Algorithm Selection for Maximum Common Subgraph

Paulius Dilkas

14th October 2017

1 The Problem

Labeled and unlabeled (undireced) maximum common induced subgraph.

2 Algorithms

Clique encoding [7] $k \downarrow$ [3] McSplit [6]

(Somewhere) 1000 s limit, 512 GB limit (clique becomes impossible for some instances), insert CPU specs.

3 Problem Instances

3.1 ARG database

MCS data is from [1][2].

3.2 Benchmarks for the Subgraph Isomorphism Problem

SIP instances are taken from [8] (with the biochemical reaction dataset excluded since we are not dealing with directed graphs).

4 Features

Features are based on the algorithm selection paper for the subgraph isomorphism problem [5]. Simple features:

- number of vertices,
- number of edges,
- density,
- number of loops,

- mean degree,
- maximum degree,
- standard deviation of degrees,
- whether the graph is connected,
- mean distance between all pairs of vertices,
- maximum distance between all pairs of vertices,
- proportion of vertex pairs that are at least 2, 3 and 4 apart (?),
- number of labels,
- number of distinct labels.

Features that could be computed if we end up using a presolver:

- uniformity of the distribution of edges,
- how many candidate pairs were removed,
- proportion of candidate pairs removed over all pairs,
- min values removed per variable,
- max values removed per variable,
- CPU time taken to compute all this.

5 Selection Model

We're using Llama [4]. Describe k-folding.

References

- [1] M. De Santo et al. "A large database of graphs and its use for benchmarking graph isomorphism algorithms". In: *Pattern Recogn. Lett.* 24.8 (May 2003), 10671079. ISSN: 0167-8655. DOI: 10.1016/S0167-8655(02)00253-2. URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0167-8655(02)00253-2.
- [2] P. Foggia, C. Sansone and M. Vento. "A Database of Graphs for Isomorphism and Sub-Graph Isomorphism Benchmarking". In: -. 1st Jan. 2001, 176187.
- [3] Ruth Hoffmann, Ciaran McCreesh and Craig Reilly. "Between Subgraph Isomorphism and Maximum Common Subgraph". In: Proceedings of the Thirty-First AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence, February 4-9, 2017, San Francisco, California, USA. Ed. by Satinder P. Singh and Shaul Markovitch. AAAI Press, 2017, pp. 3907-3914. URL: http://aaai.org/ocs/index.php/AAAI/AAAI17/paper/view/14948.

- [4] Lars Kotthoff. LLAMA: Leveraging Learning to Automatically Manage Algorithms. Tech. rep. arXiv:1306.1031. arXiv, June 2013. URL: http://arxiv.org/abs/1306.1031.
- [5] Lars Kotthoff, Ciaran McCreesh and Christine Solnon. "Portfolios of Subgraph Isomorphism Algorithms". In: Learning and Intelligent Optimization 10th International Conference, LION 10, Ischia, Italy, May 29 June 1, 2016, Revised Selected Papers. Ed. by Paola Festa, Meinolf Sellmann and Joaquin Vanschoren. Vol. 10079. Lecture Notes in Computer Science. Springer, 2016, pp. 107–122. ISBN: 978-3-319-50348-6. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-319-50349-3_8. URL: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-50349-3_8.
- [6] Ciaran McCreesh, Patrick Prosser and James Trimble. "A Partitioning Algorithm for Maximum Common Subgraph Problems". In: Proceedings of the Twenty-Sixth International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence, IJCAI 2017, Melbourne, Australia, August 19-25, 2017. Ed. by Carles Sierra. ijcai.org, 2017, pp. 712-719. ISBN: 978-0-9992411-0-3. DOI: 10.24963/ijcai.2017/99. URL: https://doi.org/10.24963/ijcai.2017/99.
- [7] Ciaran McCreesh et al. "Clique and Constraint Models for Maximum Common (Connected) Subgraph Problems". In: Principles and Practice of Constraint Programming 22nd International Conference, CP 2016, Toulouse, France, September 5-9, 2016, Proceedings. Ed. by Michel Rueher. Vol. 9892. Lecture Notes in Computer Science. Springer, 2016, pp. 350–368. ISBN: 978-3-319-44952-4. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-319-44953-1_23. URL: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-44953-1_23.
- [8] Christine Solnon. Benchmarks for the Subgraph Isomorphism Problem. URL: http://liris.cnrs.fr/csolnon/SIP.html.