Instructors: Jason Ku, Julian Shun, and Virginia Williams Lecture 18: Shortest Paths Revisited

Lecture 18: Shortest Paths Revisited

- Find shortest path weight from s to v for all $v \in V$
- Observation: Subsets of shortest paths are shortest paths
- Try to find a recursive solution in terms of subproblems!
- Attempt 1:

1. Subproblems

- Try $x(v) = \delta(s, v)$, shortest path weight from s to v

2. Relate

- $-x(v) = \min\{x(u) + w(u,v) \mid (u,v) \in E\}$
- Dependency graph is the transpose of the original graph (all edge directions reversed)!
- If graph had cycles, subproblem dependencies can have cycles...:(
- For now, assume graph is acyclic
- Then we can compute subproblems in a topological sort order!

3. Base

- $-x(s) = \delta(s,s) = 0$
- $x(v) = \infty$ for any other $v \neq s$ without incoming edges

4. Solution

- Compute subproblems via top-down memoized recursion or bottom-up
- Solution is subproblem x(v), for each $v \in V$
- Can keep track of parent pointers to subproblem that minimized recurrence

5. Time

- # subproblems: |V|
- Work for subproblem x(v): $O(1 + \deg_{in}(v))$

$$\sum_{v \in V} O(1 + \deg_{\text{in}}(v)) = O(|V| + |E|)$$

• This is just DAG Relaxation! What if graph contains cycles? Next time!

Single Source Shortest Paths Revisited (Attempt 2)

1. Subproblems

- Increase subproblems to add information to make acyclic!
- x(v, k), minimum weight of any edge path from s to $v \in V$ using at most k edges

2. Relate

- $\bullet \ x(v,k) = \min \{ \{ x(u,k-1) + w(u,v) \mid (u,v) \in E \} \cup \{ x(v,k-1) \} \}$
- Subproblems only depend on subproblems with strictly smaller k, so no cycles!

3. Base

- x(s,0) = 0 and $x(v,0) = \infty$ for $v \neq s$ (no edges)
- (draw subproblem graph)

4. Solution

- Compute subproblems via top-down memoization or bottom up (draw graph)
- Can keep track of parent pointers to subproblem that minimized recurrence
- Unlike normal Bellman-Ford, parent pointers always form a path back to s!
- If has finite shortest path, than $\delta(s, v) = x(v, |V| 1)$
- ullet Otherwise some x(v,|V|) < x(v,|V|-1), so path contains a negative weight cycle
- Claim: All cycles along a parent path have negative weight
- Proof: If not, removing cycle is path with fewer edges with no greater weight

5. Time

- # subproblems: $|V| \times (|V| + 1)$
- Work for subproblem x(v, k): $O(\deg_{in}(v))$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{|V|} \sum_{v \in V} O(\deg_{\mathrm{in}}(v)) = \sum_{k=0}^{|V|} O(|E|) = O(|V||E|)$$

- Computing $\delta(s, v)$ takes O(|V|) time per vertex, so $O(|V|^2)$ time in total
- Running time O(|V|(|V|+|E|)). Can we make O(|V||E|)?
- Only search on vertices reachable from s, then $|V| \leq |E| + 1 = O(|E|)$
- Such vertices can be found via BFS or DFS in O(|V| + |E|) time

This is just **Bellman-Ford!**

Dynamic Programs for APSP

This problem considers three dynamic-programming approaches to solve the All-Pairs Shortest Paths (APSP) problem: given a weighted directed graph G = (V, E, w), with possibly negative weights but **no negative cycles**, compute $\delta(u, v)$ for all pairs of vertices $u, v \in V$. Assume vertices are identified by consecutive integers such that $V = \{1, 2, ..., |V|\}$.

- An approach similar to Bellman–Ford uses subproblems x(u, v, k): the smallest weight of a path from vertex u to v having at most k edges. Describe a dynamic-programming algorithm on these subproblems to solve APSP in $O(|V|^2|E|)$ time.
 - 2. **Relate:** $x(u, v, k) = \min\{x(u, y, k 1) + w(y, v) \mid (y, v) \in E\} \cup \{x(u, v, k 1)\}$ (only depends on smaller k so acyclic)
 - 3. **Base:** $x(u, u, 0) = 0, x(u, v, 0) = \infty$ for $u \neq v$
 - 4. **Solution:** x(u, v, |V| 1) for all $u, v \in V$
 - 5. **Time:** $O(|V|^3)$ subproblems, each $O(1 + \deg_{\rm in}(v))$ work: $O(|V|^2|E|)$ in total
- Consider subproblems z(u, v, k): the smallest weight of a path from vertex u to v which only uses vertices from $\{1, 2, \ldots, k\} \cup \{u, v\}$. Describe a dynamic-programming algorithm on these subproblems to solve APSP in $O(|V|^3)$ time. This algorithm is known as Floyd-Warshall.
 - 2. **Relate:** $x(u, v, k) = \min\{x(u, k, k 1) + x(k, v, k 1), x(u, v, k 1)\}$ (only depends on smaller k so acyclic)
 - 3. **Base:** x(u, u, 0) = 0, x(u, v, 0) = w(u, v) if $(u, v) \in E$, or ∞ otherwise
 - 4. **Solution:** x(u, v, |V|) for all $u, v \in V$
 - 5. **Time:** $O(|V|^3)$ subproblems, each O(1) work: $O(|V|^3)$ in total. The constant number of dependencies per subproblem brings the factor of O(|E|) in the running time down to O(|V|).