Distributed Tic Tac Toe:
Peer-to-Peer Team-Based Gaming System

Panagiotis Antoniou, Emily Band, Paulius Dilkas, Dafin Kozarev, Joshua Styles

Abstract—This article describes a Distributed Multiplayer Tic Tac Toe software game written in Java using the RMI API. While previous implementations attempting to serve a similar purpose exist, none of them boast good design or efficiency and neither of them deliver the same functionality. The developed piece of software allows clients to play a game of Tic Tac Toe locally - but with a twist. Players are separated into teams and decide on what the next move should be by voting. The implementation is derived from a design that follows the distributed applications guidelines and is prepared to handle corner cases such as client disconnects for example.

I. INTRODUCTION

Coding is the main occupation of many people nowadays, and it is a past-time for many too. Most beginners get their first steps in writing code following the local single-threaded model. Often, it can be difficult for new programmers, or even those with more experience, to abstract the key knowledge they have obtained using this model and then apply it in a different way.

Distributed software requires a new look on the system the number of devices has gone up and with it so have the number of processes, concerns and complexities. Some concepts have now changed and need to be dealt with differently; One such example if this would be mutual exclusion. We believe programs that serve as examples and focus on simple local tasks turned into distributed ones can help many people trying to make this step with a hands-on experience of what they are preparing for. Seeing theory in practice is a proven technique and there is evidence for this in every university course that features practical work. This is especially true where games are concerned, proving to be an effective means of communicating programming methods and techniques in an engaging way [1]. For this reason, the team has decided to take a simple, well known game and develop it into a distributed system; Tic Tac Toe played as a peer-to-peer, team-based gaming system where the players are organised into teams and required to vote on moves for their team.

By refraining from introducing additional complexity as part of the goal of the project, and allowing the users to see the distributed nature of the software being demonstrated via a simple game, the focus is shifted towards the implications of the distributive aspects of the system and the way they are handled. The finished product can serve to entertain the end users, as well as increase their curiosity and insight about computers and distributed systems. Using Tic Tac Toe as a foundation to demonstrate a distributed system benefits from both the simplicity and familiarity of the game itself, meaning no complex rulesets or in-depth mechanisms need be explained

to the users, allowing the distributed nature to be a novel way of experiencing a widely known game.

II. SYSTEM DESIGN

Tic Tac Toe is a simple game played on a grid of 3x3, consisting of two players. One player uses an X marker and the other player uses an O marker to select a grid space to occupy. The objective of the game is to take turns until one player manages to occupy three grid spaces in a straight line, be it horizontal, vertical or diagonal.

The proposed design takes this simple concept and uses it to demonstrate a distributed system, whereby the two players are instead two teams of up to 5 players, which take turns to vote on where their team should place their markers. Each team has a designated "leader" which communicates data with their team's peers, and the opposing team's "leader" to synchronise current gameplay state.

Fig. 1. Topology for Distributed Tic Tac Toe

Upon initialising the game, the player will take a note of their address and port number. As the game is played on a local network, the player will attempt to connect to any other existing nodes on the network. If none are found, then the client will initialise a new game instance and set itself as one of the leaders.

When other players attempt to check for existing players on the network and successfully find them, it will get the current

game state, check if it is one of the leaders, print the board in text format and prepare for the turn.

The leaders update a common game state at the end of each event before broadcasting the game state to all team members. Players are told by the leaders when completion of the game occurs.

III. IMPLEMENTATION

The implementation follows the RMI distributed object model. A GameInt interface extends java.rmi.Remote and lists remote classes.

A Game class implements GameInt and extends the UnicastRemoteObject. It is an analogy for what a player knows and does during a game. It provides an implementation for all the listed classes in the interface which include the functionality of requesting the player to make a play, setting the leader of the game, several methods to interact with the Role of the player and a main method that connects to other game instances.

Currently, when running the game, the user passes it a local instance name and the name of another instance as arguments. Each instance then uses this information to do an RMI Registry lookup and get a reference to the other client. The Role interface allows the application to differentiate between Leader and Player classes which extend it.

It utilises the State design pattern to allow players to seamlessly have their role changed for example when the current leader disconnects.

A Leader is responsible of collecting the votes from all of the members in their team in the turnStarts() method. This is done asynchronously using a separate thread for each member an instance of VoteThread. Each thread collects a vote from one assigned player then writes it into a corresponding position in a shared AtomicIntegerArray that they have all been passed by the Leader. This ensures the main Leader thread can then read these values.

Each Leader then informs all players in the game about the vote of his team. A Player instance represents a regular player that only must vote.

Each regular player also has a mechanism to detect if a leader has not contacted them to choose a play for too long. If a time-out is triggered, a player calls for a new leader election. To avoid many simultaneous election calls, each regular player waits 5 minutes plus a randomised amount of time.

All plays are recorded on a GameState object instance that acts as a game board. After each turn it checks if the game is over and relays this message to the leaders which can then inform the members of their team.

IV. PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

V. ARCHITECTURE EVALUATION

While Java RMI provides a simplified approach to object manipulation over distributed systems, it does force the use of TCP sockets by default. The reliable, ordered delivery of data provided by TCP is desirable for distributed applications, however, this choice of transport protocol does have significant implications for application topology.

The ongoing shortage of IPv4 addresses has led to a large proportion of machines on the Internet to be obscured by Network Address Translation (NAT) middleboxes. Machines hidden behind one of these middleboxes cannot be contacted directly without the use of techniques like hole punching, which is a significant problem for establishing an entirely decentralised peer-to-peer system.

Hole punching for NAT traversal requires the use of an external, publicly-accessible proxy server to distribute the public-facing IP address and port combinations (addr:port) of NAT-obscured clients which want to establish a peer-to-peer session. This traversal method is used by many popular types of distributed applications such as multiplayer gaming, VoIP, and peer-to-peer file sharing. This technique takes advantage of the behaviour of NAT middleboxes to create and maintain an 'open' addr:port combination - without this, a fully decentralised peer-to-peer session cannot be established.

As mentioned above, Java RMI uses TCP for its operations by default. While it is possible to modify the sockets created by RMI calls to use UDP instead, this requires extensive work using RMISocketFactory which is beyond the time allowed by the project.

UDP is the more commonly used transport protocol for peer-to-peer applications - one socket can be used for both sending and receiving messages from multiple clients without the need for establishing a fixed connection between two endpoints. Although adjustments would need to be made to allow for unordered or lost messages, UDP would allow us to implement a topology with robust fault tolerance and Internet-wide access:


Fig. 2. Proposed Topology for UDP-based Version of System

An alternative approach is to attempt TCP hole punching. This requires creating four TCP sockets bound to the same local addr:port combination (ie. with SO_REUSEADDR enabled) to establish a connection with a peer through a proxy server, as described in section 4 of a paper by Ford, et al [2]. Not only is this a significant overhead, with four sockets required for each peer a client wants to make contact with, it is also more complex to perform than the UDP equivalent

- our initial tests could not establish contact between peers using the instructions described in the Ford paper.

Without significant adjustments to the type of sockets used by Java RMI, a better understanding of TCP hole punching, or the universal adoption of IPv6, our application is currently limited to operating within local networks only. This is acceptable for its use as an educational tool, where it can be used within corporate or university networks freely, but the lack of NAT traversal excludes its use as a casual multiplayer game given that the vast majority of homes obscure several devices behind an ISP-provided NAT middlebox.

While we are aiming to create a system without unnecessary complexity, NAT is a core component of the modern Internet - all networks programmers will encounter difficulties with it at some stage, and having a solution to demonstrate as part of our application would have been very beneficial extra material for people using it for educational purposes.

VI. RELATED WORK

While other distributed multiplayer games already exist, they do not serve the same purpose as our project. Existing products can be split into two categories: too simple and too complex. Examples of the former generally deal with the difficulties of distributed systems in a bad fashion, promoting questionable design and programming practices. One specific example of this is a YouTube guide to building a single player-per-team distributed tic-tac-toe game in Java [3] - while the material is accessible for inexperienced networks programmers, it puts all functionality in a single class and does not comply with any design guidelines. As such, this resource has the potential to further confuse learners about programming distributed systems when they try to read more complex documentation which does obey software engineering design principles.

The latter category consists of resources which provide details of state-of-the-art practices and advanced optimisations. An example of this is a version of the game Quake II, modified to run multiplayer sessions more efficiently over a distributed system [4]. This is excellent material for an experienced programmer looking to refine their skills, but daunting to a newcomer due to a high level of complexity in both networking concepts and game logic.

Furthermore, none of the similar implementations residing on the Internet make use of the Java RMI API. Many distributed systems projects use other technologies, such as C or Rust, which have a far steeper learning curve than Java, and are not as commonly taught in universities or used as frequently in enterprise environments.

In addition to being based on a widely-known language, Java RMI has the additional benefit of simplifying processes such as marshalling and socket creation. Our project takes advantage of this to allow people to learn about concepts in distributed systems programming without worrying about added complexities such as objects becoming corrupted due to incorrect formatting, or incorrect use of transport protocols.

VII. CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORK

The produced software serves as a good demonstration of a distributed game. The use of a simple, well known game idea turned into a distributed, multiplayer experience highlights the benefits and complexities associated with distributed systems, and allows the technology to be demonstrated in an inclusive format, allowing users of all levels to make use of the software without additional complications added.

For future additions or design reconsiderations, Distributed Tic Tac Toe could benefit from some changes. These changes include making the software more robust and granting the users an improved playing experience by addressing certain network issues and gameplay elements.

In the softwares current state, leaders are appointed using the bully algorithm when a new election is required. However, there is no support for failure of communication from both leaders, i.e. if both leaders were to crash at the same time. Although the likelihood is slim, addressing the possibility of this scenario playing out would make the system more robust and prevent frustration for the players.

To improve the gameplay experience, players of both teams should be on an even playing field. To ensure this is consistent throughout the duration of a match, some form of automatic team balancing is recommended, allowing each team to receive votes in as even amount as possible. The gameplay experience could be improved further still by addressing the scenario of an even number of votes being cast by team members. The possibility of this happening is certainly high, and the recommendation is that the leader randomly selects between the moves chosen by the team members.

REFERENCES

[1] J. R. Kiniry and D. M. Zimmerman, "Verified gaming," in *Proceedings of the 1st International Workshop on Games and Software Engineering*, ser. GAS '11. New York, NY, USA: ACM, 2011, pp. 17–20. [Online]. Available: http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/1984674.1984681

[2] B. Ford, P. Srisuresh, and D. Kegel, "Peer-to-peer communication across network address translators," Apr 2005. [Online]. Available: https://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?id=1247373

[3] M. A. Y. User, "Make a networked tic-tac-toe in java," https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=aIaFFPatJjY, 2015.

[4] A. R. Bharambe, J. Pang, and S. Seshan, "Colyseus: A distributed architecture for online multiplayer games," in *3rd Symposium on Networked Systems Design and Implementation (NSDI 2006), May 8-10, 2007, San Jose, California, USA, Proceedings.*, 2006. [Online]. Available: http://www.usenix.org/events/nsdi06/tech/bharambe.html