

28. Chebyshev methods II

Last time

- Convergence rate for trapezium rule
- Orthogonal polynomials

Goals for today

- Chebyshev polynomials

Global approximation on an interval

- Setting for global approximation of a function f
- $f : [-1, +1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

Global approximation on an interval

- Setting for global approximation of a function f
- $f : [-1, +1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$
- Searching for expansion

$$f = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_n \phi_n$$

Global approximation on an interval

- Setting for global approximation of a function f
- $f : [-1, +1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$
- Searching for expansion

$$f = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_n \phi_n$$

- With orthogonal basis functions ϕ_n

Global approximation on an interval II

- Use knowledge of Fourier series for smooth *periodic* functions

Global approximation on an interval II

- Use knowledge of Fourier series for smooth *periodic* functions
- Idea: Map $f : [-1, +1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ into smooth **periodic** function

Global approximation on an interval II

- Use knowledge of Fourier series for smooth *periodic* functions
- Idea: Map $f : [-1, +1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ into smooth **periodic** function
- Let $z = e^{i\theta}$ be on unit circle

Global approximation on an interval II

- Use knowledge of Fourier series for smooth *periodic* functions
- Idea: Map $f : [-1, +1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ into smooth **periodic** function
- Let $z = e^{i\theta}$ be on unit circle
- Map to $[-1, +1]$ by **projection** to x -axis:
- $x := \operatorname{Re}(z)$

Global approximation on an interval II

- Use knowledge of Fourier series for smooth *periodic* functions
- Idea: Map $f : [-1, +1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ into smooth **periodic** function
- Let $z = e^{i\theta}$ be on unit circle
- Map to $[-1, +1]$ by **projection** to x -axis:
- $x := \operatorname{Re}(z)$
- So $x = \cos(\theta)$

Global approximation on an interval III

- θ ranges over 0 to 2π

Global approximation on an interval III

- θ ranges over 0 to 2π
- $x = \cos(\theta)$ ranges over $[-1, +1]$ – twice

Global approximation on an interval III

- θ ranges over 0 to 2π
- $x = \cos(\theta)$ ranges over $[-1, +1]$ – twice
- From $f(x)$ construct function $g(\theta) := f(x) = f(\cos(\theta))$ that is periodic!

Global approximation on an interval IV

- Approximate g by a Fourier series:

$$g(\theta) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{g}_n e^{in\theta}$$

Global approximation on an interval IV

- Approximate g by a Fourier series:

$$g(\theta) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{g}_n e^{in\theta}$$

- $g(\theta)$ is real so $g(\theta) = \overline{g(\theta)}$

Global approximation on an interval IV

- Approximate g by a Fourier series:

$$g(\theta) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{g}_n e^{in\theta}$$

- $g(\theta)$ is real so $g(\theta) = \overline{g(\theta)}$

- Thus

$$g(\theta) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} g_n \cos(n\theta)$$

Global approximation on an interval V

- So $f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} g_n \phi_n(x)$
- Where $\phi_n(x) := \cos(n \arccos(x))$

Global approximation on an interval V

- So $f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} g_n \phi_n(x)$
- Where $\phi_n(x) := \cos(n \arccos(x))$
- This does not look like a pleasant set of functions!
- What are the functions $\phi_n(x) := \cos(n \arccos(x))$?

Global approximation on an interval VI

- Idea: Recall: $e^{in\theta} = (e^{i\theta})^n$

Global approximation on an interval VI

- Idea: Recall: $e^{in\theta} = (e^{i\theta})^n$
- Take real part:

$$\cos(n\theta) = [\cos(\theta) + i \sin(\theta)]^n$$

Global approximation on an interval VI

- Idea: Recall: $e^{in\theta} = (e^{i\theta})^n$
- Take real part:

$$\cos(n\theta) = [\cos(\theta) + i \sin(\theta)]^n$$

- So can express $\cos(n\theta)$ in terms of $\cos(\theta)$
- What is the relationship?

Global approximation on an interval VII

■ Example:

$$\cos(2\theta) = \cos(\theta)^2 - \sin(\theta)^2$$

Global approximation on an interval VII

■ Example:

$$\cos(2\theta) = \cos(\theta)^2 - \sin(\theta)^2$$

■ Recall: $\sin(\theta)^2 + \cos(\theta)^2 = 1$

Global approximation on an interval VII

- Example:

$$\cos(2\theta) = \cos(\theta)^2 - \sin(\theta)^2$$

- Recall: $\sin(\theta)^2 + \cos(\theta)^2 = 1$

- So $\cos(2\theta) = 2\cos(\theta)^2 - 1 = 2x^2 - 1$

Global approximation on an interval VII

- Example:

$$\cos(2\theta) = \cos(\theta)^2 - \sin(\theta)^2$$

- Recall: $\sin(\theta)^2 + \cos(\theta)^2 = 1$

- So $\cos(2\theta) = 2\cos(\theta)^2 - 1 = 2x^2 - 1$

- In general: $\cos(n\theta)$ is a *polynomial* in x !

- Gives **Chebyshev polynomials** (of first kind):

$$T_n(x) := \cos(n \arccos(x))$$

Chebyshev polynomials

- Have shown that function f on $[-1, +1]$ can be written as

$$f(x) = \sum f_n T_n(x)$$

- With T_n the Chebyshev polynomials

Chebyshev polynomials

- Have shown that function f on $[-1, +1]$ can be written as

$$f(x) = \sum f_n T_n(x)$$

- With T_n the Chebyshev polynomials
- Completeness follows from completeness of Fourier series

Chebyshev polynomials

- Have shown that function f on $[-1, +1]$ can be written as

$$f(x) = \sum f_n T_n(x)$$

- With T_n the Chebyshev polynomials
- Completeness follows from completeness of Fourier series
- Are they orthogonal?

Chebyshev polynomials II

- If we calculate $\int_{-1}^1 T_1(x)T_2(x)dx$ we do not get 0

Chebyshev polynomials II

- If we calculate $\int_{-1}^1 T_1(x) T_2(x) dx$ we do not get 0
- But inner product can include a **weight function** w :

$$(f, g) := \int f(x) g(x) w(x) dx$$

- w must be *positive* function

Chebyshev polynomials III

- T_n are orthogonal with respect to weight function

$$w(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-x^2}}$$

Chebyshev polynomials III

- T_n are orthogonal with respect to weight function

$$w(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-x^2}}$$

- Factor is due to change of variables $x = \cos(\theta)$ in integral:

$$\int_{-1}^{+1} f(x)g(x)w(x)dx = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{2\pi} f(\cos(\theta))g(\cos(\theta))d\theta$$

- Consequence of fact that Chebyshev polynomials satisfy differential equation of Sturm–Liouville type

Chebyshev interpolation

- Still have to evaluate nasty integrals to find Chebyshev coefficients
- In Fourier case we found alternative solution:

Chebyshev interpolation

- Still have to evaluate nasty integrals to find Chebyshev coefficients
- In Fourier case we found alternative solution:
- *Interpolation* using finite linear combinations of basis functions

Chebyshev interpolation

- Still have to evaluate nasty integrals to find Chebyshev coefficients
- In Fourier case we found alternative solution:
- *Interpolation* using finite linear combinations of basis functions
- Can we do the same here?

Chebyshev interpolation II

- We want to interpolate $f : [-1, +1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$
- Interpolate using $g_N = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \alpha_n T_n$

Chebyshev interpolation II

- We want to interpolate $f : [-1, +1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$
- Interpolate using $g_N = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \alpha_n T_n$
- Since *polynomial* interpolation, we *must not* use equally-spaced points!

Chebyshev interpolation II

- We want to interpolate $f : [-1, +1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$
- Interpolate using $g_N = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \alpha_n T_n$
- Since *polynomial* interpolation, we *must not* use equally-spaced points!
- Solve following for α_k :

$$\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \alpha_k T_k(t_j) = f_j := f(t_j)$$

- How choose nodes t_j ?

Chebyshev interpolation III

- Due to \arccos in expression for T_n :
- Choose $t_j = \cos(\frac{j\pi}{N})$
- **Chebyshev points**

Chebyshev interpolation III

- Due to \arccos in expression for T_n :
- Choose $t_j = \cos(\frac{j\pi}{N})$
- **Chebyshev points**
- Get

$$\sum_k \alpha_k \cos(j k \pi / n) = f_j$$

Chebyshev interpolation III

- Due to \arccos in expression for T_n :

- Choose $t_j = \cos(\frac{j\pi}{N})$

- **Chebyshev points**

- Get

$$\sum_k \alpha_k \cos(j k \pi / n) = f_j$$

- **Discrete Cosine Transform**

- Calculated in same way as FFT but 1/4 of the work

Barycentric Lagrange interpolation

- So can pass efficiently between function values and Chebyshev coefficients

Barycentric Lagrange interpolation

- So can pass efficiently between function values and Chebyshev coefficients
- How can we calculate the interpolant?
- Inefficient to sum the formula

Barycentric Lagrange interpolation

- So can pass efficiently between function values and Chebyshev coefficients
- How can we calculate the interpolant?
- Inefficient to sum the formula
- Revisit Lagrange interpolation

Barycentric Lagrange interpolation II

- Recall Lagrange interpolation for polynomial p of degree n :

$$p(x) = \sum_{j=0}^n \alpha_j \ell_j(x)$$

- Where

$$\ell_j(x) := \frac{\prod_{k \neq j} (x - t_k)}{\prod_{k \neq j} (t_j - t_k)}$$

Barycentric Lagrange interpolation II

- Recall Lagrange interpolation for polynomial p of degree n :

$$p(x) = \sum_{j=0}^n \alpha_j \ell_j(x)$$

- Where

$$\ell_j(x) := \frac{\prod_{k \neq j} (x - t_k)}{\prod_{k \neq j} (t_j - t_k)}$$

- Evaluating requires $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$ operations
- If add new node, must recalculate
- Numerically unstable

Barycentric Lagrange interpolation III

- Rewrite using product

$$\ell(x) := (x - t_0)(x - t_1) \cdots (x - t_n)$$

- Define **barycentric weights**

$$w_j := \frac{1}{\prod_{k \neq j} (t_j - t_k)}$$

- Then $w_j = \frac{1}{\ell'(t_j)}$

Barycentric Lagrange interpolation III

- Rewrite using product

$$\ell(x) := (x - t_0)(x - t_1) \cdots (x - t_n)$$

- Define **barycentric weights**

$$w_j := \frac{1}{\prod_{k \neq j} (t_j - t_k)}$$

- Then $w_j = \frac{1}{\ell'(t_j)}$
- And $\ell_j(x) = \ell(x) \frac{w_j}{x - t_j}$

Barycentric Lagrange interpolation III

- Rewrite using product

$$\ell(x) := (x - t_0)(x - t_1) \cdots (x - t_n)$$

- Define **barycentric weights**

$$w_j := \frac{1}{\prod_{k \neq j} (t_j - t_k)}$$

- Then $w_j = \frac{1}{\ell'(t_j)}$
- And $\ell_j(x) = \ell(x) \frac{w_j}{x - t_j}$
- So $p(x) = \ell(x) \sum_{j=0}^n \frac{w_j}{x - t_j} f_j$

Barycentric Lagrange interpolation IV

- Now suppose we interpolate the constant function $1(x) := 1 \quad \forall x$
- Get following for all x :

$$1 = \ell(x) \sum_{j=0}^n \frac{w_j}{x - t_j}$$

...

- Divide $p(x)$ by this:

$$p(x) = \frac{\sum_{j=0}^n \frac{w_j}{x - t_j} f_j}{\sum_{j=0}^n \frac{w_j}{x - t_j}}$$

Barycentric Lagrange interpolation V

- For given set of nodes t_j :
- Calculate weights w_j only *once*, with $\mathcal{O}(N^2)$ operations
- Or known analytically, e.g. for Chebyshev points have
 $w_j = (-1)^j \delta_j$,
 with $\delta_j = 1$ except $\delta_0 = \delta_N = \frac{1}{2}$

Barycentric Lagrange interpolation V

- For given set of nodes t_j :
- Calculate weights w_j only *once*, with $\mathcal{O}(N^2)$ operations
- Or known analytically, e.g. for Chebyshev points have
 $w_j = (-1)^j \delta_j$,
 with $\delta_j = 1$ except $\delta_0 = \delta_N = \frac{1}{2}$
- Evaluate interpolant $p(x)$ at each x with $\mathcal{O}(N)$ operations
- Numerically stable (despite divisions)

Summary

- Spectral convergence of trapezium rule
- “Transplanting” Fourier analysis gives orthogonal expansion of $f : [-1, +1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$
- Basis functions are **Chebyshev polynomials**
- Orthogonal with respect to a particular inner product
- Barycentric Lagrange interpolation reconstructs interpolant rapidly