Education for Industry & Beyond about the difference & distinction between "Identification" and Authentication is needed (proofing)

Do you agree?

😃 Strong Agreement	😊 Agreement	😐 Neutral	🙁 Disagreement	☹️ Strong Disagreement	❓ Confusion

Strengths & Opportunities

Optionally add brief comments:

Concerns & Weaknesses

build obvious demos
"Authentication" technology
overwhelmed other work areas
for last few years — time to
look ahead to rejuvenating
those other areas

dotmocracy.org

Facilitator:
Place:
Organization:
Date/time: /   /
#

Write one idea here in large letters:

People should have more tools to control who a can have hold share & use their stuff

Facilitator:

Do you agree?

Fill your one dot below & sign on the right:

Place:

| 😃 Strong Agreement | 🙂 Agreement | 😐 Neutral | 🙁 Disagreement | ☹️ Strong Disagreement | ❓ Confusion |

Organization:

Strengths & Opportunities

Optionally add brief comments:

Concerns & Weaknesses

sure, but bits are inherently copyable so this is really pkg / regulation thing ...salbility - human behaviral stuff?

...bility and Standards should be in place

Modularity:
How much does one component in the DID stack depend on others?

Write one idea here in large letters:

~~More work on futur~~

More work on how Identity Solutions
fit together in the medium term would
be helpful for all

Do you agree?

Fill your one dot below & sign on the right:

:) Strong Agreement	:) Agreement	:| Neutral	:(Disagreement	:(Strong Disagreement	(?) Confusion

Strengths & Opportunities

Optionally add brief comments:

Concerns & Weaknesses

Thinking font to back
is pragmatic

This seems anodyne
TOO PROBLEMATIC DUE TO
BIKE SHEDDERS

huh?

Dotmocracy Sheet 4.3

dotmocracy.org

Form DID WG @ W3C to resolve interoperability, resolution/discovery, authentication standardization

Facilitator:

Do you agree?

Fill your one dot below & sign on the right:

:)	:)	:|	:(	:((	?
Strong Agreement	**Agreement**	**Neutral**	**Disagreement**	**Strong Disagreement**	**Confusion**

Place:

Strengths & Opportunities

Optionally add brief comments:

Concerns & Weaknesses

Too many coped issues

This isn't what WG do

Misleading

We need a DID data model + syntax WG only at the moment

Organization:

Date/time:

#

dotmocracy.org

Write one idea here in large letters:

We should have a strong Auth and Identity Community Group to continue this discussion

Facilitator:

Do you agree?

Fill your one dot below & sign on the right:

☺	☺	☺	☹	☹	?
Strong Agreement	**Agreement**	**Neutral**	**Disagreement**	**Strong Disagreement**	**Confusion**

Strengths & Opportunities

Optionally add brief comments:

SHOULD FOCUS ON DID AUTH/CONTROL
FIRST. PROOF OF CONTROL IS OK
USE W3C WG

NEED IPR Protection. Community
Groups DON'T HELP THERE

Concerns & Weaknesses

Existing WS mailing list
is sufficient to continue discussion
and CGs can be hard to join
depending on employer policies
fragmentation; already have
CCG
sure

sure

Place:

Organization:

Date/time:

#

dotmocracy.org

Write one idea here in large letters:

The community working on Strong Auth & ID need to move faster to get working widely deployable systems to have viable Alternative to state sponsore schemes *Aadhaar* *chinese social credit*

Do you agree?

Fill your one dot below & sign on the right:

😃	🙂	😐	🙁	☹️	❓
Strong Agreement	**Agreement**	**Neutral**	**Disagreement**	**Strong Disagreement**	**Confusion**

Strengths & Opportunities

Optionally add brief comments:

don't let the perfect be the enemy of the good

Concerns & Weaknesses

this might be a political concern not a tech or tech policy concern

Faster sure, but how?

Is it just about the systems mentioned or state schemes in general? What about European schemes?

dotmocracy.org

Facilitator: *Place:* *Organization:* *Date /time:* #____ /____

Write one idea here in large letters:

DEVelopment of standardized way for Relying Parties/Verifiers to state their identification acceptance policies. — so Subject's Agent app knows which DID/creds to

Do you agree?

Fill your one dot below & sign on the right:

☺	☺	😐	☹	😣	?
Strong Agreement	**Agreement**	**Neutral**	**Disagreement**	**Strong Disagreement**	**Confusion**

Strengths & Opportunities

Optionally add brief comments:

Concerns & Weaknesses

practical is good

huh?

huh?

Governance is domain specific

This is proprietary Information

dotmocracy.org

Write one idea here in large letters:

Enabling users to connect to their own personal data stores and collect data they generate as they surf/interact w/ sites would be good.

Do you agree?

Fill your one dot below & sign on the right:

😃	😊	😐	🙁	☹️	❓
Strong Agreement	Agreement	Neutral	Disagreement	Strong Disagreement	Confusion

Strengths & Opportunities

Optionally add brief comments:

CONCERNING UNINTENTIONAL DISCLOSURE/TARGET

Most don't want personal data stores

Concerns & Weaknesses

Misstatement of the concern?
- Surveillance capitalism is bad so why not build against that so digital exhaust is not a prob. why assume anything should be collected

What problem does this solve?

dotmocracy.org

The Identity (Identification) of entities (businesses, organizations) operating on web & asking for people's ID info is important to address

Do you agree?

Fill your one dot below & sign on the right:

😊	🙂	😐	🙁	☹️	❓
Strong Agreement	**Agreement**	**Neutral**	**Disagreement**	**Strong Disagreement**	**Confusion**

Strengths & Opportunities

Optionally add brief comments:

Concerns & Weaknesses

We already have that ~~that~~ w TLS

need a namespace for identity like DNS

Make use of DIDs

I don't understand how this relates to current scope

Depends on what you mean. if resolve to physical person → strongly if pseudonymous identity → agree disagree

• To extent this impt, sure
• isn't that a solved problem?

Signatures

Write one idea here in large letters:

Protocols need to work w/ Peer-2-Peer system AND in conventional IdP-RP models. (coexistence)

Do you agree?

Fill your one dot below & sign on the right:

☺	☺	😐	☹	☹	❓
Strong Agreement	**Agreement**	**Neutral**	**Disagreement**	**Strong Disagreement**	**Confusion**

Strengths & Opportunities

Optionally add brief comments:

Concerns & Weaknesses

SCOPE CREEP ISSUE

Some do, some don't

over specifyng

huh?

Facilitator:

Place:

Organization:

Date/time:

/

#

dotmocracy.org

Collective A **Work on usability of user agents for IDentity would be good to foster.**

Facilitator:

Place:

Organization:

Date/time:

#

Do you agree?

Fill your one dot below & sign on the right:

:)	:)	:|	:(	:(	?
Strong Agreement	**Agreement**	**Neutral**	**Disagreement**	**Strong Disagreement**	**Confusion**

Strengths & Opportunities

Optionally add brief comments:

Concerns & Weaknesses

Duh!

Weak link in models, market failure on this corpoint.

dotmocracy.org

Write one idea here in large letters:

There should be a Translator from Ethereum verified claims to Open ID Connect claims.

Do you agree?

Fill your one dot below & sign on the right:

:) Strong Agreement	:) Agreement	:| Neutral	:(Disagreement	:((Strong Disagreement	? Confusion
		•••	••••	•••	••••

Strengths & Opportunities

Optionally add brief comments:

out of scope too specific

Concerns & Weaknesses

translation can't be authoritatively signed?

This is a design/deployment concern — cart before horse

up to etherium folks to define mapping   just do it?

truth?

Is this in scope?

need a taxonomy lot

dotmocracy.org

Write one idea here in large letters:

Enabling Bring Your own ID across the web would be good.

Facilitator:

Place:

Organization:

Date/time:

Do you agree?

Fill your one dot below & sign on the right:

😃	😊	😐	🙁	☹️	❓
Strong Agreement	**Agreement**	**Neutral**	**Disagreement**	**Strong Disagreement**	**Confusion**

Strengths & Opportunities

Optionally add brief comments:

build in at the browser level

Concerns & Weaknesses

This is only a good idea if there is also a solution that encourages the use of a unique ID for each site

Good North Star but wonder what it means concretly

devil is in details

dotmocracy.org

Write one idea here in large letters:

There should be an OIDC Profile DID

Signatures

Do you agree?

Fill your one dot below & sign on the right:

😃 Strong Agreement	🙂 Agreement	😐 Neutral	🙁 Disagreement	☹️ Strong Disagreement	❓ Confusion

Strengths & Opportunities

Optionally add brief comments:

Concerns & Weaknesses

Leverage existing systems

what is the end goal?

why?

How? If the our IDC options are gogdt?

hub?

dotmocracy.org

Write one idea here in large letters:

For WebAuth/FIDO2, make sure that selective, permissionless delegation is well-supported. This may solve backup and recovery also.

Signatures

Do you agree?

Fill your one dot below & sign on the right:

😃	🙂	😐	🙁	☹️	❓
Strong Agreement	**Agreement**	**Neutral**	**Disagreement**	**Strong Disagreement**	**Confusion**

Strengths & Opportunities

Optionally add brief comments:

BUT DON'T BLOCK AIDs

I don't fully understand and 2nd any effects

I don't think roaming authenticators will catch on so another solution allowing recovery with platform authenticators will be needed

Concerns & Weaknesses

is this topic a core concern of WebAuthn or should it be elsewhere?

What does this mean?

+1

dotmocracy.org

A login w/ DID button would be good to have an OIDC button.

003

Do you agree?

Fill your one dot below & sign on the right:

😊	🙂	😐	🙁	☹️	❓
Strong Agreement	**Agreement**	**Neutral**	**Disagreement**	**Strong Disagreement**	**Confusion**

Strengths & Opportunities

Optionally add brief comments:

Can be browser chrome

Concerns & Weaknesses

But will users understand this

DIDs shouldn't be exposed in web API without a user gesture restriction

NO ONE SHOULD SEE DID

+ What is an OIDC button

dotmocracy.org

Write one idea here in large letters:

Common user cerimonies are needed. So they understand their engagement with ~~these sytems are need.~~ Identity & Authentication

Facilitator:

Place:

Organization:

Date/time:

#

Do you agree?

Fill your one dot below & sign on the right:

😊 **Strong Agreement**	🙂 **Agreement**	😐 **Neutral**	🙁 **Disagreement**	☹️ **Strong Disagreement**	❓ **Confusion**

Strengths & Opportunities

Optionally add brief comments:

A USER ENGAGEMENT MODEL. (FORMAL 15-STEPS) COULD BE USEFUL

Common within a family of a given Paradigm/model — yes

Well, this is a nice BCP sort of thing but only azimtotically attainable can grow organically

Concerns & Weaknesses

Not sure what ceremonies means

Consent should be contextual

How is this different than use cases?

Not sure the ceremony model should define

what is a ceremony? out of scope

"ceremonies"

5w

form a DID Community Group at W3C to further explore interoperability, resolution/discovery, authentication standardization.

Do you agree?

Fill your one dot below & sign on the right:

😃 Strong Agreement	🙂 Agreement	😐 Neutral	🙁 Disagreement	😣 Strong Disagreement	❓ Confusion

Strengths & Opportunities

good way to get wider participation

We need a DID Data model + syntax

Optionally add brief comments:

Concerns & Weaknesses

misleading. There is already a community group.

existing CG seems fine

CCG already exists for this

DIF might do that work

WG only

sure

Write one idea here in large letters:

Form DID WG @ W3C to standardize DIDs, DID authentication, and DID resolution, and to answer other questions, e.g. DID interoperability

Do you agree?

Fill your one dot below & sign on the right:

😃 Strong Agreement	🙂 Agreement	😐 Neutral	🙁 Disagreement	☹️ Strong Disagreement	❓ Confusion

Strengths & Opportunities

Optionally add brief comments;

NOWHERE NEAR READY

TOO MANY UNANSWERED QUESTIONS

Only if it is not members only

CG seems fine for now

We need a DID data model /syntax WG only at the moment

interoperability good!

Concerns & Weaknesses

PREMATURE

Facilitator:

Place:

Organization:

Date/time:

#

dotmocracy.org

SHOULD THERE BE A COMMON CLAIMS FORMAT

Write one idea here in large letters:

Do you agree?

Fill your one dot below & sign on the right:

😊	😊	😐	🙁	☹️	❓
Strong Agreement	**Agreement**	**Neutral**	**Disagreement**	**Strong Disagreement**	**Confusion**

Strengths & Opportunities

Practically impossible
critical for interop
we need it anyway we
can get it

Optionally add brief comments:

Concerns & Weaknesses

General format is OK, but there needs
to be a way to accommodate the various
different methods.

in a perfect world, sure
trade-off between common cases and complexity
must be right for it to be useable

overloads

dotmocracy.org

Write one idea here in large letters:

Create a repository of use cases that clearly state contexts and value propositions of strong authentication. Identify biz, legal, tech, social motivations & levers.

Do you agree?

Fill your one dot below & sign on the right:

😊	🙂	😐	🙁	☹️	❓
Strong Agreement	**Agreement**	**Neutral**	**Disagreement**	**Strong Disagreement**	**Confusion**

Strengths & Opportunities

Optionally add brief comments:

Concerns & Weaknesses

use cases rule!.

Must have

Use case/knowledge base/content would be very useful

Only if use-case includes working code release under open license.

Creating good use cases is hard does something like that not exist?

Well, yeah, this'd be nice to have

dotmocracy.org

Build prototypes + hold interop events to work out how DID identifiers work with authentication + protocols + standards like WebAuthN OIDC et.

Do you agree?

Write one idea here in large letters:

Fill your one dot below & sign on the right:

😊 Strong Agreement	🙂 Agreement	😐 Neutral	🙁 Disagreement	☹️ Strong Disagreement	❓ Confusion

Strengths & Opportunities

have countries for proof of concept

Optionally add brief comments:

Concerns & Weaknesses

mom & apple pie

not sure what "work with" means

Facilitator:

Place:

Organization:

Date/time:

#

Write one idea here in large letters:

Scalability 7 billion + people is the scale we need to be thinking at for strong Auth & ID solutions

Do you agree?

Fill your one dot below & sign on the right:

😃 Strong Agreement	🙂 Agreement	😐 Neutral	🙁 Disagreement	☹️ Strong Disagreement	❓ Confusion

Strengths & Opportunities

Optionally add brief comments:

Will likely inude telecom SIM

Concerns & Weaknesses

Each person can have multiple IDs so 7 billion may still not be enough

premature optimization is bad

Too small There are more than 3 billion open ID

Isn't this an implementation detail?

How does this influence specs?

dotmocracy.org

Write one idea here in large letters:

~~#~~ Getting it so ^{that?} strong AuthN & AuthZ protocols to work for even the tinyest sites is important

Do you agree?

Fill your one dot below & sign on the right:

🙂	🙂	😐	🙁	☹️	❓
Strong Agreement	**Agreement**	**Neutral**	**Disagreement**	**Strong Disagreement**	**Confusion**

Strengths & Opportunities

Optionally add brief comments:

Concerns & Weaknesses

Can be easier than handling passwords right

SMALL YES, TINIEST NO

~~Federation is fine too~~

size shouldn't matter so this is the wrong way to characterize

Everyone/thing needs the safety that stron auth/z provides

dotmocracy.org