MU Test Log — Test 9 (Non-degenerate Action Costs)

Parameters

- $\hbar = 0.1$
- y = 1.0
- · Paths:
 - Slow: $r_slow(t) = 0.25 \cdot t$
 - Fast: r_fast(t) = 0.6 + 0.35-t (tilted, higher cost Q_fast > Q_slow)
- $\beta = 8.0 \rightarrow 10.0 \text{ (step = 0.25)}$
- Selector: $T(r) = \frac{1}{1 + |r r_c|}$, $r_c = 0.25$

Results (Console Highlights)

```
--- beta=8.00 ---
Q_slow=0.031250, Q_fast=0.061250
QT_slow=0.892574, QT_fast=0.658639
w_slow=7.5074e+30, w_fast=4.1444e+22
**Coexistence**
--- beta=8.25 -
Q_slow=0.031250, Q_fast=0.061250
QT_slow=0.892574, QT_fast=0.658639
w_slow=6.9918e+31, w_fast=2.1506e+23
**Coexistence**
--- beta=8.50 ---
Q_slow=0.031250, Q_fast=0.061250
QT_slow=0.892574, QT_fast=0.658639
w_slow=6.5116e+32, w_fast=1.1160e+24
**Coexistence**
--- beta=8.75 --
Q_slow=0.031250, Q_fast=0.061250
QT_slow=0.892574, QT_fast=0.658639
w_slow=6.0644e+33, w_fast=5.7914e+24
**Coexistence**
--- beta=9.00 ---
Q slow=0.031250, Q fast=0.061250
QT slow=0.892574, QT fast=0.658639
w_slow=5.6479e+34, w_fast=3.0053e+25
**Coexistence**
--- beta=9.25 ---
Q_slow=0.031250, Q_fast=0.061250
QT_slow=0.892574, QT_fast=0.658639
w_slow=5.2600e+35, w_fast=1.5596e+26
**Coexistence**
--- beta=9.50 --
Q_slow=0.031250, Q_fast=0.061250
QT_slow=0.892574, QT_fast=0.658639
w_slow=4.8988e+36, w_fast=8.0930e+26
**Coexistence**
--- beta=9.75 -
Q_slow=0.031250, Q_fast=0.061250
QT_slow=0.892574, QT_fast=0.658639
w_slow=4.5624e+37, w_fast=4.1997e+27
**Coexistence**
```

--- beta=10.00 --Q_slow=0.031250, Q_fast=0.061250
QT_slow=0.892574, QT_fast=0.658639
w_slow=4.2490e+38, w_fast=2.1793e+28
Coexistence

· Action costs differ:

- Q_slow = 0.03125
- Q_fast = 0.06125

· Selector values differ:

- QT_slow = 0.892574
- \circ QT_fast = 0.658639
- For every β tested:
 - **w_slow** grows exponentially with β (~10³⁰ \rightarrow 10³⁸).
 - **w_fast** also grows exponentially, but is ~10⁸ orders of magnitude smaller than w_slow.
- Every step labeled as **Coexistence** (both weights non-zero and macroscopic).

Interpretation

- Prediction was wrong: we expected unique survival of slow, but instead both survived.
- Even with Q_fast > Q_slow, the Truth-selector still amplifies both.
- But: the gap between slow and fast is **astronomical** (10⁸ difference), so while coexistence exists mathematically, in practice the slow branch utterly dominates.

Why This Matters

- Degeneracy (Test 8): both survive almost equally → true multi-dimensional coexistence.
- Non-degeneracy (Test 9): both survive, but slow vastly outweighs → hierarchical coexistence.
- This means MU doesn't enforce strict collapse to one path; instead, it produces a **landscape of weighted realities**, where weaker ones survive in the background.
- That's very close to a many-worlds interpretation except guided by T, not by blind probability.

Highlights

- Truth doesn't kill paths, it suppresses them by weight.
- Even "losing" branches exist but their influence is tiny compared to the winning one.
- This changes our picture: MU predicts a hierarchy of coexisting branches, not just a winner-takeall.
- That's a major discovery for the model: selection is not binary, but weighted continuous.

Next Steps

- 1. **Zoom in on ratios**: explicitly track $w_{\text{fast}}/w_{\text{slow}}$ wfast/wslow across β .
 - Expect exponential suppression.
 - Would give us a "branch hierarchy law."
- 2. **Physical analogy**: this matches quantum decoherence smaller branches don't vanish, they just become irrelevant.
- 3. **Test 10 idea**: Vary Q_fast Q_slow gap systematically.
 - Small gap → coexistence nearly equal (like degeneracy).
 - Large gap → coexistence becomes extreme suppression.

Conclusion:

Test 9 shows MU selection is **not collapse, but hierarchy**. Even losing branches persist at minuscule weight. This could be the mathematical root of "shadow branches" in multidimensionality — weak but still real.