CSE508 Winter 2024 Assignment 1 Report Chaudhary Digvijay Daniel Singh 2020559

1

For preprocessing, I resized the images to (88,88,3), increased the contrast and brightness. And with 50% chance each, flipped or mirrored the image.

The model I used was ImageNet, removing the last layer to get the feature vectors.

2

For preprocessing, I removed stopwords, punctuations, did stemming, lemmatization from the tokens

Then I calculated the term frequency-inverse document frequency score for the reviews.

3

Here,

4

For both Image and Review Retrieval I compared (computing the cosine score) the input Image/Review's features with all the other features. Storing them all in a list, then sorting it to then show the top 3 results.

5

Similar to part 4, the difference being that I took the average of the two cosine similarity scores here.

6

Top result for Image Retrieval

```
Image URL: <a href="https://images-na.ssl-images-amazon.com/images/I/8128">https://images-na.ssl-images-amazon.com/images/I/8128</a>
Review: IMO this is the best and only way to mount toms, especial Cosine similarity of images: 0.92240953
Cosine similarity of reviews: 0.008857584408729318
Composite similarity score: 0.4656335594315375
```

Top result for Review Retrieval

```
Image URL: ['https://images-na.ssl-images-amazon.com/images/I/81Z1d7HaBfL._SY88.jpg']
Review: Nice solid springs and defeinitely more silent. Easy installation and the black looks cool.

Pictured with some old uninstalled springs next to them.
Cosine similarity of images: 0.62275696
Cosine similarity of reviews: 0.3331900140257178
Composite similarity score: 0.47797348601676515
```

The Image Retrieval gives higher scores as the number of tokens is very high, where as the images all have a very high similarity with each other as they are all reviews for instruments.