# Statistical Relational Models as Polyadic Measure Algebras

Paulius Dilkas

1st March 2020

### 1 Propositional Logic and Boolean Algebras

#### 1.1 Preliminaries

**Definition 1.** A Boolean algebra is a tuple  $(\mathbf{B}, \wedge, \vee, \neg, 0, 1)$  of a set **B** with operations  $\wedge, \vee, \neg$  and elements  $0, 1 \in \mathbf{B}$  such that the following axioms hold for all  $a, b, \in \mathbf{B}$ :

- both  $\wedge$  and  $\vee$  are associative and commutative;
- $a \lor (a \land b) = a$ , and  $a \land (a \lor b) = a$ ;
- 0 is the identity of  $\vee$ , and 1 is the identity of  $\wedge$ ;
- $\vee$  distributes over  $\wedge$  and vice versa;
- $a \vee \neg a = 1$ , and  $a \wedge \neg a = 0$ .

Let  $a, b \in \mathbf{B}$  be arbitrary. Let  $\leq$  be a partial order on  $\mathbf{B}$  defined by  $a \leq b$  if  $a = b \wedge a$  (or, equivalently,  $a \vee b = b$ ), and let a < b denote  $a \leq b$  and  $a \neq b$ .

**Definition 2** ([5]). An element  $a \neq 0$  of a Boolean algebra **B** is an *atom* if there is no  $x \in \mathbf{B}$  such that 0 < x < a. A Boolean algebra is *atomic* if for every  $a \in \mathbf{B} \setminus \{0\}$ , there is an atom x such that  $x \leq a$ .

**Lemma 1** ([2]). For any two distinct atoms a, b in a Boolean algebra,  $a \wedge b = 0$ .

**Lemma 2** ([3]). All finite Boolean algebras are atomic.

**Theorem 1** ([2]). Let **B** be a finite Boolean algebra. Then every  $a \in \mathbf{B} \setminus \{0\}$  can be uniquely expressed as  $a = \bigvee_{i \in I} m_i$  for some set of atoms  $\{m_i\}_{i \in I}$ .

**Definition 3** ([1]). A (strictly positive) measure on a Boolean algebra **B** is a function  $m : \mathbf{B} \to [0,1]$  such that:

- 1. m(1) = 1, and m(x) > 0 for  $x \neq 0$ ;
- 2.  $m(x \lor y) = m(x) + m(y)$  for all  $x, y \in \mathbf{B}$  whenever  $x \land y = 0$ .

### 1.2 New Results

**Definition 4.** Let **B** be a finite Boolean algebra, let L be a subset of **B** such that every atom m can be uniquely expressed as  $m = \bigwedge_{i \in I} l_i$  for some  $\{l_i\}_{i \in I} \subseteq L$ , and let  $w : L \to \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  be arbitrary. The weighted model count WMC:  $\mathbf{B} \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  is defined as

$$WMC(a) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } a = 0\\ \prod_{i \in I} w(l_i) & \text{if } M \ni a = \bigwedge_{i \in I} l_i\\ \sum_{i \in I} WMC(m_i) & \text{if } \mathbf{B} \setminus (M \cup \{0\}) \ni a = \bigvee_{i \in I} m_i \end{cases}$$

for any  $a \in \mathbf{B}$ . Furthermore, we define the normalised weighted model count nWMC :  $\mathbf{B} \to [0,1]$  as  $\mathrm{nWMC}(a) = \frac{\mathrm{WMC}(a)}{\mathrm{WMC}(1)}$  for all  $a \in \mathbf{B}$ .

**Proposition 1.** nWMC is a measure for any finite Boolean algebra **B**.

*Proof.* First, note that Property 1 of Definition 3 is satisfied by the definition of nWMC. Next, in order to prove Property 2, let  $x, y \in \mathbf{B}$  be such that  $x \wedge y = 0$ . We want to show that

$$nWMC(x \vee y) = nWMC(x) + nWMC(y)$$

which is equivalent to

$$WMC(x \lor y) = WMC(x) + WMC(y). \tag{1}$$

If, say, x = 0, then Eq. (1) becomes

$$WMC(y) = WMC(0) + WMC(y) = WMC(y)$$

(and likewise for y=0). Thus we can assume that  $x\neq 0\neq y$  and use Theorem 1 to write

$$x = \bigvee_{i \in I} x_i$$
 and  $y = \bigvee_{j \in J} y_j$ 

for some sequences of atoms  $(x_i)_{i\in I}$  and  $(y_j)_{j\in J}$ . If  $x_{i'}=y_{j'}$  for some  $i'\in I$  and  $j'\in J$ , then

$$x \wedge y = \bigvee_{i \in I} \bigvee_{j \in J} x_i \wedge y_j = x_{i'} \wedge y_{j'} \neq 0,$$

contradicting the assumption. This is enough to show that

$$WMC(x \vee y) = WMC\left(\left(\bigvee_{i \in I} x_i\right) \vee \left(\bigvee_{j \in J} y_j\right)\right) = \sum_{i \in I} WMC(x_i) + \sum_{j \in J} WMC(y_j)$$
$$= WMC(x) + WMC(y),$$

finishing the proof.

## 2 First-Order Logic and Polyadic Algebras

### 2.1 Preliminaries

What follows is a summary of [4].

Let **B** be a Boolean algebra (of propositions). Let X be the (non-empty) domain of discourse. Let I be an index set, elements of which can be interpreted as variables. The elements of  $X^I$  are functions from I to X. For any  $x \in X^I$  and  $i \in I$ , we write  $x_i$  to represent  $x(i) \in X$ . Let  $\mathbf{A}^*$  be the set of all functions  $X^I \to \mathbf{B}$ , and note that it forms a Boolean algebra with operations defined pointwise.

Let T be the semigroup of all  $I \to I$  transformations. For any  $\tau \in T$ , let  $\tau_* : X^I \to X^I$  be defined by

$$(\tau_* x)_i = x_{\tau i}$$

for all  $x \in X^I$  and  $i \in I$ . We can then define **S** to be a map from T to Boolean endomorphisms of  $\mathbf{A}^*$  defined by

$$\mathbf{S}(\tau)p(x) = p(\tau_*x)$$

for all  $x \in X^I$  and  $p \in \mathbf{A}^*$ .

For any  $J \subseteq I$ , let  $J_*$  be the relation on  $X_I$  defined by

$$xJ_*y \iff x_i = y_i \text{ for all } i \in I \setminus J$$

for all  $x, y \in X^I$ . For any  $J \subseteq I$ , we then define  $\exists (J)$  to be a transformation  $\mathbf{A}^* \to \mathbf{A}^*$  defined by

$$\exists (J) p(x) = \bigvee_{\substack{y \in X^I, \\ xJ_*y}} p(y)$$

for all  $p \in \mathbf{A}^*$ , provided this supremum exists for all  $x \in X^{I1}$ .

Finally, a functional polyadic (Boolean) algebra<sup>2</sup> is a subalgebra  $\mathbf{A}$  of  $\mathbf{A}^*$  such that:

- $\mathbf{S}(\tau)p \in \mathbf{A}$  for all  $p \in \mathbf{A}$  and  $\tau \in T$ ;
- $\exists (J)p \in \mathbf{A} \text{ for all } p \in \mathbf{A} \text{ and } J \subseteq I.$

**Definition 5.** Similarly to  $\exists$ , a *constant* c is a mapping from  $\mathcal{P}(I)$  to Boolean endomorphisms of  $\mathbf{A}$  such that:

- $c(\emptyset) = \mathrm{id}_{\mathbf{A}};$
- $c(J \cup K) = c(J)c(K)$ ;
- $c(J)\exists (K) = \exists (K)c(J \setminus K);$
- $\exists (J)c(K) = c(K)\exists (J \setminus K);$
- $c(J)\mathbf{S}(\tau) = \mathbf{S}(\tau)c(\tau^{-1}J)$

for all  $J, K \in \mathcal{P}(I)$  and  $\tau \in T$ . If J is a singleton set  $\{i\}$ , we will simply write c(i) instead of c(J).

### 2.2 New Results

**Proposition 2.** Let **B** be a finite Boolean algebra with a measure  $m: \mathbf{B} \to [0,1]$ . Let **A** be a **B**-valued functional polyadic algebra with domain X and variables I. For any  $p \in \mathbf{A}$ , let  $\sup(p) = \bigvee_{x \in X^I} p(x)$ . Let  $m^*: \mathbf{A} \to [0,1]$  be defined by

$$m^*(p) = \sum_{\substack{atom \ y \in \mathbf{B}: \\ y \le \sup(p)}} m(y)$$

for all  $p \in \mathbf{A}$ . Then  $m^*$  is a measure on  $\mathbf{A}$ .

Remark. While defining  $m^*$  as  $m^*(p) = m(\sup(p))$  might look tempting, this definition is not additive.

*Proof.* First, since  $1 \in \mathbf{A}$  is a function  $1: X^I \to \mathbf{B}$  defined as 1(x) = 1, we have that  $m^*(1) = m(1) = 1$ . If  $m^*(p) = 0$  for some  $p \in \mathbf{A}$ , then it must be the case that

$$\bigvee_{x \in X^I} p(x) = 0$$

which means that for all  $x \in X^I$ , p(x) = 0, which is equivalent to saying that p = 0. Thus,  $m^*(p) > 0$  for  $p \in \mathbf{A} \setminus \{0\}$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The universal quantifier  $\forall (J)$  is then defined as  $\forall (J)p = \neg (\exists (J)\neg p)$  for all  $p \in \mathbf{A}^*$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>To be more explicit, a **B**-valued functional polyadic algebra with domain X and variables I.

## References

- [1] Haim Gaifman. Concerning measures on Boolean algebras. *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*, 14(1):61–73, 1964.
- [2] M. Ganesh. Introduction to fuzzy sets and fuzzy logic. PHI Learning Pvt. Ltd., 2006.
- [3] Steven Givant and Paul R. Halmos. *Introduction to Boolean algebras*. Springer Science & Business Media, 2008.
- [4] Paul R. Halmos. Algebraic logic. Courier Dover Publications, 2016.
- [5] Thomas Jech. Set theory, Second Edition. Perspectives in Mathematical Logic. Springer, 1997.