

reliable mitigation for the occurence of losses and if it is stable over time. The result from the previous analysis was proven that the best mitigation is selecting a loan with a Prosper Rating A A set of 27.627 closed Prosper loans has been analyzed after cleaning the initial set. Key question was if the offered indicator such as the Prosper Rating or the Prosper Score provide a



pool ton o

reliable mitigation for the occurence of losses and if it is stable over time. The result from the previous analysis was proven that the best mitigation is selecting a loan with a Prosper Rating A A set of 27.627 closed Prosper loans has been analyzed after cleaning the initial set. Key question was if the offered indicator such as the Prosper Rating or the Prosper Score provide a or AA. Only those are providing loans with a probability of less than 10% for the occurence of losses, but see yourself and toggle the two Prosper risk indicator:

Toggle Prosper Rating and Prosper Score as risk indicator



0

se 9/13 reliable mitigation for the occurence of losses and if it is stable over time. The result from the previous analysis was proven that the best mitigation is selecting a loan with a Prosper Rating A or AA. Only those are providing loans with a probability of less than 10% for the occurence of losses, but see yourself and toggle the two Prosper risk indicator: A set of 27.627 closed Prosper loans has been analyzed after cleaning the initial set. Key question was if the offered indicator such as the Prosper Rating or the Prosper Score provide a 0% 07/2009 09/2009 11/2009 01/2010 03/2010 05/2010 07/2010 09/2010 11/2010 03/2011 05/2011 05/2011 05/2011 09/2011 11/2011 01/2012 03/2012 05/2012 03/2012 01/2012 03/2013 05/2013 05/2013 05/2013 09/2013 700 g smet in con ist o Still not veg Propability of Losses vs. Prosper Ratings Date listed 8 V Toggle Prosper Rating and Prosper Score as risk indicator ¥ 20% 10% 92% %09 25% 20% 45% 40% 15% 35% 30% 25%

