Assignment Four

Free Monads

Due: 2025-10-5

Synopsis: Implementing free monad-based interpreters for a small arithmetic language.

1 Introduction

In this assignment you will implement an interpreter for APL, based on free monads. In particular, you will extend what you developed in the exercises with new effects and new ways of interpreting them. Since the handout for the assignment differs slightly from the solution to the exercises, do **not** base the assignment on your own solutions to the exercises. You **must** use the assignment handout. In particular, the assignment handout removes the StateGetOp and StatePutOp effects in favour of a more fine grained representation.

For this assignment, you'll be implementing the following:

- Try-catch effects.
- A pure and IO-based interpretation of key-value store effects.
- Interactive missing key recovery.
- A transactional computation effect.

For all tasks you are expected to add appropriate tests to the file Interp_Tests.hs. For information on how to test the IO-based effects, please refer to the week 4 exercises. Do not rename any of the definitions already present in the handout and do not change their types unless the assignment text explicitly instructs you to do so.

To make testing easier, we recommend that you replace the definition of eval in APL. Eval with your version of eval from your solution to

¹github.com/diku-dk/ap-e2025-pub/tree/main/week4#testing-runevalio

assignment 2. A complete version of eval is not needed to fully solve the assignment; all functionality in this assignment can be tested without using eval by constructing appropriate EvalM values (directly or by using the interface functions in APL.Monad).

2 Tasks

Task 1: The TryCatchOp Effect

The TryCatchOp effect is for exception handling. A TryCatchOp m1 m2 k effect says to interpret m1; if it fails (i.e., returns a Left value), then interpret m2. Finally, pass the value resulting from interpreting m1 or m2 to the continuation k. For example,

```
> runEval $ Free $ TryCatchOp (failure "Oh no!") (pure $ ValInt 1) pure
([], Right (ValInt 1))
> divZero = CstInt 1 'Div' CstInt 0
> runEval $ eval $ TryCatch (CstInt 5) divZero
([], Right $ ValInt 5)
> badEql = CstInt 0 'Eql' CstBool True
> runEvalIO $ eval $ TryCatch badEql divZero
Left "Division by zero"

   To start, extend EvalOp with a TryCatchOp constructor:
-- APL.Monad
data EvalOp a
= ...
```

and extend EvalOp's Functor instance appropriately. Also complete the definition of catch.

| TryCatchOp (EvalM Val) (EvalM Val) (Val -> a)

Lastly, add support for TryCatchOp effects to runEval' in APL.InterpPure and to runEvalIO' in APL.InterpIO; runEval and runEvalIO do not need to treat TryCatchOp identically; for example, when interpreting TryCatchOp m1 m2 k it's permissible for the effects of m1 to be visible in m2 in runEvalIO but not visible in runEval (or vice versa).

2.1 Task 2: Key-value Store Effects

In this task, you'll add KvGetOp and KvPutOp operations for reading and storing values from the key-value store, respectively. For example,

```
> put0 m = KvPut0p (ValInt 0) (ValInt 1) m
> get0 = Free $ KvGet0p (ValInt 0) $ \val -> pure val
> runEval $ Free $ put0 get0
([],Right (ValInt 1))
```

Start by extending the EvalOp type with the KvGetOp and KvPutOp constructors:

```
-- APL.Monad
data EvalOp a
= ...
| KvGetOp Val (Val -> a)
| KvPutOp Val Val a
```

then, extend EvalOp's Functor instance appropriately. Next, complete the definitions of evalKvGet and evalKvPut using KvGetOp and KvPutOp, respectively.

Finally, extend runEval' in APL. InterpPure to support KvGetOp and KvPutOp effects as follows:

- On KvGetOp key k effects, runEval' should lookup the key in the state (the function lookup will be useful). If the key is contained in the state with value val, continue interpreting on k val. Otherwise, fail by returning a Left with an appropriate error message.
- On KvPutOp key val m effects, runEval' should should insert the association (key, val) into the state. If the key already exists in the state, it should be replaced by the new association.

Using a Database File for the Key-Value Store

Rather than storing the key-value store in a pure manner and passing state around as a parameter during interpretation (as you did in runEval), in runEval10 we can instead write the key-value to a database file on disk.

To keep things simple, the database will just be a simple text file. We need a way to read and write data from the database—the following functions are provided to do so:

```
-- APL.InterpIO
```

```
writeDB :: FilePath -> State -> IO ()
readDB :: FilePath -> IO (Either Error State)
```

Note that readDB returns an error in the form of a Left-expression when trying to read an invalid database; if this happens, your interpreter should simply propagate the error and return the Left-expression.

For simplicity, the database can only store ValInt and ValBool values; function values are **not** supported. Your implementation is **not** expected to handle storing/reading of ValFun values to/from the database.

Your task is to modify runEvalIO' so that it stores state (i.e., the key-value store) in a database file and to add support for KvGetOP and KvPutOp effects to runEvalIO'.

Specifically, extend runEvalIO to support KvGetOp and KvPutOp effects as follows:

- On KvGetOp key k effects, runEvalIO' should read the database in from db and then lookup the key in the database. As with runEval, return Left if the key doesn't exist in the database. Otherwise, pass the associated value to k and continue.
- On KvPutOp key val meffects, runEvalIO' should read the database in from the db file to get a value of type State; let's call this value dbState. It should then insert the association (key, val) into dbState to construct a new state dbState'. As with runEval, if the key key already exists, the corresponding association should be replaced by the new one. Finally, overwrite the db database file with dbState' by using writeDB.²

For your testing, remember that the database only supports ValInt and ValBool values; you do **not** need to add tests for storing/reading ValFun values. Also note that runEvalIO clears the database on each execution, so database values will **not** persist between invocations of runEvalIO.

²This isn't a particularly efficient way to insert a key-value pair—we do it this way for simplicity.

Missing keys

Having a database is great and all, but our computations still fail if we look for a key that isn't in the database. Since runEvalIO already uses IO, instead of failing, we can interactively prompt the user to specify the value of a missing key during interpretation.

Here's an example of how this should work:

```
> runEvalIO $ eval $ KvGet $ CstInt 0
Invalid key: ValInt 0. Enter a replacement: ValInt 5
Right (ValInt 5)
> runEvalIO $ evalKvGet $ ValInt 0
Invalid key: ValInt 0. Enter a replacement: ValBool True
Right (ValBool True)
```

Keys entered this way should *not* be added to the database.

Add support for this functionality to runEvalIO'. To do so, you'll need to modify how runEvalIO' interprets KvGetOp key k effects. When the key key doesn't exist in the database file, your implementation must print a message to the terminal saying that the given key is invalid and then prompt the user for a replacement. The entered replacement should either be a ValInt or a ValBool. All other inputs (notably, ValFun values) are not supported. To convert the input string into a Val, use the provided readVal:: String -> Maybe Val function in APL.InterpIO. When the input is invalid (i.e., not of the form specified above), readVal will return Nothing; in this case, your interpreter should fail with an appropriate message:

```
> runEvalIO $ eval $ KvGet $ CstInt 0
Invalid key: ValInt 0. Enter a replacement: lol
Left "Invalid value input: lol"
```

Hint: You can use the prompt :: String -> IO String function defined in APL.InterpIO to get input from the user.

Hint 2: To test your missing key handling, you can use captureI0 to simulate input like so:

```
-- APL.Interp_Tests
testCase "Missing key test" $ do
  (_, res) <-</pre>
```

```
captureIO ["ValInt 1"] $
   runEvalIO $
    Free $ KvGetOp (ValInt 0) $ \val -> pure val
res @?= Right (ValInt 1),
```

Task 3: TransactionOp effect

Another thing we might want for our database is to have *transactional* or *atomic* writes to it. First, extend APL with a Transaction expression:

```
-- APL.AST
data Exp
= ...
| Transaction Exp
```

The semantics of evaluating Transaction e is to wrap evaluation of e within a transaction as defined below (and using APL.Monad.transaction). The result of evaluation is otherwise the result of evaluating e.

Next add the TransactionOp effect to the EvalOp type:

```
-- APL.Monad
data EvalOp a
= ...
| TransactionOp (EvalM Val) (Val -> a)
```

Notice that it has an EvalM Val payload—when this payload is interpreted, any effects that **change the state (i.e., the key-value store)** should be all-or-nothing: that is, they should only be manifested if the computation succeeds (i.e, it returns result Right v). If the computation fails (returns Left e), then the **state (i.e., the key-value store)** should be rolled back to the point it was at before the payload was executed. For example,

```
> goodPut = KvPut (CstInt 0) (CstInt 1)
> badPut = Let "_" (KvPut (CstInt 0) (CstBool False)) (Var "die")
> get0 = KvGet (CstInt 0)
> runEval $ eval $ Let "_" (Transaction goodPut) get0
([],Right (ValInt 1))
> runEval $ eval $ TryCatch (Transaction badPut) get0
([],Left "Invalid key: ValInt 0")
```

Note that if the enclosed computation fails, the error should be propagated. For example,

```
> runEval $ eval $ Transaction badPut
([],Left "Unknown variable: die")
```

Extend the Functor instance of EvalOp to support TransactionOp and, using TransactionOp, complete the definition of the transaction function.

As usual, extend runEval' with support for TransactionOp effects. To do so, you should only keep changes to the **state** (i.e., **the key-value store**) from executing the enclosed EvalM Val computation if it succeeds; otherwise continue execution with the prior state. You **must** include the result from any PrintOp effects that occurred before the failure (in the transactional computation) in the final output, regardless of whether or not the transactional computation succeeded:

```
> runEval $ transaction (evalPrint "weee" >> failure "oh shit")
(["weee"],Left "oh shit")
```

You must also correctly handle **nested transactions**. For example,

Next, add support for TransactionOp to runEvalIO'. You should only manifest writes to the database if the whole transactional computation succeeds. To do this, before execution of the transactional computation, make a temporary copy of the database file. To make temporary databases, use the withTempDB function, which creates a fresh temporary database, passes it to a function returning an IO-computation, executes

the computation, deletes the temporary database, and finally returns the result of the computation:

```
-- APL.InterpIO
withTempDB :: (FilePath -> IO a) -> IO a
withTempDB m = do
  tempDB <- newTempDB -- Create a new temp database file.
  res <- m tempDB -- Run the computation with the new file.
  removeFile tempDB -- Delete the temp database file.
  pure res -- Return the result of the computation.
```

Note that newTempDB ensures that the database tempDB is fresh; i.e. that there are no other files named tempDB. You **must** use withTempDB to create temporary databases; **do not** use newTempDB. To copy a database, use the copyDB function:

```
-- APL.InterpIO
copyDB :: FilePath -> FilePath -> IO ()
```

During execution of the transactional computation, perform all writes and reads on the temporary database file. If the computation succeeds, subsequently copy the temporary database to the actual database and continue interpreting. If it fails, simply continue interpreting. As before, you must also correctly handle nested transactions. **Hint:** The function you pass withTempDB should call copyDB to copy the database to the temporary database. If the transactional computation succeeds, you will have to call copyDB again to copy the temporary database back.

Task 4: Breaking out of loops

In this task you will implement support for breaking out of loops, similar to the break statement in C. In contrast with the previous tasks, the main challenge here is that you have to design the representation of the necessary effects yourself.

First, add the following constructor to Exp:

```
-- APL.AST
data Exp
= ...
| Break Exp
```

The intended semantics of evaluating Break e is to first evaluate e to a value v, then immediately return from the innermost enclosing loop with the value v.

To do this, extend the evaluation function in APL.Eval. You will need to add a new case for Break and to modify the case for ForLoop. You should make use of the functions looping and breakLoop from APL.Monad, which are intended to be the entry points for the effects.

Then add corresponding new effects to EvalOp and implement looping and breakLoop to use them.

Finally, modify the interpretation functions in APL.InterpPure and APL.InterpIO to handle the new effects you have added. These implementations will likely be quite similar.

Hints

The operational behaviour of breaking is quite similar how errors are propagated and and handled by TryCatch, and your implementation can be fairly similar.

Examples

```
> runEval $ eval $
ForLoop ("p", CstInt 0) ("i", CstInt 100) $
Let "_" (Break (CstBool True)) (Var "i")
([],Right (ValBool True))
> runEval $ eval $ Break (CstBool True)
([],Left "Break_outside_loop")
```

3 Code handout

The code handout consists of the following nontrivial files.

- a4.cabal: Cabal build file. Do not modify this file.
- runtests.hs: Test runner. Do not modify this file.
- src/APL/AST.hs: AST definition, which you will need to extend slightly.

- src/APL/Eval.hs: An incomplete evaluator corresponding to the solution to the week 2 exercises. You should **replace the definition of eval** with your complete version of eval from your solution to assignment 2.
- src/APL/InterpIO.hs: Contains the incomplete IO-based runEvalIO interpreter.
- src/APL/InterpPure.hs: Contains the incomplete pure runEval interpreter.
- src/APL/Interp_Tests.hs: An interpreter test suite where you will add plentiful tests.
- src/APL/Monad.hs: Contains all things related to the evaluation monad. Note that some definitions from assignment 2 have moved from APL.Eval to APL.Monad in this assignment; e.g. Val and definitions related to the environment.
- src/APL/Util.hs: Utility functions needed for serialization, testing IO, and making temporary database files. You can safely ignore this file. **Do not modify this file.**

4 Your Report

You are expected to comment on the *interesting* details of your implementation. You are *not* expected to give a line-by-line walkthrough of your code. Most importantly, you are expected to reflect on the *quality* of your code:

- Do you think it is functionally correct? Why or why not?
- Is there some improvement you'd have liked to make, but didn't have the time?

It is more important to be aware of the strengths or shortcomings of your solution, than it is to have a complete solution.

4.1 The structure of your report

Your report must be structured exactly as follows:

Introduction: Briefly mention general concerns, any ambiguities in the problem text and how you resolved them, and your own estimation of the quality of your solution. Briefly mention whether your solution is functional, which test cases cover its functionality, which test cases it fails for (if any), and what you think might be wrong.

A section answering the following numbered questions:

- 1. Consider interpreting a TryCatchOp m1 m2 k effect where m1 fails after performing some key-value store effects.
 - (a) Is there a difference between your pure interpreter and your IO-based interpreter in terms of whether the key-value store effects that m1 performed before it failed are visible when interpreting m2? If so, why?
 - (b) Suppose you've implemented your interpreters such that the key-value store effects that m1 performed before it failed are always **visible** when interpreting m2. **Without changing the interpreters**, is it possible to have different behavior where the key-value store effects in m1 are **invisible** in m2? If so, how? If not, why not?
- 2. Why is TransactionOp not defined as follows?

```
data EvalOp a
= ...
| TransactionOp (EvalM ()) a
```

What problems might arise with this definition? Would it make 'TransactionOp' completely useless?

3. Why is TryCatchOp not defined as follows?

```
data EvalOp a
    = ...
    | TryCatchOp a a
```

What problems might arise with this definition?

All else being equal, a short report is a good report.

5 Deliverables for This Assignment

You must submit the following items:

- A single PDF file, A4 size, no more than 5 pages, structured as specified in the report section above.
- A single zip/tar.gz file with all code relevant to the implementation, including at least all the files from the handout. For this assignment it is not necessary to add additional files.

Remember to follow the general assignment rules listed on the course homepage.

6 Assessment

You will get written qualitative feedback, and points from zero to four. There are no resubmissions, so please hand in what you managed to do, even if you have not solved the assignment completely.