**Energetic compensation breaks down over time in a desert rodent community**
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**Background and Methods**

Energetic compensation can occur when declines in energy use from some species in an assemblage are offset by gains from others. When observed, energetic compensation is consistent with a zero-sum competitive dynamic, and renders assemblage-level function resilient to species’ fluctuations. When compensation is mediated by niche structure, it is contingent on the degree to which the species present in an assemblage are functionally substitutable. If species differ in their responses to changing conditions over time, shifting conditions may modulate the degree of redundancy between species and cause compensation to be variable over time – even within a single assemblage.

Some of the strongest evidence of energetic compensation has come from long-term experiments on the rodent community near Portal, AZ. Since 1977, kangaroo rats have been excluded from experimental plots. Partial energetic compensation from smaller granivores was observed immediately, and near-complete compensation occurred beginning in 1996, with the establishment of the functionally analogous *Chaetodipus baileyi.* We used long-term temporal analysis of the experiment to explore whether the historically documented energetic compensation persisted despite major transitions in the habitat and rodent community over time.

We found that, since 2010, total energy use on kangaroo rat exclosure plots declined to ~40% that on controls, compared to 70% from 1996-2010 and 24% before 1996. This coincided with a precipitous decline in *C. baileyi.* While kangaroo rat removal now results in a smaller decline in total energy use than at the beginning of the study, this is due to a sitewide increase in small granivore energy use rather than increasing compensation from species other than the now-scarce *C. baileyi.*

Our results highlight that energetic compensation is a dynamic, contingent phenomenon. Assemblage-level resilience to species loss, and zero-sum competitive dynamics, may therefore be temporary and most detectable over long temporal scales – in this case, spanning decades.
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