

# Atiyah-MacDonald Solutions

Vincent Tran

April 28, 2024

## 1 Chapter 2

In Chapter Exercises:

1. 2.2

(a)  $\text{Ann}(M + N) = \text{Ann}(M) \cap \text{Ann}(N)$ .

(b)  $(N : P) = \text{Ann}((N + P)/N)$ .

2. 2.15: Let  $A, B$  be rings, let  $M$  be an  $A$ -module,  $P$  a  $B$ -module and  $N$  an  $(A, B)$ -bimodule (that is,  $N$  is simultaneously an  $A$ -module and a  $B$ -module and the two structures are compatible in the sense that  $a(xb) = (ax)b$  for all  $a \in A, b \in B, x \in N$ ). Then  $M \otimes_A N$  is naturally a  $B$ -module,  $N \otimes_B P$  an  $A$ -module, and we have

$$(M \otimes_A N) \otimes_B P \cong M \otimes_A (N \otimes_B P).$$

### **Solution:**

First we construct the  $B$  bilinear map

$$(M \otimes_A N) \times P \rightarrow M \otimes_A (N \otimes_B P)$$

that sends  $(m \otimes n, p) \rightarrow m \otimes (n \otimes p)$ . The  $B$  bilinearity comes from  $(b(m \otimes n), p) = (m \otimes nb, p) \mapsto m \otimes (nb \otimes p) = b(m \otimes (n \otimes p)) = m \otimes (b \otimes bp)$ , which is also the image of  $(m \otimes n, bp)$ . Hence this induces a unique  $B$  linear map

$$(M \otimes_A N) \otimes_B P \rightarrow M \otimes_A (N \otimes_B P).$$

By a symmetric argument, we have a unique  $A$  linear map from the other direction, giving us an isomorphism for by tracing where  $(m \otimes n) \otimes p$  goes, it goes to  $m \otimes (n \otimes p)$  and then to  $(m \otimes n) \otimes p$ .

3. If  $f : A \rightarrow B$  is a ring homomorphism and  $M$  is a flat  $A$ -module, then  $M_B = B \otimes_A M$  is a flat  $B$ -module.

**Solution:** The function  $f$  makes  $B$  an  $A$ -algebra. Consider  $B \otimes_B N \cong N$  by Proposition 2.14. Obviously  $B$  has an  $(A, B)$  bimodule structure since  $B$  is an algebra.

Then given an exact sequence  $E$ ,  $E \otimes_A N = E \otimes_A (B \otimes_B N) = (E \otimes_A B) \otimes_B N$  by Exercise 2.15 in the Chapter. As  $B$  is flat as an  $A$ -module and  $N$  is flat as a  $B$ -module, we are done.

1. Show that  $(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) = 0$  if  $m, n$  are coprime.

**Solution:** Take a bilinear map  $f : (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}) \times (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$ . Then by bilinearity, we have  $f(mx, y) = f(0, y) + mf(x, y) = f(0, y)$  and  $f(x, ny) = f(x, 0) + nf(x, y) = f(x, 0)$ , which imply that  $mf(x, y) = 0$  and  $nf(x, y) = 0$ . By Bezout's Lemma, we have that there exists  $a, b$  s.t.  $am + bn = 1$  as  $m, n$  are coprime. Thus  $f(x, y) = 0$ .

2. Let  $A$  be a ring,  $M$  an  $A$ -module. Show that  $(A/\mathfrak{a}) \otimes_A M$  is isomorphic to  $M/\mathfrak{a}M$ . [Tensor the exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{a} \rightarrow A \rightarrow A/\mathfrak{a} \rightarrow 0$  with  $M$ ]

**Solution:** By tensoring with the exact sequence  $\mathfrak{a} \rightarrow A \rightarrow A/\mathfrak{a} \rightarrow 0$ , we get

$$0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{a} \otimes_A M \rightarrow A \otimes_A M \rightarrow A/\mathfrak{a} \otimes M \rightarrow 0. \quad (\text{Prop 2.8})$$

Then by Proposition 2.14,  $A \otimes M \rightarrow M$  is an isomorphism by  $a \otimes$ , we have  $\mathfrak{a} \otimes M \cong \mathfrak{a}M$  and  $A \otimes M \cong M$ . Hence by commutativity of

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{a} \otimes M & \longrightarrow & A \otimes M \\ \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong \\ \mathfrak{a}M & \longrightarrow & M \end{array},$$

(for the commutativity, the definitions of the maps down make it obvious) we have that  $\text{Im}(\mathfrak{a}M \rightarrow M) = \ker(M \rightarrow M/\mathfrak{a}M) = \ker(A/\mathfrak{a} \otimes M)$ .

So we have this diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & M/\mathfrak{a}M & & \\ & \nearrow & & \searrow & \\ \mathfrak{a}M \longrightarrow M & & & & 0 \\ & \searrow & & \nearrow & \\ & & A/\mathfrak{a} \otimes M & & \end{array}$$

By some isomorphism theorem and surjectivity of the last maps, we have that  $M/\mathfrak{a}M \cong A/\mathfrak{a} \otimes M$ .

3. Let  $A$  be a local ring,  $M$  and  $N$  finitely generated  $A$ -modules. Prove that if  $M \otimes_A N = 0$ , then  $M = 0$  or  $N = 0$ . [Let  $\mathfrak{m}$  be the maximal ideal,  $k = A/\mathfrak{m}$  the residue field. Let  $M_k = k \otimes_A M \cong M/\mathfrak{m}M$  by Exercise 2. By Nakayama's lemma,  $M_k = 0 \implies M = 0$ . But  $M \otimes_A N = 0 \implies (M \otimes_A N)_k = 0 \implies M_k \otimes_k N_k = 0 \implies M_k = 0$  or  $N_k = 0$ , since  $M_k, N_k$  are vector spaces over a field.]

**Solution:** We do as the hint suggests: Let  $\mathfrak{m}$  be the maximal ideal,  $k = A/\mathfrak{m}$  the residue field and define  $M_k = k \otimes_A M \cong M/\mathfrak{m}M$  by Exercise 2.

By Nakayama's lemma,  $M_k = 0 \implies M = 0$  since  $k \subseteq$  the Jacobson radical,  $M_k = 0 \implies M = \mathfrak{m}M$ , and  $M$  is finitely generated.

Then we have that  $M \otimes_A N = 0 \implies (M \otimes_A N)_k = 0$  because

As the tensor product of vector spaces is just the direct sum, this implies that  $0 = k \otimes k \otimes (M \otimes_A N) = M_k \otimes_k N_k = 0$  by commuting. As  $A$  bilinear maps on  $M_k \times N_k$  are  $k$  linear maps on  $M_k \times N_k$ , we have  $M_k \otimes_k N_k = 0$ . Finally, this implies that  $M_k = 0$  or  $N_k = 0$ , since  $M_k, N_k$  are vector spaces.

4. Let  $M_i (i \in I)$  be any family of  $A$ -modules, and let  $M$  be their direct sum. Prove that  $M$  is flat  $\iff$  each  $M_i$  is flat.

**Solution:** Fix an exact sequence  $E$ .

We have that  $E \otimes M = E \otimes (\bigoplus M_i) = \bigoplus (E \otimes M_i)$  because each direct sum is finite and hence belongs to a finite direct sum in which we can use Proposition 2.14. Then if  $E \otimes M$  is exact, so is each coordinate, which gives us the individual  $M_i$  is exact. If each coordinate is exact then so is  $E \otimes M$ .

5. Let  $A[x]$  be the ring of polynomials in one indeterminate over a ring  $A$ . Prove that  $A[x]$  is a flat  $A$ -algebra. [Use Exercise 4.]

**Solution:** Clearly  $A[x] = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} Ax^i$ . So by the above exercise, it suffices to show that  $Ax^i$  is flat. Say we have a short exact sequence of  $A$ -modules

$$0 \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow D \rightarrow 0.$$

Then

$$0 \rightarrow B \otimes Ax^i \rightarrow C \otimes Ax^i \rightarrow D \otimes Ax^i \rightarrow 0$$

is exact because tensoring with  $Ax^i$  is the same as tensoring with  $A$  as bilinear maps  $B \times Ax^i$  are bilinear on  $B \times A$  and likewise for linear maps. So tensoring with  $Ax^i$  also induces unique linear maps that make the tensor universal diagram commute, so by uniqueness of the universal property, they are the same.

Finally tensoring with  $A$  is the same as the original module by Proposition 2.14. So  $Ax^i$  is flat and so is  $A[x]$ .

6. For any  $A$ -module, let  $M[x]$  denote the set of all polynomials in  $x$  with coefficients in  $M$ , that is to say expressions of the form

$$m_0 + m_1x + \cdots + m_rx^r. \quad (m_i \in M)$$

Defining the product of an element of  $A[x]$  and an element of  $M[x]$  in the obvious way, show that  $M[x]$  is an  $A[x]$ -module.

Show that  $M[x] \cong A[x] \otimes_A M$ .

**Solution:** First, it is an abelian group by commuting and grouping together terms with the same power of  $x$ . Then for the properties of an  $A[x]$  module: Distributivity holds by simply defining it as so.

$$\begin{aligned} (r+s)m &= (r_0 + \cdots + r_jx^j + s_0 + \cdots + s_kx^k)m \\ &= (r_0 + s_0 + \cdots + (r_{j+k} + s_{j+k})x^{j+k})m \\ &= (r_0 + s_0)m + (r_1 + s_1)mx + \cdots \\ &= rm + sm \\ r(sm) &= r(s_0m + s_1mx + \cdots + s_kmx^k) \\ &= r(s_0m) + \cdots + r(s_kmx^k) \\ &= rs_0m + \cdots + rs_kx^km \\ &= (rs_0 + \cdots + rs_kx^k)m \\ &= (rs)m \\ 1m &= m. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $M[x]$  is an  $A[x]$  module.

We use the universal property. Say we have a bilinear map

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A[x] \times M & \longrightarrow & M[x] \\ & \searrow f & \\ & & B \end{array}$$

where the top map takes  $(a(x), m) \rightarrow a(x)m$ . Then we have the unique linear map  $\hat{f} : M[x] \rightarrow B$  that takes  $m_0 + m_1x + \cdots + m_rx^r$  to  $f(1, m_0) + f(x, m_1) + \cdots + f(x^r, m_r)$ . This is linear because of linearity of  $f$  in  $M$ . It is unique because we have a basis that uniquely determines the map by linearity, and the bases have to be mapped to the things that generate this map.

Hence by the universal property,  $M[x] \cong A[x] \otimes M$ .

7. Let  $\mathfrak{p}$  be a prime ideal in  $A$ . Show that  $\mathfrak{p}[x]$  is a prime ideal in  $A[x]$ . If  $\mathfrak{m}$  is a maximal ideal in  $A$ , is  $\mathfrak{m}[x]$  a maximal ideal in  $A[x]$ ?

**Solution:** It is clear that  $A[x]/\mathfrak{p}[x] \cong (A/\mathfrak{p})[x]$ . As  $A/\mathfrak{p}$  is an integral domain by primality of  $\mathfrak{p}$ ,  $(A/\mathfrak{p})[x]$  is an integral domain (look at leading coefficients) and thus  $\mathfrak{p}[x]$  is prime.

Similarly with  $\mathfrak{m}$ ,  $A[x]/\mathfrak{m}[x] \cong (A/\mathfrak{m})[x]$ . Then  $A/\mathfrak{m}$  is a field, and clearly  $(A/\mathfrak{m})[x]$  is not a field.

8. (a) If  $M$  and  $N$  are flat  $A$ -modules, then so is  $M \otimes_A N$ .

**Solution:** Let  $E$  be an exact sequence. Then  $E \otimes_A M$  is exact by  $M$  being flat, and hence  $(E \otimes_A M) \otimes_A N$  is exact. By Proposition 2.14, this sequence equals  $E \otimes_A (M \otimes_A N)$ , so  $M \otimes_A N$  is flat.

- (b) If  $B$  is a flat  $A$ -algebra and  $N$  is a flat  $B$ -module, then  $N$  is flat as an  $A$ -module.

**Solution:** Consider  $B \otimes_B N \cong N$  by Proposition 2.14. Then  $N$  is flat as an  $A$ -module by Exercise 2.20 in the Chapter.

9. Let  $0 \rightarrow M' \rightarrow M \rightarrow M'' \rightarrow 0$  be an exact sequence of  $A$ -modules. If  $M'$  and  $M''$  are finitely generated, then so is  $M$ .

**Solution:** As the last map is surjective, the preimage of  $M''$  is  $M$ , so the preimage of the generators of  $M''$  and the kernel generate  $M$ . But the kernel is finitely generated as it is the image of  $M'$ , so  $M$  is finitely generated.

10. Let  $A$  be a ring,  $\mathfrak{a}$  an ideal contained in the Jacobson radical of  $A$ ; let  $M$  be an  $A$ -module and  $N$  a finitely generated  $A$ -module, and let  $u : M \rightarrow N$  be a homomorphism. If the induced homomorphism  $M/\mathfrak{a}M \rightarrow N/\mathfrak{a}N$  is surjective, then  $u$  is surjective.

**Solution:** It suffices to show that  $N = \mathfrak{a}N + u(M)$  by Corollary 2.7. Clearly  $\mathfrak{a}N + u(M) \subseteq N$  by definitions.

Let  $\phi_N$  be the quotient map  $N \rightarrow N/\mathfrak{a}N$  and  $\phi_M$  be the map  $M \rightarrow M/\mathfrak{a}M$ . Then because  $\hat{u}$  is induced by  $\phi \circ u$ ,  $\phi_N \circ u = \hat{u} \phi_M$ . As both  $\hat{u}$  and  $\phi_M$  are surjective, the LHS is too. Hence for every element  $n$  of  $N$ , by the surjectivity of  $\phi_N$ , there is an element in  $u(M)$  s.t.  $\phi_N$  of it equals  $n$ . Thus  $u(M) + \ker \phi_N = u(M) + \mathfrak{a}N = N$ .

11. Let  $A$  be a ring  $\neq 0$ . Show that  $A^m \cong A^n \implies m = n$ .

**Solution:** Let  $\mathfrak{m}$  be a maximal ideal of  $A$  and let  $\phi : A^m \rightarrow A^n$  be an isomorphism. Then  $1 \otimes \phi : A/\mathfrak{m} \otimes A^m \rightarrow A/\mathfrak{m} \otimes A^n$  is an isomorphism of vector space (Exercise 2 for modules over a field). But then these vector spaces have to have the same dimension over  $A/\mathfrak{m}$ , which are  $m$  and  $n$  respectively. So  $m = n$ .

- (a) If  $\phi : A^m \rightarrow A^n$  is surjective, then  $m \geq n$ .

**Solution:** Let  $\mathfrak{m}$  be a maximal ideal of  $A$  and let  $\phi : A^m \rightarrow A^n$  be a surjection. Then  $1 \otimes \phi : A/\mathfrak{m} \otimes A^m \rightarrow A/\mathfrak{m} \otimes A^n$  is a surjection of vector space (Exercise 2) (surjectivity from right exactness of tensoring (Proposition 2.18)). Then note that their dimensions are  $m, n$  respectively. As this is a surjective vector space map,  $m \geq n$ .

- (b) If  $\phi : A^m \rightarrow A^n$  is injective, is it always the case that  $m \leq n$ ?

**Solution:** No. Consider  $A = \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots]$ . Then consider the map  $A \times A \rightarrow A$  that maps  $(f(x_1, \dots), g(x_1, \dots)) \rightarrow f(x_1, x_3, \dots) + g(x_2, x_4, \dots)$ . Obviously they are injective as they are inclusions under relabelling. Clearly  $2 \not\leq 1$ .

12. Let  $M$  be a finitely generated  $A$ -module and  $\phi : M \rightarrow A^n$  a surjective homomorphism. Show that  $\ker \phi$  is finitely generated.

**Solution:** We can see that  $M$  is generated by picking a representative in the preimage of the basis of  $A^n$  and the kernel since the quotient is surjective, so every element in  $M$  is an element in  $A^n$  up to the kernel of the map. This then forms a basis because the preimage of the basis is a basis (otherwise push forward a relation), and the kernel and the basis have no relations because otherwise the basis would be in the kernel.

Hence  $M = \ker \phi \oplus A^n$ , which implies that  $\ker \phi$  is finitely generated, otherwise  $M$  wouldn't be finitely generated.

13. Let  $f : A \rightarrow B$  be a ring homomorphism, and let  $N$  be a  $B$ -module. Regarding  $N$  as an  $A$ -module by restriction of scalars, form the  $B$ -module  $N_B = B \otimes_A N$ . Show that the homomorphism  $g : N \rightarrow N_B$  which maps  $y$  to  $1 \otimes y$  is injective and that  $g(N)$  is a direct summand of  $N_B$ .

**Solution:** We can see that  $p(g(n)) = n \forall n \in N$ , which is injective. Hence  $g$  is.

To show that it is the direct sum, we do as the hint suggests and realize that  $g \circ p$  is the identity map on elements not in  $\ker p$  because  $B$  is generated as a  $B$ -module by 1, so we have generators of  $N_B$  being of the form  $1 \otimes q$ . It easily follows that  $gp(1 \otimes q) = 1 \otimes q$ ,  $g$  is  $B$  linear.

Thus every element of  $N_B$  is either in the image of  $g$  or in the kernel of  $p$ . Then to show they are independent, suppose we have a non-trivial relation  $\sum b_i \otimes y_i + 1 \otimes y \in \ker p + \text{Im } g$  equalling 0. Then  $p(\sum b_i \otimes y_i + 1 \otimes y) = 0 + p(1 \otimes y) = y \neq 0$  otherwise it would be a trivial relation.

14. A partially ordered set  $I$  is said to be a directed set if for each pair  $i, j$  in  $I$  there exists  $k \in I$  such that  $i \leq k$  and  $j \leq k$ .

Let  $A$  be a ring, let  $I$  be a directed set and let  $(M_i)_{i \in I}'$  be a family of  $A$ -modules indexed by  $I$ . For each pair  $i, j$  in  $I$  such that  $i \leq j$ , let  $\mu_{ij} : M_i \rightarrow M_j$  be an  $A$ -homomorphism, and suppose that the following axioms are satisfied:

1.  $\mu_{ii}$  is the identity mapping of  $M_i$  for all  $i \in I$ ;

2.  $\mu_{ik} = \mu_{jk} \circ \mu_{ij}$  whenever  $i \leq j \leq k$ .

Then the modules  $M_i$  and homomorphisms  $\mu_{ij}$  are said to form a direct system  $M = (M_i, \mu_{ij})$  over the directed set  $I$ .

We shall construct an  $A$ -module  $M$  called the **direct limit** of the direct system  $M$ . Let  $C$  be the direct sum of the  $M_i$ , and identify each module  $M_i$  with its canonical image in  $C$ . Let  $D$  be the submodule of  $C$  generated by all elements of the form  $x_i - \mu_{ij}(x_i)$  where  $i \leq j$  and  $x_i \in M_i$ . Let  $M = C/D$ , let  $\mu : C \rightarrow M$  be the projection and let  $\mu_i$  be the restriction of  $M_i$ .

The module  $M$ , or more correctly the pair consisting of  $M$  and the family of homomorphisms  $\mu_i : M_i \rightarrow M$ , is called the direct limit of the direct system  $M$ , and is written  $\lim_{\rightarrow} M_i$ . From the construction it is clear that  $\mu_i = \mu_j \circ \mu_{ij}$  whenever  $i \leq j$ .

**Solution:** Let  $Q = \{X_i - \mu_{ij}(X_i), X_i \in M_i, i \leq j\}$ . We can see that from definition, for  $x_i \in M_i$ ,  $\mu_i(x_i) = x_i + Q = x_i - (x_i - \mu_{ij}(x_i)) + Q = \mu_j(\mu_{ij}(x_i))$ .

15. In the situation of Exercise 14, show that every element of  $M$  can be written in the form  $\mu_i(x_i)$  for some  $i \in I$  and some  $x_i \in M_i$ .  
Show also that if  $\mu_i(x_i) = 0$  then there exists  $j \geq i$  such that  $\mu_{ij}(x_i) = 0$  in  $M_j$ .

**Solution:** It suffices to show that  $x_j + x_k + Q$  for  $x_j \in M_j, x_k \in M_k$  is of the desired form since  $M$  are quotient classes of a finite sum. We can see that  $x_j + x_k + Q = \mu_{j\ell}(x_j) + \mu_{k\ell}(x_k) + Q$  for  $j \leq \ell$  and  $k \leq \ell$ . Then because  $\mu_{j\ell}(x_j) + \mu_{k\ell}(x_k) \in M_\ell$ ,  $\mu_{j\ell}(x_j) + \mu_{k\ell}(x_k) + Q = \mu_\ell(\mu_{j\ell}(x_j) + \mu_{k\ell}(x_k))$ . Since  $Q = \mu_i(x_i) = x_i + Q$ , there is some finite set of  $j_\ell \geq i$  s.t.  $x_i = \sum (x_{j_\ell} - \mu_{ij_\ell}(x_{j_\ell})), x_{j_\ell} \in M_{j_\ell}$ . Since the  $M_i, M_{j_\ell}$  are distinct,  $\sum \mu_{ij_\ell}(x_{j_\ell}) = 0$ .

16. Show that the direct limit is characterized (up to isomorphism) by the following property. Let  $N$  be an  $A$ -module and for each  $i \in I$ , let  $\alpha_i : M_i \rightarrow N$  be an  $A$ -module homomorphism such that  $\alpha_i = \alpha_j \circ \mu_{ij}$  whenever  $i \leq j$ . Then there exists a unique homomorphism  $\alpha : M \rightarrow N$  such that  $\alpha_i = \alpha \circ \mu_i$  for all  $i \in I$ .

**Solution:** Simply define  $\alpha$  to be  $(\bigoplus x_i) + Q \mapsto \bigoplus \alpha_i(x_i)$ . This is well-defined because for any  $m_i - \mu_{ij}(m_i) \in Q$ , this gets mapped to  $\alpha_i(m_i) - \alpha_j(\mu_{ij}(m_i)) = \alpha_i(m_i) - \alpha_i(m_i) = 0$ . Then this commutes properly because  $\alpha(\mu_i(m_i)) = \alpha(m_i + Q) = \alpha_i(m_i)$ . Finally, this is unique because given another  $\alpha'$  with these properties and arbitrary  $\bigoplus x_i + Q \in M$ ,  $\alpha'(\bigoplus x_i + Q) = \alpha'(\mu_I(x_I))$  given by Exercise 15. Then by definition of  $\alpha'$ ,  $\alpha'(\mu_I(x_I)) = \alpha_I(x_I) = \alpha(\mu_I(x_I)) = \alpha(\bigoplus x_i + Q)$ . Hence  $\alpha' = \alpha$  for all elements of  $M$ , and we are done. The characterizing up to isomorphism is just a classic universal property argument.

17. Let  $(M_i)_{i \in I}$  be a family of submodules of an  $A$ -module, such that for each pair of indices  $i, j$  in  $I$ , there exists  $k \in I$  s.t.  $M_i + M_j \subseteq M_k$ . Define  $i \leq j$  to mean  $M_i \subseteq M_j$  and let  $\mu_{ij} : M_i \rightarrow M_j$  be the embedding of  $M_i$  in  $M_j$ . Show that

$$\lim_{\rightarrow} M_i = \sum M_i = \bigcup M_i.$$

In particular, any  $A$ -module is the direct limit of its finitely generated submodules.

**Solution:** Obviously this satisfies the conditions for the direct limit as the maps are just embeddings. To show the equality, we can realize  $\cup M_i$  as having the properties of the direct limit: Say we have a family of maps  $\alpha_i$  into an  $A$ -module  $N$  that respect the directed system's maps.

Then we have a map  $\alpha : \cup M_i \rightarrow N$  defined by taking an element  $m$ , finding a  $M_i$  it is in, and mapping it to  $\alpha_i(m)$ . This is well-defined because  $\alpha_i$  respects the directed system's maps, those being inclusions. Hence it is isomorphic to the direct limit by Exercise 16.

Since  $\{M_i\}$  is a poset and we have that for every increasing chain, there is a maximal element (namely the union of all the modules in the chain), there is a maximal element  $M$  in this set. This equals  $\cup M_i$ , and hence  $M \subseteq \sum M_i \subseteq M$ .

18. Let  $\mathbf{M} = (M_i, \mu_{ij}), \mathbf{N} = (N_i, \nu_{ij})$  be direct systems of  $A$ -modules over the same directed set. Let  $M, N$  be the direct limits and  $\mu_i : M_i \rightarrow M, \nu_i : N_i \rightarrow N$  the associated homomorphisms. A homomorphism  $\phi : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbf{N}$  is by definition a family of  $A$ -module homomorphisms  $\phi_i : M_i \rightarrow N_i$  such that  $\phi_j \circ \mu_{ij} = \nu_{ij} \circ \phi_i$  whenever  $i \leq j$ . Show that  $\phi$  defines a unique homomorphism  $\phi = \lim_{\rightarrow} \phi_i : M \rightarrow N$  such that  $\phi \circ \mu_i = \nu_i \circ \phi_i$  for all  $i \in I$ .

**Solution:** We have maps  $\psi_i : M_i \rightarrow N$  by doing the composition  $\nu_i \circ \phi_i$ , which commute with the system because  $\psi_j(\mu_{ij}) = \nu_j \circ \nu_{ij} \circ \phi_i = \nu_i \circ \phi_i$ . Hence there is a unique map  $M \rightarrow N$  that commutes with the system by the characterizing property of the direct limit. Since this map commutes with the system,  $\phi \circ \mu_i = \psi_i = \nu_i \circ \phi_i$ .

19. A sequence of direct systems and homomorphisms

$$\mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbf{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}$$

is exact if the corresponding sequence of modules and module homomorphisms is exact for each  $i \in I$ . Show that the sequence  $M \rightarrow N \rightarrow P$  of direct limits is then exact.

**Solution:** Let  $\mu_{ij}, \nu_{ij}, \rho_{ij}$  be the maps in the systems,  $a_i, b_i$  be the maps  $M_i \rightarrow N_i$  and  $N_i \rightarrow P_i$ , and let  $\mu_M, \nu_N$  be the maps from  $M \rightarrow \cdot, N \rightarrow \cdot$  that are induced by the direct limit property ( $\cdot$  will be  $N$  or  $P$ ).

Fix an element  $x \in M$ . By Exercise 15,  $x = \mu_i(x_i)$  for some  $i$ . Then we can see that  $\mu_{MP}\mu_i = \rho_i b_i a_i$  for all  $i$  by commuting properties of the direct limit. In particular,  $\mu_{MP}(x) = \mu_{MP}\mu_i(x) = \rho_i b_i a_i = 0$  since  $a_i, b_i$  are in an exact sequence.

Finally, we can show that  $\ker \nu_{NP} \subseteq \text{Im } \mu_{MN}$  by supposing  $\nu_{NP}(x) = 0$  for  $x \in N$ . Then by Exercise 15,  $x = \nu_i(x_i)$  for some  $i$ . By commuting properties,  $\nu_{NP}\nu_i = \rho_i b_i$ , so  $\nu_{NP}(x) = \nu_{NP}\nu_i(x_i) = \rho_i b_i(x_i) = 0$ . By exercise 15, if  $\rho_i(b_i(x_i)) = 0$ , there exists  $j \geq i$  s.t.  $\rho_{ij}(b_i(x_i)) = 0$ . By commutativity of the diagram, this equals  $b_j(\nu_{ij}(x_i)) = 0$ , which by exactness gives us that  $\nu_{ij}(x_i) \in \text{Im } a_j$ . By applying  $\nu_j$  to both sides, we can see that  $\nu_i(x_i) \in \text{Im}(M_j \rightarrow N)$ . Being in the image of  $M_j \rightarrow N$  is in the image of  $\mu_{MN}$  since, by being the direct limit,  $\mu_{MN}$  factors through this map.

Hence  $\ker \nu_{NP} = \text{Im } \mu_{MN}$ .

To understand this proof clearly, let  $I$  just be the naturals and draw the commutative diagrams out.

20. Keeping the same notation as in Exercise 14, let  $N$  be any  $A$ -module. Then  $(M_i \otimes N, \mu_{ij} \otimes 1)$  is a direct system; let  $P = \lim_{\rightarrow} (M_i \otimes N)$  be its direct limit. For each  $i \in I$ , we have a homomorphism  $\mu_i \otimes 1 : M_i \otimes N \rightarrow M \otimes N$ , hence by Exercise 16 a homomorphism  $\psi : P \rightarrow M \otimes N$ . Show that  $\psi$  is an isomorphism, so that

$$\lim_{\rightarrow} (M_i \otimes N) \cong (\lim_{\rightarrow} M_i) \otimes N.$$

**Solution:** We show that  $M \otimes N$  satisfies the universal property for direct limits. Suppose we have maps  $\{f_i : M_i \otimes N \rightarrow Q\}$ . Then these lead to bilinear maps  $\hat{f}_i : M_i \times N \rightarrow Q$ . By direct limit properties, we then have a map  $M \times N \rightarrow Q$ . This is bilinear because it commutes with bilinear maps. This bilinear map then induces a unique linear map  $M \otimes N \rightarrow Q$ . This is the universal property of direct limits, so  $M \otimes N \cong \lim_{\rightarrow} (M_i \otimes N)$ .

21. Let  $(A_i)_{i \in I}$  be a family of rings indexed by a directed set  $I$ , and for each pair  $i \leq j$  in  $I$ , let  $\alpha_{ij} : A_i \rightarrow A_j$  be a ring homomorphism, satisfying conditions (1) and (2) of Exercise 14. Regarding each  $A_i$  as a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module, we can then form the direct limit  $A = \lim_{\rightarrow} A_i$ . Show that  $A$  inherits a ring structure from the  $A_i$  so that the mappings  $A_i \rightarrow A$  are ring homomorphisms. The ring  $A$  is the direct limit of the system  $(A_i, \alpha_{ij})$ .  
If  $A = 0$  prove that  $A_i = 0$  for some  $i \in I$ .

**Solution:** For  $a, a' \in \text{limit } A$ , define  $a \cdot a'$  as  $\mu_k(\mu_{ik}(a_i)\mu_{jk}(a'_j))$  where  $a = \mu_i(a_i)$ ,  $a' = \mu_j(a'_j)$  and  $k \geq i, j$ , which is well-defined because for other  $k' \geq i, j$ , we can find a  $k''$  s.t.  $\mu_{k'}(\mu_{ik'}(a_i)\mu_{jk'}(a'_j)) = \mu_{k''}(\mu_{k'k''}(\mu_{ik'}(a_i)\mu_{jk'}(a'_j))) = \mu_{k''}(\mu_{k'k''}(\mu_{ik'}(a_i))\mu_{k'k''}(\mu_{jk'}(a'_j))) = \mu_{k''}(\mu_{ik''}(a_i)\mu_{jk''}(a'_j)) = \mu_{k''}(\mu_{kk''}(\mu_{ik}(a_i)\mu_{jk}(a'_j))) = \mu_k(\mu_{ik}(a_i)\mu_{jk}(a'_j))$ . This is obviously commutative and has identity over multiplication because it has the domain of a commutative ring and  $\mu_{\cdot, \cdot}$  are homomorphisms.

Next is associativity: Let  $a = \mu_i(a_i)$ ,  $b = \mu_j(b_j)$ ,  $c = \mu_k(c_k)$  and  $\ell \geq i, j, k$ .

$$\begin{aligned} (a \cdot b) \cdot c &= (\mu_\ell(\mu_{i\ell}(a_i)\mu_{j\ell}(b_j))) \cdot \mu_k(c_k) \\ &\iff \\ \mu_\ell((\mu_{i\ell}(a_i)\mu_{j\ell}(b_j))\mu_{k\ell}(c_k)) &= a \cdot (b \cdot c). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, distributivity: Let  $a = \mu_i(a_i)$ ,  $b = \mu_j(b_j)$ ,  $c = \mu_k(c_k)$  and  $\ell \geq i, j, k$ .

$$a(b + c) = \mu_i(a_i)(\mu_j(b_j) + \mu_k(c_k)) = \mu_i(a_i)(\mu_\ell\mu_{j\ell}(b_j) + \mu_\ell\mu_{k\ell}(c_k)) = \mu_\ell(\mu_{i\ell}(a_i)(\mu_{j\ell}(b_j) + \mu_{k\ell}(c_k))) = \mu_\ell(\mu_{i\ell}(a_i)\mu_{j\ell}(b_j) + \mu_{i\ell}(a_i)\mu_{k\ell}(c_k))$$

If  $A = 0$ , then  $\mu_i(1) = 0 \implies \mu_{ij}(1) = 0$  by Exercise 15. But a ring homomorphism that sends 1 to 0 implies that the ring is 0, so  $A_j = 0$ .

22. Let  $(A_i, \alpha_{ij})$  be a direct system of rings and let  $\mathfrak{R}_i$  be the nilradical of  $A_i$ . Show that  $\lim_{\rightarrow} \mathfrak{R}_i$  is the nilradical of  $\lim_{\rightarrow} A_i$ .  
If each  $A_i$  is an integral domain, then  $\lim_{\rightarrow} A_i$  is an integral domain.

**Solution:** We have the obvious inclusions  $\mathfrak{R}_i \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}(\lim_{\rightarrow} A_i)$  since  $A_i \rightarrow \text{limit } A$  is a ring homomorphism ( $a^n = 0$  in  $A_i$  gets mapped to  $a^n = 0$  in limit  $A$ ).

Next we can map  $\mathfrak{R}(\lim_{\rightarrow} A_i)$  to  $\lim_{\rightarrow} \mathfrak{R}_i$  as so: For any  $a^n = 0 \in \text{limit } A$ ,  $a = \mu_i(a_i)$  by Exercise 15, which then gives us  $\mu_i(a_i^n) = 0$ . By Exercise 15, we then have  $\mu_{ij}(a_i^n) = 0$  in  $A_j$ . Then  $\mu_{ij}(a_i)^n = 0$ , giving us an element  $\mu_{ij}(a_i)$ , which we then map into  $\lim_{\rightarrow} \mathfrak{R}_i$ .

This is well-defined because we can always commute any choices to the same, largest index ring. Next this is a homomorphism because given  $a = \mu_k(\mu_{ik}(a_i))$ ,  $b = \mu_k(\mu_{jk}(b_j))$ ,  $a + b = \mu_k(\mu_{ik}(a_i) + \mu_{jk}(b_j)) \rightarrow \mu_{k\ell}(\mu_{ik}(a_i) + \mu_{jk}(b_j)) \rightarrow \mu_{k\ell}(\mu_{ik}(a_i) + \mu_{jk}(b_j)) = \mu_{i\ell}(a_i) + \mu_{j\ell}(b_j)$ , which is what  $a, b$  would be mapped to. This is just the identity.

Since there is a homomorphism and an inverse, it is an isomorphism.

If each  $A_i$  is an integral domain, then suppose FTSOC that there is  $ab = 0$  in  $\lim_{\rightarrow} A_i$ ,  $a, b \neq 0$ . Then by Exercise 15, we have  $a = \mu_i(a_i)$ ,  $b = \mu_j(b_j)$ . Hence  $\mu_i(a_i)\mu_j(b_j) = 0 = \mu_k(\mu_{ik}(a_i)\mu_{jk}(b_j))$  for  $k \geq i, j$ . Then by Exercise 15, there is  $\ell \geq k$  s.t.  $\mu_{k\ell}(\mu_{ik}(a_i)\mu_{jk}(b_j)) = 0 = \mu_{i\ell}(a_i)\mu_{j\ell}(b_j)$ . But then  $A_j$  wouldn't be an integral domain (note that  $\mu_{\cdot\ell}(\cdot) \neq 0$  because if otherwise, then  $\mu_{\ell}(\mu_{\cdot\ell}(\cdot)) = \mu_{\cdot}(\cdot) = 0$ , contradicting  $a, b$  being non-zero).



23. Let  $(B_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  be a family of  $A$ -algebras. For each finite subset of  $\Lambda$ , let  $B_J$  denote the tensor product (over  $A$ ) of the  $B_\lambda$  for each  $\lambda \in J$ . If  $J'$  is another finite subset of  $\Lambda$  and  $J \subseteq J'$ , there is a canonical  $A$ -algebra homomorphism  $B_J \rightarrow B_{J'}$ . Let  $B$  denote the direct limit of the rings  $B_J$  as  $J$  runs through all finite subsets of  $\Lambda$ . The ring  $B$  has a natural  $A$ -algebra structure for which the homomorphisms  $B_J \rightarrow B$  are  $A$ -algebra homomorphisms. The  $A$ -algebra  $B$  is the tensor product of the family  $(B_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ .

**Solution:** The canonical  $A$ -algebra homomorphism sends  $b \in B_J$  to  $b \otimes 1 \otimes 1 \otimes \cdots$  ( $|J'| - |J|$  times). As  $A$ -algebras are also rings, the ring  $B$  exists by Exercise 21. Ring homomorphisms that preserve  $A$ -module structure are  $A$ -algebra homomorphisms.

24. In these Exercises it will be assumed that the reader is familiar with the definition and basic properties of the Tor functor.

If  $M$  is an  $A$ -module, the following are equivalent:

1.  $M$  is flat;
2.  $\text{Tor}_n^A(M, N) = 0$  for all  $n > 0$  and all  $A$ -modules  $N$ ;
3.  $\text{Tor}_1^A(M, N) = 0$  for all  $A$ -modules  $N$ .

**Solution:** (i)  $\implies$  (ii): We do as the hint suggests: take a free resolution of  $N$ . Tensor this with  $M$ . As  $M$  is flat, this sequence is then exact, so the homology groups are 0.

Obviously (ii)  $\implies$  (iii).

(iii)  $\implies$  (i): Take an exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow N' \rightarrow N \rightarrow N'' \rightarrow 0$ . Then  $\text{Tor}_1^A(M, N'') \rightarrow M \otimes N' \rightarrow M \otimes N \rightarrow M \otimes N'' \rightarrow 0$  is exact. As  $\text{Tor}_1^A(M, N'') = 0$ ,  $M$  is flat.

25. Let  $0 \rightarrow N' \rightarrow N \rightarrow N'' \rightarrow 0$  be an exact sequence with  $N''$  flat. Then  $N'$  is flat  $\iff N$  is flat.

**Solution:** By the Tor exact sequence, we have

$$\text{Tor}_2^A(M, N'') \rightarrow \text{Tor}_1^A(M, N') \rightarrow \text{Tor}_1^A(M, N) \rightarrow \text{Tor}_1^A(M, N'') \rightarrow M \otimes N' \rightarrow M \otimes N \rightarrow M \otimes N'' \rightarrow 0.$$

As  $\text{Tor}_2^A(M, N'') = \text{Tor}_1^A(M, N'') = 0$  by flatness of  $N''$  and Exercise 24,  $\text{Tor}_1^A(M, N') = \text{Tor}_1^A(M, N)$ . By Exercise 24, this means that  $N$  is flat iff  $N'$  is flat.

26. Let  $N$  be an  $A$ -module. Then  $N$  is flat  $\iff \text{Tor}_1(A/\mathfrak{a}, N) = 0$  for all finitely generated ideals  $\mathfrak{a}$  in  $A$ .

**Solution:**  $\implies$  is obvious by Exercise 24.

$\Leftarrow$ :

**Lemma 1.** Assuming the RHS, then  $\text{Tor}(A/\mathfrak{b}, N) = 0$  for all  $\mathfrak{b}$ .

**Proof.** Take the system of finitely generated submodules of  $\mathfrak{b}$  call it  $F$ , the system associated to that index of just  $A$  call it  $\mathbf{A}$ , and the system  $A/\mathfrak{b}_i$  for  $\mathfrak{b}_i \in F$  call it  $Q$ . As each of these has an exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{b} \rightarrow A \rightarrow A/\mathfrak{b} \rightarrow 0$ , we have an exact sequence of systems by Exercise 19, giving us an exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow \lim_{\rightarrow} F \rightarrow \lim_{\rightarrow} \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \lim_{\rightarrow} Q \rightarrow 0$ . As tensors commute with direct limits (Exercise 20), we have  $0 \rightarrow \lim_{\rightarrow} F \otimes N \rightarrow \lim_{\rightarrow} \mathbf{A} \otimes N \rightarrow \lim_{\rightarrow} Q \otimes N \rightarrow 0$ .

By Exercise 17,  $\lim_{\rightarrow} F = \mathfrak{b}$ . We also have that  $\lim_{\rightarrow} Q = A/\mathfrak{b}$  because all the maps in the system  $Q$  have kernels contained in  $\mathfrak{b}$ , so by the universal property of the quotient it induces a

unique map from  $A/\mathfrak{b}$  that commutes with the system, so by the universal property of the direct limit, it is the direct limit. So we have the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{b} \otimes N \rightarrow A \otimes N \rightarrow A/\mathfrak{b} \otimes N \rightarrow 0.$$

□

First we can note that  $N$  is flat if  $\text{Tor}_1(M, N) = 0$  for all finitely generated  $A$ -modules  $M$  by Proposition 2.19. Then fix a finitely generated  $M$  generated by  $x_i$  and define  $M_i = \{x_1, \dots, x_i\}$ . Also define the map  $f_i : A \rightarrow M_i/M_{i-1}$  by sending  $a \in A$  to  $ax_i + M_{i-1}$ . This is surjective as  $M_i$  is generated by  $x_1, \dots, x_i$ . As such,  $\ker f_i$  is an ideal of  $A$ . Hence  $M_i/M_{i-1} \cong A/\ker f_i$ . So by considering the exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow M_{i-1} \rightarrow M_i \rightarrow M_i/M_{i-1} \cong A/\ker f_i \rightarrow 0$ , we can see that we get the Tor sequence

$$\text{Tor}_1(M_{i-1}, N) \rightarrow \text{Tor}_1(M_i, N) \rightarrow \text{Tor}_1(A/\ker f_i, N).$$

Assume for induction that  $\text{Tor}_1(M_{i-1}, N) = 0$ . Then by the lemma above,  $\text{Tor}_1(A/\ker f_i, N) = 0$ . Thus  $\text{Tor}_1(M_i, N) = 0$ . Obviously  $\text{Tor}_1(M_0, N) = 0$ . Thus  $\text{Tor}_1(M, N) = 0$  for all finitely generated  $M$ , allowing us to use Proposition 2.19 to finish.

27. A ring  $A$  is absolutely flat if every  $A$ -module is flat. Prove that the following are equivalent:

1.  $A$  is absolutely flat.
2. Every principal ideal is idempotent.
3. Every finitely generated ideal is a direct summand of  $A$ .

**Solution:** (i)  $\implies$  (ii): Since  $A/(x)$  is an  $A$ -module, it is flat. Thus the injectivity of  $(x) \rightarrow A$  makes the map  $(x) \otimes A/(x) \rightarrow A \otimes A/(x) \cong A/(x)$  injective. This map takes  $x \otimes [a] \mapsto x \otimes [a] \mapsto [xa] = 0$  (middle map is due to Proposition 2.19). As it is an injective zero map,  $(x) \otimes A/(x) = 0$ , and by Exercise 2,  $(x) \otimes A/(x) \cong (x)/(x)^2$ . Thus  $(x) = (x)^2$ .

(ii)  $\implies$  (iii): As the hint does: Let  $x \in A$ . Then  $x = ax^2$  for some  $a \in A$ , hence  $e = ax$  is idempotent and we have  $(e) = (x)$ . For idempotents  $e, f$ ,  $(e, f) = (e + f - ef)$  because  $e(e + f - ef) = e + ef - ef = e$  and  $f(e + f - ef) = ef + f - ef = f$ . Thus every finitely generated ideal is principal by finding idempotents for every generator in the ideal and then reducing them pairwise as so. As such,  $A = (e) \oplus (1 - e)$  (note that  $(1 - e)^2 = (1 - e)$ , so they are independent).

(iii)  $\implies$  (i): It suffices to satisfy the conditions in Exercise 26 for all  $N$ . Take an exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow N' \rightarrow N \rightarrow N'' \rightarrow 0$ . Then we have the sequence

$$\text{Tor}_1(A/\mathfrak{a}, N'') \rightarrow N' \otimes A/\mathfrak{a} \rightarrow N \otimes A/\mathfrak{a} \rightarrow N'' \otimes A/\mathfrak{a} \rightarrow 0.$$

By Exercise 2,  $N' \otimes A/\mathfrak{a} \cong N'/\mathfrak{a}N' \cong \mathfrak{b}N'$  as we assume that  $A$  is a direct sum of f.g. ideals (namely let  $A = \mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{b}$ ). Then the map  $N' \otimes A/\mathfrak{a} \rightarrow N \otimes A/\mathfrak{a}$  is the map  $\mathfrak{b}N' \rightarrow \mathfrak{b}N$ , which is injective as they are simply restrictions of the injective map  $N' \rightarrow N$ . Thus  $\text{Tor}_1(A/\mathfrak{a}, N'') = 0$ . As we can always realize  $N$  as the tail of an exact sequence (simply take  $0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$ , we are done).

28. A Boolean ring is absolutely flat. The ring of Chapter 1, Exercise 7 is absolutely flat. Every homomorphic image of an absolutely flat ring is absolutely flat. If a local ring is absolutely flat, then it is a field. If  $A$  is absolutely flat, every non-unit in  $A$  is a zero-divisor.

**Solution:** By definition, all principal ideals are idempotent in a Boolean ring, so by Exercise 27 we are done.

The ring in Chapter 1 Exercise 7 is absolutely flat because all principal ideals are idempotent:  $(x)^2 = (x^2) = (x)$  because  $x^{2^n} = x \in (x^2)$ .

Say we have  $f$  a homomorphism from an absolutely flat ring  $R$ . Then every principal ideal in the image is generated by  $f(a)$ , and  $(a^2) = (a)$  by Exercise 27. Hence  $(f(a))^2 = (f(a)^2) = (f(a^2)) = (f(a))$ .

Fix an absolutely flat local ring  $R$ . By Exercise 27, every principal ideal of  $R$  is idempotent, so  $(x^2) = (x) \forall x \in R$ . Hence  $x = rx^2, r \in R$ . Thus  $rx = r^2x^2 = (rx)^2 \implies rx$  is idempotent. But by Exercise 12 of Chapter 1,  $rx = 0$  or  $1$ . Thus  $(x) = 0$  or  $1$ , which implies that it is a field.

If  $A$  is absolutely flat, then take a non-unit  $x$ . We have that  $(x)^2 = (x)$ , so  $x \in (x^2) \implies rx^2 = x$  for some  $r$ . Thus  $x(rx - 1) = 0 \implies x$  is a zero-divisor.