

Editorial: Heavy Adolescent Alcohol Use: An Accelerant of Impulsivity?

Kathleen I. Crum, PhD, and Leslie Hulvershorn, MD

t has been difficult to disentangle factors conferring vulnerability to substance use disorders (SUDs) from the consequences of substance use. Reward sensitivity and impulsivity have been identified as adolescent risk factors that confer vulnerability for later problematic substance use.^{1,2} Studies also suggest, however, that substance use itself affects brain development and behavior and that some of the same risk factors that predispose youth to SUD (eg, reward sensitivity and impulsivity) may be brought on or worsened by the neurotoxicity of drugs of abuse.^{3,4} Studies examining neural and behavioral correlates of SUDs commonly include youth with varying degrees of substance exposure; thus development of vulnerabilities to substance abuse are difficult to separate from the effects of substance use. In this issue of IAACAP, Ivanov et al.5 advance our field's knowledge in this area by leveraging longitudinal data from the European IMAGEN dataset $(n = 2,200)^6$ in order to characterize predictors of alcohol use at age 16 as well as trajectories of impulsivity. This design allows investigation into whether alcohol drinking in adolescence may actually be related to worsening impulsivity. The authors followed a subset of the IMAGEN sample, 304 substance-naïve 14-year-olds over 2 years. Reward system function in the brain was assessed at baseline by collecting functional magnetic resonance imaging scans during a Monetary Incentive Delay (MID) task which assessed neural response to reward anticipation and outcome. Impulsivity and delay discounting, the propensity to select smaller immediate rewards versus larger, delayed rewards, were also assessed at baseline and follow-up. Linear regression was used to evaluate longitudinal associations among the frequency of alcohol use at age 16 and impulsivity, delay discounting, and reward system function at age 14. Reward system function was measured by activation in medial orbitofrontal cortex (mOFC) and ventral striatum regions of interest during the highest versus the lowest reward levels on the MID task. These regions are involved in key aspects of reward processing, including valuation of rewarding stimuli and outcomes.^{8,9}

The authors reported an interesting nonlinear relationship between impulsivity and frequency of alcohol use across time. Specifically, youth who did not initiate alcohol use or who used alcohol on only a few occasions from ages 14 to 16 showed a developmentally expected decline in impulsivity. In contrast, youth who used alcohol very frequently (eg, on 40 or more occasions) actually showed an increase in impulsivity across time. Subjects started without significantly different levels of impulsivity at age 14, suggesting that impulsivity is a factor that, while contributing to problematic substance use maintenance, may also be worsened by increasing use of alcohol. Regarding the neuroimaging findings, blunted reward responsiveness in the mOFC at baseline was associated with greater alcohol use at age 16, even after accounting for impulsivity and delay discounting rates at age 14. Given the role of the mOFC in reward processing, the authors speculated that this blunted responsiveness reflects difficulty representing the value of rewards, perhaps interfering with differentiation between small versus large amounts of drugs of abuse, and contributing to problematic substance use. Thus, blunted mOFC responsiveness to reward in substancenaïve adolescents may represent a neural "marker" of vulnerability for later problematic substance use.

Findings in the study by Ivanov et al.⁵ advance the field in several ways. First, these results suggest a nuanced role of impulsivity and delay discounting in SUD risk. These constructs have been implicated in SUD trajectories across adolescence, including risk for substance initiation among substance-naïve youth and escalation to problematic substance use among substance-exposed youth. 10 In the current sample, baseline impulsivity and delay discounting did not differ between groups of adolescents who went on to use alcohol at different frequencies. This likely reflects the nature of the sample, which was selected to limit risk factors for substance abuse related to exposure at any point in development and across generations (ie, in-utero substance exposure and family history of SUDs) and excluded youth who had already begun using. Thus, the population studied here likely represents only a subtype of youth who develop

SUDs. Youth at higher risk may have different trajectories of impulsivity, sensation seeking, brain activation findings, and influences from alcohol than the currently studied sample.

Furthermore Ivanov et al.'s findings highlight the possibility of identifying neural markers of vulnerability to SUDs and the complexity of associations between substance abuse risk and components of the reward processing system across development. Adolescents on varying substance abuse trajectories were distinguished by mOFC activation during reward outcome, rather than reward anticipation, suggesting that a key area in need of intervention is atypical encoding of the valuation of rewards for future experience. Indeed, the authors speculate this pattern of dysfunction reflects compromised stimulus value assessment, which could lead to difficulty distinguishing between rewarding properties of, for example, small versus large amounts of alcohol and ultimately facilitate SUDs through a need to consume more alcohol to experience its rewarding properties.

The authors note that the utility of brain-level measurements has been questioned 11,12; however, the current data highlight the utility of neural markers above and beyond report-based measurements in models evaluating risk for youth substance abuse, particularly in clarifying underlying mechanisms. These findings are a first step toward understanding how neural and report-based measurements can be used to identify adolescents at risk for problematic substance use and thereby inform indicated prevention strategies. Effective therapies for substance abuse (eg, contingency management) already focus on altering maladaptive substance-related contingencies facilitated by reward processing. 13 Preventing initiation to substance use

and transition to SUDs in adolescents with blunted mOFC activation to reward outcomes may involve therapies focused on bolstering rewarding properties of adaptive activities (eg, healthy social relationships, physical activity) and supporting strong formation of these contingencies. Establishing the clinical utility of neural markers for intervention will involve careful replication as well as longitudinal examination of risk trajectories as predictors of treatment response. As this research unfolds, it is likely that the strongest predictive/selective models will integrate information gathered from multiple levels of measurement, including neural, behavioral, and report-based measurements. The authors' present study represents an exciting advance toward using brain-level data to inform assessment and treatment.

Accepted December 14, 2020.

Drs. Crum and Hulvershorn are with the Adolescent Behavioral Health Research Program, Indiana University School of Medicine, Indianapolis, Indiana.

The authors have reported no funding for this work.

Author Contributions
Conceptualization: Crum
Writing—original draft: Crum
Writing—review and editing: Hulvershorn

ORCID

Kathleen I. Crum, PhD: https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7408-628X Leslie Hulvershorn, MD: https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5827-4889

Disclosure: Dr. Hulvershorn has received grant or research support from the National Institutes of Health, the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, the Indiana Family and Social Services Agency, Merck, and Greenwich Biosciences. Dr. Crum has reported no biomedical financial interests or potential conflicts of interest.

Correspondence to Leslie Hulvershorn, MD, Child Psychiatry Clinic, 4th Floor, 1002 Wishard Boulevard, Indianapolis, Indiana 46202; e-mail: lhulvers@iupui.edu

 $0890\text{-}8567/\$36.00/ @2020\ American\ Academy\ of\ Child\ and\ Adolescent\ Psychiatry$

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaac.2020.12.018

REFERENCES

- Geier CF. Adolescent cognitive control and reward processing: implications for risk taking and substance use. Horm Behav. 2013;64:333-342.
- Goldstein RZ, Volkow ND. Dysfunction of the prefrontal cortex in addiction: neuroimaging findings and clinical implications. Nat Rev Neurosci. 2011;12:652-669.
- 3. De Wit H. Impulsivity as a determinant and consequence of drug use: a review of underlying processes. Addict Biol. 2009;14:22-31.
- Gulley JM, Juraska JM. The effects of abused drugs on adolescent development of corticolimbic circuitry and behavior. Neuroscience. 2013;249:3-20.
- Ivanov I, Parvaz MA, Velthorst E, et al. Substance use initiation, particularly alcohol, in drug-naïve adolescents: possible predictors and consequences from a large cohort naturalistic study. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2021;60:623-636.
- Schumann G, Loth E, Banaschewski T, et al. The IMAGEN study: reinforcementrelated behaviour in normal brain function and psychopathology. Mol Psychiatry. 2010;15:1128-1139.
- Knutson B, Fong GW, Adams CM, Varner JL, Hommer D. Dissociation of reward anticipation and outcome with event-related fMRI. Neuroreport. 2001;12: 3683-3687.
- Diekhof EK, Kaps L, Falkai P, Gruber O. The role of the human ventral striatum and the medial orbitofrontal cortex in the representation of reward magnitude–An activation likelihood estimation meta-analysis of neuroimaging studies of passive reward expectancy and outcome processing. Neuropsychologia. 2012;50:1252-1266.

- Schultz W, Apicella P, Ljungberg T. Responses of monkey dopamine neurons to reward and conditioned stimuli during successive steps of learning a delayed response task. J Neurosci. 1993;13:900-913.
- Stanis JJ, Andersen SL. Reducing substance use during adolescence: a translational framework for prevention. Psychopharmacology (Berl). 2014;231:1437-1453.
- Buchel C, Peters J, Banaschewski T, et al. Blunted ventral striatal responses to anticipated rewards foreshadow problematic drug use in novelty-seeking adolescents. Nat Commun. 2017;8:14140.
- 12. Jollans L, Zhipeng C, Icke I, et al. Ventral striatum connectivity during reward anticipation in adolescent smokers. Dev Neuropsychol. 2016;41(1-2):6-21.
- Stanger C, Lansing AH, Budney AJ. Advances in research on contingency management for adolescent substance use. Child Adolesc Psychiatr Clin N Am. 2016;25: 645-659.
- Paulus MP, Huys QJ, Maia TV. A roadmap for the development of applied computational psychiatry. Biol Psychiatry Cogn Neurosci Neuroimaging. 2016;1:386-392.

All statements expressed in this column are those of the authors and do not reflect the opinions of the *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*. See the Guide for Authors for information about the preparation and submission of Editorials.