

## I. Introduction

#### ★ Motivation

- Creating a model that predicts the outcomes of professional tennis matches
- Objective: to provide the most accurate predictions for the outcomes

### **★** Data description

- 49,914 observations and 32 variables
- Variables
  - Response: 'outcome' with three classes (F, U, W)
  - Predictors: categorical and numerical
- Issues: Subjectivity created when classifying unforced and forced errors.

# II. Methodology



### **★** Models attempted:

- XGBoost
- GBM
- Neural Network, K-means clustering, SVM

## **★** XGBoost Improvements

- Variable Conversion
- Removing Outliers
- Feature Engineering
- Variable Importance

# III. Findings and Discussion

#### **★** Precision:

Forced errors: 0.839

Unforced errors: 0.897

Winner: 0.992

### ★ Sensitivity:

Forced errors: 0.872

Unforced errors: 0.871

o Winner: 0.989

★ Overall error: 0.091

#### **★** Room for future improvements:

Variable measurements

- Subjective counting of outcomes
- Separate models for Men's and Women's matches
- Specific model for F and U errors





- **★** Summary:
  - Model performance
  - o Motivation objective achieved?
  - What can be done differently?

Thank you! Q&A time...