

Project Pseudocode and Complexity Analysis v1.1

By Brett Huffman

Oct 16, 2021

Overview

For the Gifting project, multiple versions of the algorithm have been used trying to decide exactly how to attack the problem. As of now, the course of action seems to give very advantageous results.

The goal has been, as quickly as possible, to drastically prune branches as early as possible. So, in the current application version, it is pruning at the same time it builds the search trees. This yields the advantage of not having to go through the trees multiple times to build and prune.

On initialization, it sets a “best” average gift amount to infinity. It also creates an empty “best” combination vector. These “best value” objects will be used to keep track of the best solution as all the possible combinations are explored.

The program starts creates a wide tree which is basically the number of children high. However, it is very wide as it considers each permutation of child and gift combinations. The good thing is that it prunes branches on-the-fly to get rid of bad combinations as early as possible.

Once a possible solution is found, it is compared against the current best-value combination. If it has a lower P/N value than the current best-value combination, it becomes the new best-value, and the branches by which the combination was found is kept.

At the end of the analysis, the best-value has been found and is displayed to the user.

Along with early pruning, a number of heuristic tactics were investigated. The best tactic seemed to be to order the children oldest-to-youngest and the gifts youngest to oldest with the “any age” gifts at the end. Through investigation, that seemed to yield good results.

My hope is that this early-pruning approach will yield faster results and arrive at the results as early as possible.

Pseudocode and Analysis

There are really three portions of code that must be analyzed: Project Setup, FindPermutations, and the Calculation Phase.

Project Setup

In setup for this phase, the structures and the lookup table will be created to support the creation of the final solution.

Pseudocode	Complexity Analysis	Space Complexity Analysis
<pre>// Initialization Vector Children Vector GiftItems Vector MediumGifts LargeGifts // indexes Vector<Vector> ChildBranches Int** childGiftLogicTable // Fast lookup table Float overallAvgPrice // Counters nChildCount = 0 nGiftCount = 0 LoadArrayFromFile(inputFile) Foreach Child => Add To Children Foreach Gift => Add to GiftItems If medGift => Add index to MediumGift If lrgGift => Add index to LargeGifts If lrgGift.count < child.count medGift.count < child.count exit // From running totals overallAvgPrice = totalPrices/totalChildren</pre>	<p>All O(1)</p> <p>O(C) O(MG) O(LG)</p> <p>O(1)</p> <p>O(1)</p> <p>Overall: O(C + MG + LG)</p>	<p>O(C) O(G)</p> <p>Overall: O(C+G)</p>
<pre>// Create the Logic Table Init Table to Children.Count * (LgGift+MedGift).Count Foreach child c => Foreach totalGifts g => If c.age within gift.age ranges Table[g,c] = 1 Else Table[g,c] = 0</pre>	<p>O(1)</p> <p>O(C * totalGifts)</p>	<p>O(C * totalGifts)</p>

	Overall: $O(C * \text{totalGifts})$	Overall: $O(C * \text{totalGifts})$
--	-------------------------------------	-------------------------------------

FindPermutations

One of the keys to successfully pull off this implementation was to build a function that will always return the vector of allowed permutations for a given scenario. This function is called at every branch to give an accurate list of possible sub-branches.

As stated in my Academic Integrity Statement, the following website was used as a model for this Permutation function:

NewBeDev.com. 2021. <https://newbedev.com/generating-combinations-in-c>

Pseudocode	Complexity Analysis	Space Complexity Analysis
<pre>FindPermutations(n, exclusionSet) // Initialization (n is possible elements) Create vector<int> permutations for each item from 1 to n => // Create mask let vector<bool> v(n) fill vector to j length with 1 do let vector<int> trackingVector for each i in 0 to n if v[i] not in exclusionSet trackingVector.push(i) if trackingVector not empty add trackingVector to permutations while (std::prev_permutation(v.begin(), v.end())); return permutations // All possible // values // not in exclusionSet</pre>	<pre>O(1) O(n) O(n) O(1) O(n) O(log n)* O(1) O(1) O(1) O((v.end-v.begin)/2) => O(v) (per docs**) Overall: O(n²)</pre>	<pre>O(n)</pre>

* The search of the exclusionSet is done with the Binary Search algorithm yielding $O(\log n)$ complexity

** Complexity analysis found in system documentation here:
https://en.cppreference.com/w/cpp/algorithm/prev_permutation

The overall time complexity of this FindPermutations function is $O(n^2)$.

Calculation Phase - Generate and Calculate Tree

This phase is actually building the tree. This tree is theoretically children.count deep and Children^{Gifts} wide. However, because so much pruning happens during the run, many branches are never made. The tree is still very wide, but shallow.

As will be shown, pruning – essentially not adding them to the final branch Vector – happens if any gifts in that branch do not meet the age requirements of the respective child or if a child does not have both a large and medium gift in their respective branch.

Additionally, the tree is built reclusively depth-first to limit the size of the tree in memory.

Pseudocode	Time Complexity Analysis	Space Complexity Analysis
<pre>// Kick off the tree building process populateTreeAndPrune() // keep track of used gifts let vector<int> = vecUsedGifts processChild(0, vecUsedGifts) // Recursive function to process each child processChild(currentChild, vecUsedGifts) vector<int> GiftItems vector<int> GiftCombos vector<int> NewUsedGifts vector<int> NewChild let NewChild = currentChild + 1 // Terminating clause *success* // A good branch was found. Now</pre>	<p>Overall call: $O(\text{Children}^{\text{Gifts}})$</p> <p>$O(1)$ $O(1)$ $O(1)$ $O(1)$</p>	<p>$O(\text{Gifts})$</p> <p>$O(\text{Gifts})$ $O(\text{Children} * \text{Gifts})$ $O(\text{Gifts})$ $O(\text{Children})$</p>

<pre>// calculate the path cost if(newChild > vecChildren.size()) // Calculate for i = 0 to GiftCombos.size() // Iterate through each gift For j = 0 to GiftCombos[i].size() childTotal = vecGiftItems[GiftCombos[i][j]].price; // Subtract child total from P/N fChildTotal = abs(childTotal - calcGiftPN) GrandTotal += ChildTotal // If new found Average is best so far, // keep track of it as the new best val If GrandTotal < bestFoundAvg vestFoundAvg = GrandTotal vecBestGiftCombos = GiftCombos return // End of terminating clause</pre>	O(1)	
<pre>// Recursive Clause</pre>	O(Children)	
<pre>// Get the permutations for the gifts // left let vector<it> v = FindPermutations(GiftItems.size(), UsedGifts)</pre>	O(Gifts) O(1)	
<pre>// Make a branch for each combo // unless we prune the branch let hasMedGift = false let hasLrgGift = false for i in 0 to v.size-1 vector<int>row = v[i]</pre>	O(1) O(1) O(1)	
<pre>// If not 2 gifts, purge immediately If row.size() < 2 continue</pre>	O(1)	
<pre>// for each gift combo if MedGifts contains v[i] hasMedGift = true if LrgGifts contains v[i] hasLrgGift = true</pre>	O(1) O(1) O(1) O(1)	

<pre> // Prune if branch does not have both // a large and medium gift if not hasMedGift or not hasLrgGift continue NewUsedGifts.add(v) Sort(newUsedGifts). // Much better alg // performance // Recursively call into processChild processChild(newChild, NewUsedGifts) // Finished branches (without children) // just drop out of this function </pre>	<pre> O(1) O(1) O(1) O(log n) O(1) </pre>	
---	--	--

* The search of the newUserGifts vector is done with the Binary Search algorithm yielding $O(\log n)$ complexity

The overall worst-case time complexity for this function is $O(\text{Children}^{\text{Total Gifts}})$

The overall worst-case space complexity for this function is $O(\text{Children} * \text{Total Gifts})$