

A Sheet Music Organisation System

Interim Report

Submitted for the BSc in Computer Science with Industrial Experience

January 2015

by

Charlotte Godley

Contents

1	Introduction	3
2	Aims and Objectives	4
2.1	Project Aim	4
2.2	Primary Objectives	4
2.2.1	Rendering of musical files	4
2.2.2	Extraction of Metadata	4
2.2.3	Connection to Online Music Collections	4
2.2.4	Develop the project using Test Driven Development	4
2.3	Secondary Objectives	4
2.3.1	Audio playback	4
2.3.2	MusicOCR conversion of images to parseable MusicXML	5
3	Background	6
3.1	Problem Context	6
3.1.1	Clefs	6
3.1.2	Keys	6
3.1.3	Meter	7
3.1.4	Tempo	7
3.1.5	Further metadata	7
3.2	Comparison of Technologies	7
3.2.1	Programming Language	7
3.2.2	File format	8
3.3	Comparison of Algorithms for Rendering and Organising Sheet Music	8
3.3.1	XML parsing algorithms	8
3.3.2	XML verification algorithms	9
3.3.3	Loading and memory management algorithm	9
3.3.4	Metadata algorithm	9
3.3.5	Rendering Algorithm	10
3.4	Comparison of Technologies for Importing Online Musical Sources	11
3.4.1	Musical Sources	11
3.4.2	Searching Algorithm	12
3.5	Alternative Solutions	12
3.5.1	Commercial Software	12
3.5.2	Open Source Software	12
4	Designs	13
4.1	System Design	13
4.1.1	Initial class diagram and mind map	13
4.1.2	Flow diagrams	13
4.2	UI Design	13
4.2.1	Initial Design	13
4.2.2	Musician feedback survey	13
4.2.3	Revised Design	13
4.3	Test Design	13
5	Project Management Review	14
5.1	Current progress	14
5.2	Adjustments made	14
5.3	Revised timeplan	14
	Appendices	15

A	Mind map of elements of Music	15
B	Initial class diagram	15
C	Revised computerised class diagram	15
D	Initial User Interface Design	15
E	User Interface Feedback survey	15
F	Revised User Interface Design	15
6	References	16

1 Introduction

Notation of western classical music has used a combination of the diastematic and phonetic notation (Rastall 1982) since the advent of Gregorian chant around 640 AD (Taruskin 2005). The function of this notation falls into two main divisions: the expression of relationship in sound frequency, the expression of relationship in time, or measure. (Warner 1929)

The key element of this form of notation is the staff, a grouping of five horizontal lines. This is important as each line or space in the staff indicates a different sound pitch, a term meaning the relative "highness" or "lowness" of the sound. (Land and Vaughan 1978)

This staff is divided by barlines, vertical lines indicating groupings of sound and silence, an indication of the relationship in time. These groupings are called measures or bars, with each bar having a variable maximum of notes and rests.

The representations of other parameters in staff notation are normally phonetic, such as indications *p* (piano), *pizz* (pizzicato). (Rastall 1982) This mechanism is vastly complex, and has a large but finite set of symbols which control every element of the composition.

A musician will often organise pieces notated using this system, or scores as they are formally known, in a music cabinet. These are large ornate cabinets where drawers only allow the user to see the top document, meaning having to move through vast collections to find the right one. This can often mean that music a performer will use regularly is kept on music stands and in piano stools, because if they were to return an item back into the music cabinet, it is likely that they would never find it again. (Feist 2015)

This makes it difficult for instrumentalists to find a good way to organise their collections - this particular physical method allows for only one or two ordering choices, whilst the described notation system has many ways in which a piece can be identified.

It would be useful to a variety of musicians to be able to organise and search their collections using more than one manner. Currently, such mechanisms cannot be done automatically and would necessitate file duplication in order to achieve this manually. This project aims to create a sheet music organisation system for virtual music organisation, which will provide an automatic mechanism for the described problem.

This document discusses the aims and objectives of this project, background setting the project in a technical perspective, designs for the development of the solution, and a review of progress and project management to date. Relevant appendices are also included.

2 Aims and Objectives

2.1 Project Aim

The overall aim of the project is to design and develop a sheet music library application, with the ability to organise and view personal sheet music collections, and download sheet music from the internet. Time permitting, it should also be able to generate sound from the sheet music, and import editable music from flat images.

2.2 Primary Objectives

The project will be considered complete if the following objectives are met:

2.2.1 Rendering of musical files

It is necessary that the project have the ability to render music files, as the intended solution is for the displaying and browsing of sheet music. The chosen file format is MusicXML, a form of XML which is standardised and used by many existing musical composition software solutions.

2.2.2 Extraction of Metadata

The project must be able to extract relevant and useful information about the pieces in the user's collection, as the project's aim is enabling automated collection organisation.

2.2.3 Connection to Online Music Collections

Further to browsing a user's personal collection, the project should enable users to search online music collections. This provides users with a better search mechanism than using a search engine, as it allows users to browse using technical terminology.

2.2.4 Develop the project using Test Driven Development

The project should be developed using Test Driven Development, as due to the complexity and amount of symbols required for music production, it is important that each feature and symbol be tested meticulously in order to ensure validity.

2.3 Secondary Objectives

The following objectives are to be completed if the primary objectives are met, and as such do not dictate the success or failure of the project, but rather are features which would add value to the project.

2.3.1 Audio playback

A further useful, but not mandatory feature, would be the ability to select and play parts of music files, enabling the automatic creation of accompaniment parts for solo musicians, amongst other benefits.

2.3.2 MusicOCR conversion of images to parseable MusicXML

It would be easier for musicians to merge their physical and virtual music collections for automatic organisation if the solution provided the ability to import flat image files and converted them to musicXML, using musical Optical Character Recognition.

3 Background

3.1 Problem Context

This problem's main focus is the difficulty of organising classical sheet music, and how this can be made easier by the automatic extraction of key pieces of information. In order to understand what a performer may want to know about a particular piece, it is important to have a brief understanding of the elements of musical notation common to all compositions.

3.1.1 Clefs

As previously mentioned, the key element of sheet music is the staff, represented as five horizontal lines:

This is the one element which will be common to all pieces handled by the project.

In order to indicate to the reader at which points a staff's pitches will be assigned, every piece will have a clef notation:

The most common clef is known as the treble, or G clef. The G clef indicates that the pitch G, the final tone in the 8 pitch scale of sounds known as an octave, will be positioned on the second line from the bottom of the stave. As pitches are named A-G alphabetically, and where one octave ends the letter names begin again at A, the user can now understand that the next space above the G line is an A, and the space below the G line is an F.

There are several more different clef indications, some of which use the same G symbol, others which denote other letters such as the F and C clefs.

Different parts and pieces have varying clefs in order to position as many of the notes likely to occur in the piece or range of the instrumentalist on the staff. This makes the piece easier to read, as the instrumentalist should know from memory what each pitch in the staff is, but will need to calculate any pitches above or below the staff.

Clefs are an important piece of information to any musician as they indicate the range of pitches the piece is likely to contain. Furthermore, some musicians, such as Tuba players and Cello players, may not have yet learned how to read music in clefs other than the clef their instrument usually uses, which will make the score harder for them to read.

3.1.2 Keys

A second important indication to the player is the key, denoted by a key signature:

A collection of symbols at the beginning of the piece indicate which pitches should always be raised by half pitches, and which should be lower. Raised pitches are called sharps, indicated by the # symbol, whilst lowered pitches are called flats, indicated by the [flat] symbol.

Further to this, each key has a name, named similarly to the individual pitches - the circle of fifths shows how keys link together and which keys can easily be transformed into others. (Pelletier 2015)

Different keys are used in a piece in order to make it more varied, and the key signature is in place so that the composer can put the majority of the piece in that key without repeatedly using the symbols on every occurrence.

This is a useful piece of notation to a musician as pieces in less common keys, such as C# major or F# major, may prove more difficult for the user to perform, and therefore they may want to filter out pieces in these particular keys. Similarly, in the case of singers, a singer's range may sit comfortably in one or two keys and they would perhaps want to find pieces in only these keys.

3.1.3 Meter

The third symbol denoted at the beginning of a measure is the meter, two numerals positioned like a mathematical fraction:

The most common meter is 4/4, sometimes denoted by a C indicating "Common time". The upper number of a meter symbol indicates the amount of beats in the bar. A beat simply refers to a note or rest, and the type of beat is indicated by the lower number. In this case, 4/4 indicates a measure will contain 4 crotchets, or quarter-length notes - these are shown symbolically by this kind of note and rest:

A musician will count through the bar as 1,2,3,4, and notes should generally be grouped so that they fit into one whole beat.

This information is important as it tells the performer how the rhythm and beat of the piece should be felt, counted and performed, and is useful for searching purposes as different meters, or time signatures as they are sometimes referred to, give the piece a different feeling, dictating the sort of occasion this piece would accompany.

For example, 2/4 is commonly used for march pieces, 3/4 is commonly used for waltzes and dance pieces, and 6/8 gives a similar, but more syncopated feel of a dance like piece.

3.1.4 Tempo

The speed of a particular piece, or the tempo, is indicated by an equation:

As explained above, this equation shows that the piece should be played at 60 crotchet beats per minute. Sometimes, this will be accompanied by a text direction to indicate speed or style, such as Andante, indicating a walking speed.

3.1.5 Further metadata

Aside from these symbols, there are some items of textual information useful to the user.

The first of these would be the parts in the piece and their transpositions. Parts would be relevant as a particular group of instrumentalists may need parts that fit their instruments. If this is not the case for a given piece, however, a part written for a different instrument, for example, the Alto Saxophone rather than the Tenor Horn, may be compatible with the instrument anyway, if the transposition matches the instruments together.

Further to this, the user would want to know the piece's title, and names of publishers, composers, arrangers and lyricists of the work. Further to the composer name, it may be useful to know the date of composition as an indication of the piece's stylistic era, such as Classical/Baroque/Romantic, though this would not always be written on the sheet music so may need to be researched using the internet.

3.2 Comparison of Technologies

3.2.1 Programming Language

This project could be developed with a variety of programming languages, as displayed in the following table:

Language	Speed of development	Developer's Knowledge	Cross compatible
C#	Fast	A lot	With difficulty
Python	Fast	A lot	Yes
C++	Slow	Average	Yes

The three key elements the developer is focussing on are speed of development, as the time constraint of a year means it is important that development is not hindered by the language itself, developer knowledge as this will provide an additional time benefit, and cross compatibility, due to the different operating systems the developer intends to use in the course of development.

Due to these factors, Python has been selected. Further to these benefits, there are many projects in the field of musical software research currently in existence using this language, (The Python Foundation 2015) which will help when trying to debug issues and build upon previous research.

3.2.2 File format

3.2.2.1 Creating a new file format It would be possible for this project to create it's own method of file storage, similar to methods used by commercial and open source composition software. This would enable the developer to build a format from the ground up, and design it around the way the system would work.

However, this project focusses on displaying and organising sheet music, and will not be allowing the user to create new sheet music from inside the program. Therefore, in order for the project to be a success it is important that the file format be compatible with popular composition software packages.

3.2.2.2 Using a previously created file format It would potentially be possible to examine files generated from popular packages such as Sibelius, the world's best-selling music composition software, (Avid n.d.) or MuseScore, an open source offering to the composition industry. This would mean that the program would be directly compatible with the default files created by each package.

However, this would require further research into how each piece of software generates it's files, and in the case of Sibelius, may incur copyright issues due to Sibelius being commercial software. It would also mean the project would be tightly coupled with that file format, and if the developers of the original file format were to change it in future, modifications would have to be made frequently to the file handler.

3.2.2.3 Using a well documented music format The final choice considered is using a well documented music format referred to as MusicXML. This format was designed from the ground up to allow sharing of sheet music between programs, and in order to archive sheet music for the future. (Make Music, Inc 2015b)

This file format is directly compatible with MuseScore, (MuseScore 2015a) and compatible with Sibelius version 7, and earlier versions through the use of a plug in. (Make Music, Inc 2015a) The MusicXML website provides a well documented tutorial on producing musicXML as well as a support forum and list of all tags available in musicXML.

However, using this file format means that some of the decisions on how to organise sheet music would have been made according another developer's wishes, which cannot be fixed or improved upon as would be possible if the developer chose to create their own file format.

The problem with tight coupling to this format may also be incurred, however, as this format is intended for sharing between programs, it is unlikely issues of backwards compatibility will occur.

3.3 Comparison of Algorithms for Rendering and Organising Sheet Music

3.3.1 XML parsing algorithms

3.3.1.1 DOM loading algorithm The first option is using a **DOM library** - in python, there are two built in libraries, called DOM (Document Object Model) and MiniDOM, a cut down version of the first. In this method, the entire XML file is loaded into memory, and the developer can look for specific tags and data using search functions.

This option has not been chosen for either objective. This is because for the purpose of rendering music, it may not be necessary to load all of the formatting information from the file, as well as some of the encoding data which composition software often puts into the file - this means that loading all of the data into memory is unnecessary.

Secondly, the DOM library in python is not very easy to use and having to search for a specific tag name does not make for rapid development. Thirdly, in reference to the searching and organising portion of the project, selection of metadata should not require a whole file of data, but rather select tags such as the instruments in the piece, composer, key, tempo and other such information.

3.3.1.2 SAX parsing algorithm SAX is a Simple API for XML processing, which parses the tags in the XML one by one, connecting to callbacks when specific things occur in XML parsing. This enables the developer to build up the functionality of object loading gradually, by connecting specific found tags to created handler methods, and allows for ignorance of tags which are not required.

Furthermore, in the area of metadata extraction, it is unlikely the process will require the entire file in order to extract key features of the piece, and therefore SAX parsing is far more suited to both tasks.

A further musical benefit to loading and rendering sheet music is that this method of parsing could enable the program to disregard notation considered to complex for the user to understand, for example when teaching a new student music theory.

3.3.2 XML verification algorithms

For both the algorithm options discussed in the above section, a further choice is whether to verify the XML parsed, using an online file validator, or presume the file is written in valid MusicXML.

The usual choice is to verify all XML, and is therefore the default option for both methods of parsing. Whilst this confirms that XML is valid before starting parsing of a file which could be corrupt, the speed at which files will parse is greatly reduced according to the speed of the user's internet connection. Furthermore, if the user is browsing their own music collection, it should not be necessary for the user to be connected to the internet.

Due to speed and functionality considerations, the choice has been made that the XML parser algorithm will not verify XML being converted to objects, or being examined for metadata. Given that most musicXML will be produced automatically by other programs, it is unlikely files opened by the project will be corrupt, though necessary steps will be taken to avoid this causing a problem in the program.

3.3.3 Loading and memory management algorithm

This project will load musicXML files for rendering into memory using a class structure, with each class providing its own interface to output mechanisms. This has been decided as objects provide clean and navigatable structure, with the ability to inherit or overwrite parent class mechanisms.

It would also be possible to extract metadata from the musicXML files and create a converter directly to the output format for rendering, however this would make the structure harder to navigate and more difficult to debug, and would probably result in bad programming practices being used.

3.3.4 Metadata algorithm

The metadata algorithm has been designed so that, for a given folder, the program will parse all of the files with the XML extension for a given selection of information (for example, composer, piece title, instruments) and store this information in memory.

This is to be indexed either by the information title - e.g "composer"; or by the information itself - e.g "bartok". This will facilitate faster searching of the database for use when the user is finding a particular piece, and facilitate auto generated playlists by the system. Depending on the method of indexing, the alternate indexer should be stored as part of the value in a key value pair format, alongside the file in which it was found.

In order to store this information, the following methods have been considered.

3.3.4.1 Using object oriented organisation It would be possible to store the information in a class structure, with each class holding the selected information and the file in which the metadata was found.

However, the described algorithm will only be storing 3 elements of data, all of which are strings, and therefore will not need a complex structure.

3.3.4.2 Using generics It has been decided to store all the information in a generic type, namely a dictionary. Dictionaries are built in to python and use intuitive syntax, and whilst this will necessitate having a dictionary of tuples due to needing to store 3 elements of data, this is a simpler organisatory structure.

A further point of discussion on this algorithm is how often the algorithm will need to run in order to have an accurate database:

3.3.4.3 Running the algorithm on every application open It would be possible to check and load all of the metadata for a particular folder each time the user opens the application. This would ensure if any file changes have been made that the metadata was up to date.

However, with testing, it has been found that this is a slow method owing to the volume of test data, and will only become slower if a user has a large collection of music.

3.3.4.4 Caching previous runs It has been decided that the metadata algorithm will first check for a cached metadata file, created from a previous run of metadata parsing. It will then look for any files not in this cached file, parse the metadata from each file, and save out to the updated cache.

This avoids repeat loading of the same data, but if files are changed or updated after the first application open, it could cause confusion for the user. It may be possible to provide an option in the program to force the parser to re-run the metadata extraction on all files in the folder if this is an issue.

3.3.5 Rendering Algorithm

The program is required to take the object structure and transform it, in some way, to musician readable sheet music.

3.3.5.1 Creating a new algorithm using fonts It would be possible to create sheet music using an algorithm designed by the developer. A potential option would be to create an automatic method of typesetting every class using music fonts layered on top of each other inside the render window.

This would give complexity and challenge to the developer and allow the developer to tailor optimisations according to speed and memory management.

However, this may create problems such as panning and zooming into the music which could prove complicated, how best to layer fonts on top of each other which may be difficult to do in a graphical window, and debugging the process would be difficult as it would require manually visually checking the algorithm functions and generates the correct sheet music.

Furthermore, music software has existed for a long time and the rendering algorithm will have been covered by many developers, who will have had longer to develop and test the solution, therefore developing a new algorithm may be considered reinventing the wheel.

3.3.5.2 Creating a new algorithm using images It may also be possible to apply the same algorithm, but generate an image which would then be displayed using a graphical viewer from a built in python graphics library, which would have the functionality for panning and zooming around the image built in.

This still, however, leaves the problem of debugging the process manually, and the described issue with reinventing the wheel.

3.3.5.3 Outputting to a separate rendering program A third option is to have the program connect each class with a separate program, developed by a third party which will render the given symbols.

This removes a level of complexity and technical challenge, but avoids covering a research area which has already been done. Furthermore, this project's aim and main focus is to organise and import music, rather than render or create it, and recreating a rendering algorithm would not achieve this goal.

In addition to this, the issue with debugging music rendering would be alleviated as a third party program designed to render music would have been tested extensively, so the program could be debugged by simply ensuring outputs to the program are correct.

It has been decided to use this option and use Lilypond as the third party software. Lilypond is a cross-platform typesetting language, devoted to producing the highest-quality sheet music possible. (Lilypond 2015) It has a large body of users and a well written collection of documentation.

This will add a further technical challenge as it will be required to understand the syntax of Lilypond, and how Python should output Lilypond files for compilation and conversion to PDF

3.4 Comparison of Technologies for Importing Online Musical Sources

3.4.1 Musical Sources

Two open and free sources of sheet music have been selected for potential inclusion, which will enable users to connect their own music collections with new music without using a browser to peruse collections. The first is **MuseScore Online**, which is a community website created for composers to upload share and discover compositions using the MuseScore platform. (MuseScore 2015b)

This has been selected due to the number of files available, the openness of the platform and the well documented API created by the developers. It will, however, be necessary to manage copyright issues, as pieces published on this website may be published under the license of the composer's choosing and therefore may cause issues with certain types of users, in particular those performing commercially.

The second selected source is the **IMSLP**. This is the **International Music Score Library Project**, built with the intention of sharing the world's public domain music and contains 290,000 scores to date.(IMSLP 2015) This may be a questionable source, as not all pieces are uploaded in MusicXML format due to the pieces being scanned and uploaded by community members, rather than being automatically generated by a piece of software. However, this source does not raise any copyright issues as all pieces are no longer covered by copyright.

It may also be possible to import collections from subscription services and websites enabling purchase of music, such as **MusicNotes.com**. However, this will require closer contact with the companies maintaining the website and may not be appropriate for an educational and academic purpose.

3.4.2 Searching Algorithm

The APIs for both selected sources provide a variety of output formats, the 2 most prominent being XML and JSON. It would be possible to use an algorithm which repeatedly connects to the API and polls for the relevant input from the user, returning a list of options which the user would then select from and download from the server. However, from the perspective of the user, this would be slow, requiring repeated connection to the internet. This would also cause problems for the maintainers of the server, as repeated requests from a piece of software would cause a heavy load on the server and be very unnecessary.

It has therefore been decided that the software will cache a copy of all metadata served from each online source, and search for the relevant inputted data from this, and then, if necessary, collect the relevant file from the server. This would require a connection to the server only twice - once when updating metadata sources, and once when downloading a file - rather than a persistent or repeated connection.

3.5 Alternative Solutions

3.5.1 Commercial Software

3.5.2 Open Source Software

4 Designs

4.1 System Design

4.1.1 Initial class diagram and mind map

4.1.2 Flow diagrams

4.2 UI Design

4.2.1 Initial Design

4.2.2 Musician feedback survey

4.2.3 Revised Design

4.3 Test Design

5 Project Management Review

5.1 Current progress

- body of research done and decisions made from this - development of code and designs for user interface decisions - compare this with expected result

5.2 Adjustments made

based on coursework deadlines issues with stress/multiple projects being handled review and modifications made, and future things to consider in project management based on these

5.3 Revised timeplan

decision on objective implementation: OCR

Appendices

- A Mind map of elements of Music
- B Initial class diagram
- C Revised computerised class diagram
- D Initial User Interface Design
- E User Interface Feedback survey
- F Revised User Interface Design

6 References

- Avid. *Sibelius: the leading music composition and notation software*. URL: http://www.sibelius.com/home/index_flash.html.
- Feist, Jonathan (2015). *Sheet Music Cabinets: a rant*. URL: <http://jonathanfeist.berkleemusicblogs.com/2012/08/07/sheet-music-cabinets-a-rant/> (visited on 06/01/2015).
- IMSLP (2015). *IMSLP/Petrucchi Music Library*. URL: <http://imslp.org> (visited on 06/01/2015).
- Lilypond (2015). *Lilypond - Music notation for everyone*. URL: <http://lilypond.org/index.html> (visited on 06/01/2015).
- Make Music, Inc (2015a). *Dolet 6 Plugin for Sibelius 5.1 and later*. URL: <http://www.musicxml.com/dolet-plugin/dolet-6-plugin-for-sibelius-5-1-and-later/> (visited on 06/01/2015).
- (2015b). *MusicXML for Exchanging Digital Sheet Music*. URL: <http://www.musicxml.com> (visited on 06/01/2015).
- MuseScore (2015a). *MuseScore Tour*. URL: <http://musescore.org/en/musescore-tour> (visited on 06/01/2015).
- (2015b). *Sheet Music Sharing*. URL: <http://musescore.com> (visited on 06/01/2015).
- Pelletier, Michael (2015). *The circle of fifths*. URL: <http://www.circleoffifths.com/#axzz302lXCt1V> (visited on 06/01/2015).
- The Python Foundation (2015). *Python in Music*. URL: <https://wiki.python.org/moin/PythonInMusic> (visited on 06/01/2015).
- Land, Lois Rhea and Mary Ann Vaughan (1978). *Music in today's classroom: creating, listening, performing*. Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, Inc.
- Rastall, Richard (1982). *The Notation of Western Music: An Introduction*. St. Martin's Press.
- Taruskin, Richard (2005). *The Oxford History of Western Music*. Vol. 1. Oxford University Press.
- Warner, Sylvia Townsend (1929). *The Oxford History of Music, Introductory Volume*. Oxford University Press. Chap. 3.