☐34. Calculating with sets: Interval arithmetic

34. Calculating with sets: Interval arithmetic

#### Last time

- Spectral methods
- Eigenvalues of operators
- Time-evolution PDEs

## Goals for today

- Why do we need to calculate with sets?
- Intervals and functions on them
- Rounding

- lacktriangle Suppose we measure a quantity x in an experiment
- If repeat experiment there is variation in outcome

- lacktriangle Suppose we measure a quantity x in an experiment
- If repeat experiment there is variation in outcome
- How can we model this uncertainty?

- lacksquare Suppose we measure a quantity x in an experiment
- If repeat experiment there is variation in outcome
- How can we model this uncertainty?
- Maybe as a probability distribution of possible values

- lacktriangle Suppose we measure a quantity x in an experiment
- If repeat experiment there is variation in outcome
- How can we model this uncertainty?
- Maybe as a probability distribution of possible values
- Or interval of possible values
- If measurement is 1.35 and we think maximum error is 0.05 then  $x \in 1.35 \pm 0.05$
- i.e.  $x \in [1.3, 1.4]$

We know that numerical calculations with floats have rounding errors

- We know that numerical calculations with floats have rounding errors
- Can we keep track of all possible errors?
- To obtain rigorous bounds on result of calculation?

- We know that numerical calculations with floats have rounding errors
- Can we keep track of all possible errors?
- To obtain rigorous bounds on result of calculation?
- lacktriangle Track bounds through calculation: at step i want

$$\ell_i \le x_i \le L_i$$

- We know that numerical calculations with floats have rounding errors
- Can we keep track of all possible errors?
- To obtain rigorous **bounds** on result of calculation?
- lacktriangle Track bounds through calculation: at step i want

$$\ell_i \le x_i \le L_i$$

 $\blacksquare$  i.e.  $x_i \in [\ell_i, L_i]$  – range (interval) of possible values of  $x_i$ 

■ Example by Siegried Rump: Calculate

$$f(a,b) = 333.75b^6 + a^2(11a^2b^2 - b^6 - 121b^4 - 2) + 5.5b^8 + \frac{a}{2b}$$

at a = 77617 and b = 33096

Example by Siegried Rump: Calculate

$$f(a,b) = 333.75b^6 + a^2(11a^2b^2 - b^6 - 121b^4 - 2) + 5.5b^8 + \frac{a}{2b}$$

at a = 77617 and b = 33096

Something strange: try Float32, Float64 and BigFloat

■ Example by Siegried Rump: Calculate

$$f(a,b) = 333.75b^6 + a^2(11a^2b^2 - b^6 - 121b^4 - 2) + 5.5b^8 + \frac{a}{2b}$$

at a = 77617 and b = 33096

- Something strange: try Float32, Float64 and BigFloat
- Get totally different answers!

Example by Siegried Rump: Calculate

$$\begin{split} f(a,b) &= 333.75b^6 + a^2(11a^2b^2 - b^6 - 121b^4 - 2) \\ &+ 5.5b^8 + \frac{a}{2b} \end{split}$$

at 
$$a = 77617$$
 and  $b = 33096$ 

- Something strange: try Float32, Float64 and BigFloat
- Get totally different answers!
- Which is correct (if any)?

Example by Siegried Rump: Calculate

$$\begin{split} f(a,b) &= 333.75b^6 + a^2(11a^2b^2 - b^6 - 121b^4 - 2) \\ &+ 5.5b^8 + \frac{a}{2b} \end{split}$$

at a = 77617 and b = 33096

- Something strange: try Float32, Float64 and BigFloat
- Get totally different answers!
- Which is correct (if any)?
- How **guarantee** that result of calculation using floats is

Example by William Kahan: Consider

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{50} \log |3(1-x) + 1| + x^2 + 1$$

Looks uncomplicated if plot by sampling at many points

Example by William Kahan: Consider

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{50} \log |3(1-x) + 1| + x^2 + 1$$

- Looks uncomplicated if plot by sampling at many points
- Is it really that uncomplicated?
- What is happening near that dip?

Example by William Kahan: Consider

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{50} \log |3(1-x) + 1| + x^2 + 1$$

- Looks uncomplicated if plot by sampling at many points
- Is it really that uncomplicated?
- What is happening near that dip?
- In this case can understand by from expression of function
- But in general this may be very hidden

Example by William Kahan: Consider

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{50} \log|3(1-x) + 1| + x^2 + 1$$

- Looks uncomplicated if plot by sampling at many points
- Is it really that uncomplicated?
- What is happening near that dip?
- In this case can understand by from expression of function
- But in general this may be very hidden
- Can we find guaranteed bounds on range of values a function takes over a set?

How can we truly represent a real number in the computer?

- How can we truly represent a real number in the computer?
- **E**.g.  $\sqrt{3}$  or  $\pi$  or even 0.1?

- How can we truly represent a real number in the computer?
- **E**.g.  $\sqrt{3}$  or  $\pi$  or even 0.1?
- What does it mean when Julia tells you that  $\sqrt{3}$  is 1.7320508075688772?

- How can we truly represent a real number in the computer?
- E.g.  $\sqrt{3}$  or  $\pi$  or even 0.1?
- What does it mean when Julia tells you that  $\sqrt{3}$  is 1.7320508075688772?
- It means that  $\sqrt{3}$  is real number *close to* 1.732...
- In fact, within  $\epsilon(1.7320\ldots)$  ("1 ulp" unit in last place)

- How can we truly represent a real number in the computer?
- E.g.  $\sqrt{3}$  or  $\pi$  or even 0.1?
- What does it mean when Julia tells you that  $\sqrt{3}$  is 1.7320508075688772?
- It means that  $\sqrt{3}$  is real number close to 1.732...
- In fact, within  $\epsilon(1.7320\ldots)$  ("1 ulp" unit in last place)
- I.e. Atually telling us that  $\sqrt(3)$  is in certain **interval**

## Calculating with intervals: sets

These examples suggest the following:

we need to calculate with sets of real numbers!

Instead of individual real numbers

#### Calculating with intervals: sets

- These examples suggest the following:
  we need to calculate with sets of real numbers!
- Instead of individual real numbers

- What does it mean to "calculate with a set"?
- What are basic questions about function f on set X?

lacktriangle Basic question: calculate **range** of function f over set X

- lacktriangle Basic question: calculate  $oldsymbol{range}$  of function f over set X
- $\blacksquare \ \mathrm{range}(f;X) := \{f(x) : x \in X\}$

- lacktriangle Basic question: calculate  ${f range}$  of function f over set X
- $\blacksquare \operatorname{range}(f;X) := \{f(x) : x \in X\}$
- lacksquare Set of all possible output values for inputs in X

- lacktriangle Basic question: calculate  $oldsymbol{range}$  of function f over set X
- $\blacksquare \ \operatorname{range}(f;X) := \{f(x) : x \in X\}$
- lacksquare Set of all possible output values for inputs in X
- Mathematics assumes that the range is accessible

- lacktriangle Basic question: calculate  $oldsymbol{range}$  of function f over set X
- $\blacksquare \ \mathrm{range}(f;X) := \{f(x) : x \in X\}$
- lacksquare Set of all possible output values for inputs in X
- Mathematics assumes that the range is accessible
- But can we calculate the range of a function?

lacktriangle Conceptually easy: Find minimum and maximum over X

- lacktriangle Conceptually easy: Find minimum and maximum over X
- That is a difficult optimization problem!

- lacktriangle Conceptually easy: Find minimum and maximum over X
- That is a difficult optimization problem!
- Can we obtain some information about range more easily?

- Conceptually easy: Find minimum and maximum over X
- That is a difficult optimization problem!
- Can we obtain some information about range more easily?
- What would be most useful?

#### Range II

- lacktriangle Conceptually easy: Find minimum and maximum over X
- That is a difficult optimization problem!
- Can we obtain some information about range more easily?
- What would be most useful?
- What are simplest sets to think about?

#### Intervals

- Range of real numbers
- Simplest: (closed) **interval** on real line:

$$X = [a..b] = \{a \le x \le b : x \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

lacksquare (Standard notation: [a,b])

#### Intervals

- Range of real numbers
- Simplest: (closed) **interval** on real line:

$$X = [a..b] = \{a \le x \le b : x \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

- (Standard notation: [a, b])
- lacksquare Infinite (uncountable) number of elements x in set X

#### Intervals

- Range of real numbers
- Simplest: (closed) **interval** on real line:

$$X = [a..b] = \{a \le x \le b : x \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

- (Standard notation: [a, b])
- lacksquare Infinite (uncountable) number of elements x in set X
- lacktriangle How can we represent an interval X in Julia?

#### Intervals in Julia

■ Define new SimpleInterval type:

```
struct SimpleInterval
   inf::Float64
   sup::Float64
end
```

#### Intervals in Julia

■ Define new SimpleInterval type:

```
struct SimpleInterval
   inf::Float64
   sup::Float64
end
```

And set operations, e.g.

```
Base.in(a::Real, X::SimpleInterval) = X.inf \le a \le X.sup
```

#### Intervals in Julia

■ Define new SimpleInterval type:

```
struct SimpleInterval
   inf::Float64
   sup::Float64
end
```

And set operations, e.g.

```
Base.in(a::Real, X::SimpleInterval) = X.inf \le a \le X.sup
```

Can we define arithmetic on these sets?

- Given an interval X
- lacksquare Suppose f is a function like  $f(x)=x^2$

- Given an interval X
- Suppose f is a function like  $f(x) = x^2$
- lacksquare Can we define f(X)?
- What should this mean?

- Given an interval X
- Suppose f is a function like  $f(x) = x^2$
- lacksquare Can we define f(X)?
- What should this mean?
- How should we calculate it?

- Given an interval X
- Suppose f is a function like  $f(x) = x^2$
- lacksquare Can we define f(X)?
- What should this mean?
- How should we calculate it?
- lacksquare Goal: Find **range** of f over X, i.e. set of possible values

- lacksquare Apply f to X by applying f to each element of X
- Output is a new set

- lacksquare Apply f to X by applying f to each element of X
- Output is a new set
- Obviously impossible to do this since too many elements

- lacksquare Apply f to X by applying f to each element of X
- Output is a new set
- Obviously impossible to do this since too many elements
- Can we calculate the result by hand instead?

# Example: Squaring

- Let's think about  $f(x) = x^2$
- $\blacksquare \text{ With } X = [1..2]$

# **Example: Squaring**

- Let's think about  $f(x) = x^2$
- With X = [1..2]
- What is result of squaring every element  $x \in X$ ?

# **Example: Squaring**

- Let's think about  $f(x) = x^2$
- With X = [1..2]
- What is result of squaring every element  $x \in X$ ?
- What about  $[-1..2]^2$ ?

# Squaring II

• General definition for  $X^2$ :

$$\begin{split} [a..b] &:= [a^2..b^2] & \text{if } a \geq 0 \\ &:= [0..\max(a^2,b^2)] & \text{if } a < 0 \text{ and } b > 0 \\ &:= [b^2..a^2] & \text{if } a < b < 0 \end{split}$$

lacksquare How should we define X+Y for intervals X and Y?

- $\blacksquare$  How should we define X+Y for intervals X and Y?
- Want to add *all* pairs x, y with  $x \in X$  and  $y \in Y$

- lacksquare How should we define X+Y for intervals X and Y?
- Want to add *all* pairs x, y with  $x \in X$  and  $y \in Y$
- Operational:

$$[a..b] + [c..d] := [(a+c)..(b+d)]$$

- lacksquare How should we define X+Y for intervals X and Y?
- Want to add *all* pairs x, y with  $x \in X$  and  $y \in Y$
- Operational:

$$[a..b] + [c..d] := [(a+c)..(b+d)]$$

■ Problem: What is [0..1] - [0..1]?

 $\blacksquare$  Think about operation like  $\exp(x)$  on float x

- $\blacksquare$  Think about operation like  $\exp(x)$  on float x
- lacktriangle Recall: a float x is a special (dyadic)  $\it rational$  number

- Think about operation like  $\exp(x)$  on float x
- lacktriangle Recall: a float x is a special (dyadic)  $\it rational$  number
- lacktriangle exp(x) will produce a non-float real

- Think about operation like  $\exp(x)$  on float x
- lacktriangle Recall: a float x is a special (dyadic) rational number
- lacktriangle exp(x) will produce a non-float real
- Correct rounding: Return the *closest* float

- Think about operation like exp(x) on float x
- Recall: a float x is a special (dyadic) rational number
- lacktriangle exp(x) will produce a non-float real
- Correct rounding: Return the closest float
- This is *difficult* to do in general: CRlibm library

- lacktriangle Think about operation like  $\exp(x)$  on float x
- Recall: a float x is a special (dyadic) rational number
- lacktriangle exp(x) will produce a non-float real
- Correct rounding: Return the closest float
- This is difficult to do in general: CRlibm library
- IEEE-754 standard mandates correct rounding for +, -, \*, /, sqrt

- lacktriangle Think about operation like  $\exp(x)$  on float x
- Recall: a float x is a special (dyadic) rational number
- lacktriangle exp(x) will produce a non-float real
- Correct rounding: Return the closest float
- This is difficult to do in general: CRlibm library
- IEEE-754 standard mandates correct rounding for +, -, \*, /, sqrt
- Requires using additional bits of precision internally

In practice we cannot know in which direction rounding occurred

- In practice we cannot know in which direction rounding occurred
- In context of interval arithmetic, need to bound this error

- In practice we cannot know in which direction rounding occurred
- In context of interval arithmetic, need to bound this error
- I.e. result provided to user should be an interval that is guaranteed to contain true value

- In practice we cannot know in which direction rounding occurred
- In context of interval arithmetic, need to bound this error
- I.e. result provided to user should be an interval that is guaranteed to contain true value
- "Enclosure"

Various possible techniques to do this:

. . .

1 Control processor's rounding direction

Various possible techniques to do this:

. . .

- Control processor's rounding direction
  - Possible but technically difficult and slow, not thread-safe

- Various possible techniques to do this:
  - . . .
  - Control processor's rounding direction
    - Possible but technically difficult and slow, not thread-safe
  - 2 Artificially move the result **outwards**:
    - $\blacksquare$  move left endpoint down (towards  $-\infty$ )
    - $\blacksquare$  move right endpoint up (towards  $+\infty$ )

- Various possible techniques to do this:
  - . . .
  - Control processor's rounding direction
    - Possible but technically difficult and slow, not thread-safe
  - 2 Artificially move the result **outwards**:
    - $\blacksquare$  move left endpoint down (towards  $-\infty$ )
    - $\blacksquare$  move right endpoint up (towards  $+\infty$ )
    - In Julia: prevfloat and nextfloat

- Various possible techniques to do this:
  - . . .
  - 1 Control processor's rounding direction
    - Possible but technically difficult and slow, not thread-safe
  - 2 Artificially move the result **outwards**:
    - $\blacksquare$  move left endpoint down (towards  $-\infty$ )
    - lacksquare move right endpoint up (towards  $+\infty$ )
    - In Julia: prevfloat and nextfloat
    - Gives result that is 2ulps wide instead of 1ulp (unit in last place)

- $\blacksquare \text{ Define } f(x) = x^2 2$
- lacksquare Calculate image of f over X=[3..4]

- $\blacksquare \text{ Define } f(x) = x^2 2$
- lacksquare Calculate image of f over X=[3..4]
- $\blacksquare \ \mathrm{Get} \ Y = f(X) = [7..14]$

- $\blacksquare \text{ Define } f(x) = x^2 2$
- lacksquare Calculate image of f over X=[3..4]
- $\blacksquare \ \text{Get} \ Y = f(X) = [7..14]$
- This does not contain 0

- $\blacksquare \text{ Define } f(x) = x^2 2$
- lacksquare Calculate image of f over X=[3..4]
- Get Y = f(X) = [7..14]
- This does not contain 0
- $\blacksquare \ \textit{Hence} \ 0 \not\in \mathsf{range}(f;X)$

- $\blacksquare \text{ Define } f(x) = x^2 2$
- lacksquare Calculate image of f over X=[3..4]
- Get Y = f(X) = [7..14]
- This does not contain 0
- Hence  $0 \notin \text{range}(f; X)$
- lacksquare So there is no root of f in X

- $\blacksquare \text{ Define } f(x) = x^2 2$
- lacksquare Calculate image of f over X=[3..4]
- Get Y = f(X) = [7..14]
- This does not contain 0
- $\blacksquare \ \textit{Hence} \ 0 \notin \mathsf{range}(f;X)$
- lacksquare So there is no root of f in X
- Proved using floating-point computations!

#### Summary

- Defined intervals as sets and functions / arithmetic on them
- Enable us to calculate **enclosure** of **range** of function
- Can prove results such as non-existence of roots using interval arithmetic