triggered a community reorganization tracking longer-term habitat shifts (Thibault and Brown 2008; Christensen et al. 2018). Regardless of the proximate cause of *C. baileyi*’s decline, the fact that *C. balieyi* remains in the community, but no longer compensates for kangaroo rats, illustrates that changing conditions can have profound effects on energetic compensation by modulating the degree of functional overlap within a consistent set of species.

Second, the difference in EtotX/EtotC between 1998-1996 and 2010-2020 demonstrates that changes in baseline community composition can decouple energetic compensation from the overall impact of species loss on community function. As the habitat at the site became shrubbier, small granivores increased their share of *Etot* on control plots. However, despite favorable habitat conditions for small granivores, there has not been an increase in the share of energy being used by kangaroo rats that small granivores - other than *C. baileyi* - are capable of exploiting when kangaroo rats are removed. This may reflect some degree of consistent resource partitioning between kangaroo rats and these species of small granivores, possibly related to spatial foraging zones. Kangaroo rats are bipedal and readily forage in open, exposed microhabitats, while smaller granivores rely on vegetation cover as an antipredator defense and restrict their foraging to sheltered microhabitats. Even while shrub cover at the site increased, kangaroo rats may have continued to use resources located in open areas. These resources may have remained inaccessible to small granivores, even on plots where kangaroo rats were removed - leading to an increase in the overall proportion of resources being used by these small granivores, but no increase in their capacity to compensate for kangaroo rat removal. While kangaroo rat removal resulted in a smaller loss of *Etot* from 2010-2020 than it did from 1988-1996, this difference was driven by a shift in baseline community composition tracking long-term changes in habitat, and not a change in the compensatory dynamic between kangaroo rats and the remaining species in the community.

Overall, the long-term changes in energy use among the Portal rodents underscore the importance of multiple metacommunity processes to the maintenance of community function following species loss.

Finally, the decadal-scale, highly niche-structured fluctuations in energetic compensation observed at Portal add important nuance to our understanding of how zero-sum dynamics operate in natural assemblages.
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**Figure legends**

Figure 1. Dynamics of energy use and rodent community composition over time. Lines represent 6-month moving averages of energetic compensation (a), the ratio of energy use on exclosure plots to control plots (b), and the share of community energy use accounted for by all small granivores (blue), and *C. baileyi* (gold)specifically, on control (c) and exclosure (d) plots. Dotted vertical lines mark the boundaries between time periods used for statistical analysis. Horizontal lines are time-period estimates from generalized least squares (a, b) and generalized linear (c, d) models, and the semitransparent envelopes mark the 95% confidence intervals.

Figure 2. Dynamics of (a) drought and (b) *E. ciculatum* proportional abundance at the site. Dotted vertical lines mark boundaries between time periods. (a) 12-month SPEI index for each month from 1989-2020. Values greater than 0 (blue) indicate wetter than average conditions, and values less than 0 (red) indicate drier conditions. Values between -1 and 1 (horizontal lines) are considered within normal variability for a system, while values < -1 constitute drought (Slette et al. 2019). (b) Lines are the proportional abundance of *E. ciculatum* in the winter annual plant community for each census year for exclosure (green) and control (purple) plots. Horizontal lines are time-period estimates from a quasibinomial generalized linear model, and the semitransparent envelopes mark the 95% confidence interval.
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