

31. Clenshaw–Curtis integration

Last time

- Chebyshev expansions
- How to choose number of interpolation points
- Differentiation
- Recurrence relation for Chebyshev polynomials

Goals for today

- Recurrence relation for Chebyshev polynomials
- Clenshaw–Curtis integration
- Aliasing

Recurrence relation

- 3-term **recurrence relation** relating T_k to T_{k-1} and T_{k-2} :

$$T_k(x) = 2xT_{k-1}(x) - T_{k-2}(x)$$

Recurrence relation

- 3-term **recurrence relation** relating T_k to T_{k-1} and T_{k-2} :

$$T_k(x) = 2xT_{k-1}(x) - T_{k-2}(x)$$

- Allows us to calculate $T_k(x)$ explicitly (using $T_0 = 1$ and $T_1(x) = x$)

Recurrence relation

- 3-term **recurrence relation** relating T_k to T_{k-1} and T_{k-2} :

$$T_k(x) = 2xT_{k-1}(x) - T_{k-2}(x)$$

- Allows us to calculate $T_k(x)$ explicitly (using $T_0 = 1$ and $T_1(x) = x$)
- Where does this recurrence relation come from?

Recurrence relation II

- Consider $xT_k(x)$

Recurrence relation II

- Consider $xT_k(x)$
- This is a polynomial of degree $k + 1$, so

$$xT_k(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{k+1} \alpha_{k,j} T_j(x)$$

Recurrence relation II

- Consider $xT_k(x)$
- This is a polynomial of degree $k + 1$, so

$$xT_k(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{k+1} \alpha_{k,j} T_j(x)$$

- $\alpha_{k,j} \propto (xT_k, T_j)$, i.e. equal up to normalization

Recurrence relation II

- We have

$$(xT_k, T_j) = \int_{-1}^{-1} xT_k(x)T_j(x)dx$$

- Change variables using $x = \cos(\theta)$:

$$(xT_k, T_j) = \int_0^{2\pi} c_1 c_k c_j d\theta$$

where $c_j(\theta) := \cos(j\theta)$

Recurrence relation II

- We have

$$(xT_k, T_j) = \int_{-1}^{-1} xT_k(x)T_j(x)dx$$

- Change variables using $x = \cos(\theta)$:

$$(xT_k, T_j) = \int_0^{2\pi} c_1 c_k c_j d\theta$$

where $c_j(\theta) := \cos(j\theta)$

- Use trigonometric relation

$$\cos(A) \cos(B) = \frac{1}{2}[\cos(A + B) + \cos(A - B)]$$

Recurrence relation II

- We have

$$(xT_k, T_j) = \int_{-1}^{-1} xT_k(x)T_j(x)dx$$

- Change variables using $x = \cos(\theta)$:

$$(xT_k, T_j) = \int_0^{2\pi} c_1 c_k c_j d\theta$$

where $c_j(\theta) := \cos(j\theta)$

- Use trigonometric relation

$$\cos(A) \cos(B) = \frac{1}{2}[\cos(A + B) + \cos(A - B)]$$

Recurrence relation III

■ We have

$$(xT_k, T_j) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{2\pi} c_1 [c_{k+j} + c_{k-j}]$$

Recurrence relation III

- We have

$$(xT_k, T_j) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{2\pi} c_1 [c_{k+j} + c_{k-j}]$$

- But $\int c_l c_m = 0$ if $l \neq m$

Recurrence relation III

- We have

$$(xT_k, T_j) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{2\pi} c_1 [c_{k+j} + c_{k-j}]$$

- But $\int c_l c_m = 0$ if $l \neq m$
- So (xT_k, T_j) is 0 unless $j = k + 1$ or $j = k - 1$

Recurrence relation III

- We have

$$(xT_k, T_j) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{2\pi} c_1 [c_{k+j} + c_{k-j}]$$

- But $\int c_l c_m = 0$ if $l \neq m$
- So (xT_k, T_j) is 0 unless $j = k + 1$ or $j = k - 1$
- Hence $xT_k = \alpha T_{k+1} + \beta T_{k-1}$
and we can calculate the constants α and β

Recurrence relation III

- We have

$$(xT_k, T_j) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{2\pi} c_1 [c_{k+j} + c_{k-j}]$$

- But $\int c_l c_m = 0$ if $l \neq m$
- So (xT_k, T_j) is 0 unless $j = k + 1$ or $j = k - 1$
- Hence $xT_k = \alpha T_{k+1} + \beta T_{k-1}$
and we can calculate the constants α and β

- In fact, *any* set of orthogonal polynomials have similar 3-term recurrence

Numerical integration

- Given $f : [-1, +1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$
- How can we calculate $\int f = \int_{-1}^{+1} f(x) dx$?

Numerical integration

- Given $f : [-1, +1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$
- How can we calculate $\int f = \int_{-1}^{+1} f(x) dx$?
- Recall: trapezium rule (equally-spaced points):
 $\mathcal{O}(n^{-2})$ convergence

Numerical integration

- Given $f : [-1, +1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$
- How can we calculate $\int f = \int_{-1}^{+1} f(x) dx$?
- Recall: trapezium rule (equally-spaced points):
 $\mathcal{O}(n^{-2})$ convergence
- Can we do better?

Numerical integration

- Given $f : [-1, +1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$
- How can we calculate $\int f = \int_{-1}^{+1} f(x) dx$?
- Recall: trapezium rule (equally-spaced points):
 $\mathcal{O}(n^{-2})$ convergence
- Can we do better?
- Clenshaw & Curtis (1960)

Clenshaw–Curtis integration

- We now have powerful **Chebyshev technology** at our disposal

Clenshaw–Curtis integration

- We now have powerful **Chebyshev technology** at our disposal
- Sample f at $N + 1$ Chebyshev points, giving f_j

Clenshaw–Curtis integration

- We now have powerful **Chebyshev technology** at our disposal
- Sample f at $N + 1$ Chebyshev points, giving f_j
- Find α_k in Chebyshev expansion

$$f \simeq f_N := \sum_{k=0}^N \alpha_k T_k$$

via Fast Cosine Transform

Clenshaw–Curtis integration

- We now have powerful **Chebyshev technology** at our disposal
- Sample f at $N + 1$ Chebyshev points, giving f_j
- Find α_k in Chebyshev expansion

$$f \simeq f_N := \sum_{k=0}^N \alpha_k T_k$$
 via Fast Cosine Transform
- Double N until last few α_k are of order ϵ_{mach}

Clenshaw–Curtis integration II

- Now calculate $\int f$ as $\simeq \int f_N$:

$$\int f \simeq \sum_{k=0}^N \alpha_k \int T_k$$

Clenshaw–Curtis integration II

- Now calculate $\int f$ as $\simeq \int f_N$:

$$\int f \simeq \sum_{k=0}^N \alpha_k \int T_k$$

- Error $|\int f - \int f_N|$ decays as fast as $\|f - f_N\|$ does

Clenshaw–Curtis integration II

- Now calculate $\int f$ as $\simeq \int f_N$:

$$\int f \simeq \sum_{k=0}^N \alpha_k \int T_k$$

- Error $|\int f - \int f_N|$ decays as fast as $\|f - f_N\|$ does
- E.g. exponential (spectral) convergence if f is analytic

Clenshaw–Curtis integration III

- Need to calculate

$$\int f_N = \sum_{k=0}^N \alpha_k \int T_k$$

Clenshaw–Curtis integration III

- Need to calculate

$$\int f_N = \sum_{k=0}^N \alpha_k \int T_k$$

- Change variable in integral: $x = \cos(\theta)$

$$I_k = \int_{-1}^{+1} T_k(x) dx = \int_0^{\pi} \cos(k\theta) \sin(\theta) d\theta$$

(note upper limit due to parity)

Clenshaw–Curtis integration III

- Need to calculate

$$\int f_N = \sum_{k=0}^N \alpha_k \int T_k$$

- Change variable in integral: $x = \cos(\theta)$

$$I_k = \int_{-1}^{+1} T_k(x) dx = \int_0^{\pi} \cos(k\theta) \sin(\theta) d\theta$$

(note upper limit due to parity)

- Find $I_k = \frac{(-1)^k + 1}{1 - k^2}$

Clenshaw–Curtis integration IV

- So we have

$$\int f = \sum_{k=0}^N \alpha_k I_k$$

• • •

- Integral becomes a dot product!

Clenshaw–Curtis integration IV

- So we have

$$\int f = \sum_{k=0}^N \alpha_k I_k$$

• • •

- Integral becomes a dot product!
- **Riesz representation theorem** for linear functional

Clenshaw–Curtis integration V

- Alternative:
- Once have found N using decay of α_k

Clenshaw–Curtis integration V

- Alternative:
- Once have found N using decay of α_k
- Go back to f_j

Clenshaw–Curtis integration V

- Alternative:
- Once have found N using decay of α_k
- Go back to f_j
- Use Lagrange interpolant $p(x)$ in f_j
- Integrate Lagrange interpolant – explicit formulae

Clenshaw–Curtis integration V

- Alternative:
- Once have found N using decay of α_k
- Go back to f_j
- Use Lagrange interpolant $p(x)$ in f_j
- Integrate Lagrange interpolant – explicit formulae
- But leads to messier results – effectively Discrete Cosine Transform of above

Aliasing

- **Aliasing** is the following phenomenon

Aliasing

- **Aliasing** is the following phenomenon
- Consider sampling Cheb. polynomial T_k at Cheb. points t_j
- This can give the *same function values* as sampling different T_ℓ
- ..
- On $(N + 1)$ -point Chebyshev grid, following have same values:
- $T_m, T_{2n-m}, T_{2n+m}, \dots$

Aliasing

- **Aliasing** is the following phenomenon
- Consider sampling Cheb. polynomial T_k at Cheb. points t_j
- This can give the *same function values* as sampling different T_ℓ
- ..
- On $(N + 1)$ -point Chebyshev grid, following have same values:
- $T_m, T_{2n-m}, T_{2n+m}, \dots$
- Gives relationship between coefficients of *truncation* of f and *interpolation* of f
- See Trefethen, *Approx. Theory*, Chap. 4

Summary

- 3-term recurrence relation for Chebyshev polynomials
- Clenshaw–Curtis integration
- Spectrally accurate for analytic functions