

# Weighted Model Counting as a Special Case of Polyadic Measure Algebras

Paulius Dilkas

9th March 2020

## 1 Propositional Logic and Boolean Algebras

### 1.1 Preliminaries

**Definition 1.** A *Boolean algebra* is a tuple  $(\mathbf{B}, \wedge, \vee, \neg, 0, 1)$  of a set  $\mathbf{B}$  with operations  $\wedge, \vee, \neg$  and elements  $0, 1 \in \mathbf{B}$  such that the following axioms hold for all  $a, b, c \in \mathbf{B}$ :

- both  $\wedge$  and  $\vee$  are associative and commutative;
- $a \vee (a \wedge b) = a$ , and  $a \wedge (a \vee b) = a$ ;
- $0$  is the identity of  $\vee$ , and  $1$  is the identity of  $\wedge$ ;
- $\vee$  distributes over  $\wedge$  and vice versa;
- $a \vee \neg a = 1$ , and  $a \wedge \neg a = 0$ .

Let  $a, b \in \mathbf{B}$  be arbitrary. Let  $\leq$  be a partial order on  $\mathbf{B}$  defined by  $a \leq b$  if  $a = b \wedge a$  (or, equivalently,  $a \vee b = b$ ), and let  $a < b$  denote  $a \leq b$  and  $a \neq b$ .

Which definition do I actually need?

**Definition 2** ([5, 6]). An element  $a \neq 0$  of a Boolean algebra  $\mathbf{B}$  is an *atom* if there is no  $x \in \mathbf{B}$  such that  $0 < x < a$ . Equivalently,  $a \neq 0$  is an atom if, for all  $x \in \mathbf{B}$ , either  $x \wedge a = a$  or  $x \wedge a = 0$ . A Boolean algebra is *atomic* if for every  $a \in \mathbf{B} \setminus \{0\}$ , there is an atom  $x$  such that  $x \leq a$ .

**Lemma 1** ([2]). For any two distinct atoms  $a, b$  in a Boolean algebra,  $a \wedge b = 0$ .

**Lemma 2** ([3]). All finite Boolean algebras are atomic.

**Theorem 1.** Let  $\mathbf{B}$  be a finite Boolean algebra. Then every  $x \in \mathbf{B} \setminus \{0\}$  can be uniquely expressed as

$$x = \bigvee_{\text{atoms } a \leq x} a.$$

*Proof.* A simple consequence of the theorem that every finite Boolean algebra is isomorphic to a field of subsets of a set, where the cardinality of the set is equal to the number of atoms in the Boolean algebra.  $\square$

Remove the requirement for being strictly positive

**Definition 3** ([1]). A (*strictly positive*) *measure* on a Boolean algebra  $\mathbf{B}$  is a function  $m : \mathbf{B} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that:

1.  $m(1) = 1$ , and  $m(x) > 0$  for  $x \neq 0$ ;
2.  $m(x \vee y) = m(x) + m(y)$  for all  $x, y \in \mathbf{B}$  whenever  $x \wedge y = 0$ .

## 1.2 New Results

Allow weight to be zero

**Definition 4.** Let  $\mathbf{B}$  be a finite Boolean algebra, and let  $M \subseteq \mathbf{B}$  be its set of atoms. Let  $L \subseteq \mathbf{B}$  be such that every atom  $m \in M$  can be uniquely expressed as  $m = \bigwedge_{i \in I} l_i$  for some  $\{l_i\}_{i \in I} \subseteq L$ , and let  $w : L \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  be arbitrary. The *weighted model count*  $\text{WMC} : \mathbf{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  is defined as

$$\text{WMC}(a) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } a = 0 \\ \prod_{i \in I} w(l_i) & \text{if } M \ni a = \bigwedge_{i \in I} l_i \\ \sum_{i \in I} \text{WMC}(m_i) & \text{if } \mathbf{B} \setminus (M \cup \{0\}) \ni a = \bigvee_{i \in I} m_i \end{cases}$$

for any  $a \in \mathbf{B}$ . Furthermore, we define the *normalised weighted model count*  $\text{nWMC} : \mathbf{B} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  as  $\text{nWMC}(a) = \frac{\text{WMC}(a)}{\text{WMC}(1)}$  for all  $a \in \mathbf{B}$ .

**Proposition 1.**  $\text{nWMC}$  is a measure for any finite Boolean algebra  $\mathbf{B}$ .

*Proof.* First, note that Property 1 of Definition 3 is satisfied by the definition of  $\text{nWMC}$ . Next, in order to prove Property 2, let  $x, y \in \mathbf{B}$  be such that  $x \wedge y = 0$ . We want to show that

$$\text{nWMC}(x \vee y) = \text{nWMC}(x) + \text{nWMC}(y)$$

which is equivalent to

$$\text{WMC}(x \vee y) = \text{WMC}(x) + \text{WMC}(y). \quad (1)$$

If, say,  $x = 0$ , then Eq. (1) becomes

$$\text{WMC}(y) = \text{WMC}(0) + \text{WMC}(y) = \text{WMC}(y)$$

(and likewise for  $y = 0$ ). Thus we can assume that  $x \neq 0 \neq y$  and use Theorem 1 to write

$$x = \bigvee_{i \in I} x_i \quad \text{and} \quad y = \bigvee_{j \in J} y_j$$

for some sequences of atoms  $(x_i)_{i \in I}$  and  $(y_j)_{j \in J}$ . If  $x_{i'} = y_{j'}$  for some  $i' \in I$  and  $j' \in J$ , then

$$x \wedge y = \bigvee_{i \in I} \bigvee_{j \in J} x_i \wedge y_j = x_{i'} \wedge y_{j'} \neq 0,$$

contradicting the assumption. This is enough to show that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{WMC}(x \vee y) &= \text{WMC} \left( \left( \bigvee_{i \in I} x_i \right) \vee \left( \bigvee_{j \in J} y_j \right) \right) = \sum_{i \in I} \text{WMC}(x_i) + \sum_{j \in J} \text{WMC}(y_j) \\ &= \text{WMC}(x) + \text{WMC}(y), \end{aligned}$$

finishing the proof. □

## 2 First-Order Logic and Polyadic Algebras

### 2.1 Preliminaries

What follows is a summary of [4].

Let  $\mathbf{B}$  be a Boolean algebra (of propositions). Let  $X$  be the (non-empty) domain of discourse. Let  $I$  be an index set, elements of which can be interpreted as variables. The elements of  $X^I$  are functions from  $I$  to

$X$ . For any  $x \in X^I$  and  $i \in I$ , we write  $x_i$  to represent  $x(i) \in X$ . Let  $\mathbf{A}^*$  be the set of all functions  $X^I \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$ , and note that it forms a Boolean algebra with operations defined pointwise.

Let  $T$  be the semigroup of all  $I \rightarrow I$  transformations. For any  $\tau \in T$ , let  $\tau_* : X^I \rightarrow X^I$  be defined by

$$(\tau_* x)_i = x_{\tau i}$$

for all  $x \in X^I$  and  $i \in I$ . For any (Boolean/polyadic) algebra  $\mathbf{C}$ , let  $\text{End}(\mathbf{C})$  denote the set of all its endomorphisms. We can then define  $\mathbf{S}$  to be a map  $\mathbf{S} : T \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathbf{A}^*)$  defined by

$$\mathbf{S}(\tau)p(x) = p(\tau_* x)$$

for all  $x \in X^I$  and  $p \in \mathbf{A}^*$ .

For any  $J \subseteq I$ , let  $J_*$  be the relation on  $X^I$  defined by

$$x J_* y \iff x_i = y_i \text{ for all } i \in I \setminus J$$

for all  $x, y \in X^I$ . For any  $J \subseteq I$ , we then define  $\exists(J)$  to be a transformation  $\mathbf{A}^* \rightarrow \mathbf{A}^*$  defined by

$$\exists(J)p(x) = \bigvee_{\substack{y \in X^I, \\ x J_* y}} p(y)$$

for all  $p \in \mathbf{A}^*$ , provided this supremum exists for all  $x \in X^I$ .

Finally, a *functional polyadic (Boolean) algebra*<sup>2</sup> is a subalgebra  $\mathbf{A}$  of  $\mathbf{A}^*$  such that:

- $\mathbf{S}(\tau)p \in \mathbf{A}$  for all  $p \in \mathbf{A}$  and  $\tau \in T$ ;
- $\exists(J)p \in \mathbf{A}$  for all  $p \in \mathbf{A}$  and  $J \subseteq I$ .

**Definition 5.** Similarly to  $\exists$ , a *constant*  $c$  is a map  $c : \mathcal{P}(I) \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathbf{A})$  (Boolean endomorphisms?) such that:

- $c(\emptyset) = \text{id}_{\mathbf{A}}$ ;
- $c(J \cup K) = c(J)c(K)$ ;
- $c(J)\exists(K) = \exists(K)c(J \setminus K)$ ;
- $\exists(J)c(K) = c(K)\exists(J \setminus K)$ ;
- $c(J)\mathbf{S}(\tau) = \mathbf{S}(\tau)c(\tau^{-1}J)$

for all  $J, K \in \mathcal{P}(I)$  and  $\tau \in T$ . If  $J$  is a singleton set  $\{i\}$ , we will simply write  $c(i)$  instead of  $c(J)$ .

## 2.2 New Results

**Proposition 2.** Let  $\mathbf{B}$  be a finite Boolean algebra with a measure  $m : \mathbf{B} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ . Let  $\mathbf{A}$  be a  $\mathbf{B}$ -valued functional polyadic algebra with domain  $X$  and variables  $I$ . Let  $m^* : \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  be defined by

$$m^*(p) = \sum_{\substack{\text{atoms } y \in \mathbf{B} \text{ s.t.} \\ \exists x \in X^I : y \leq p(x)}} m(y)$$

for all  $p \in \mathbf{A}$ . Then  $m^*$  is a measure on  $\mathbf{A}$ .

<sup>1</sup>The universal quantifier  $\forall(J)$  is then defined as  $\forall(J)p = \neg(\exists(J)\neg p)$  for all  $p \in \mathbf{A}^*$ .

<sup>2</sup>To be more explicit, a  $\mathbf{B}$ -valued functional polyadic algebra with domain  $X$  and variables  $I$ .

*Remark.* While definitions of  $m^*$  such as

$$m^*(p) = m\left(\bigvee_{x \in X^I} p(x)\right)$$

might look tempting, they are not additive.

*Proof.*

Update the proof w.r.t. definitions

First, we can show that  $m^*(1) = 1$  by observing that

$$m^*(1) = \sum_{\text{atoms } y \in \mathbf{B}} m(y) = m\left(\bigvee_{\text{atoms } y \in \mathbf{B}} y\right) = m(1) = 1,$$

where we use Theorem 1 and express  $1 \in \mathbf{B}$  as the supremum of all atoms in  $\mathbf{B}$  [2]. Clearly  $m^*(p) \geq 0$  for all  $p \in \mathbf{A}$ , so we can restrict the codomain of  $m^*$  to  $[0, 1]$ .

Next, we want to show that  $m^*(p) > 0$  for all  $p \in \mathbf{A} \setminus \{0\}$ . If  $p \neq 0$ , then there must be some  $x' \in X^I$  such that  $p(x') \neq 0$ . But then, since finite Boolean algebras are atomic, there must also be an atom  $y \in \mathbf{B}$  such that  $y \leq p(x')$ . Therefore,  $m^*(p) \geq m(y) > 0$ , finishing this part of the proof.

Let  $p, q \in \mathbf{A}$  be such that  $p \wedge q = 0$ . We want to show that  $m^*(p \vee q) = m^*(p) \vee m^*(q)$ . First, note that

$$y \leq (p \vee q)(x) = p(x) \vee q(x)$$

if and only if

$$y = (p(x) \vee q(x)) \wedge y = (p(x) \wedge y) \vee (q(x) \wedge y)$$

by Definition 1. Also note that

$$(p(x) \wedge y) \wedge (q(x) \wedge y) = p(x) \wedge q(x) \wedge y = (p \wedge q)(x) \wedge y = 0 \wedge y = 0,$$

so

$$m(y) = m((p(x) \wedge y) \vee (q(x) \wedge y)) = m(p(x) \wedge y) + m(q(x) \wedge y)$$

by Definition 3 which then leads to

$$\begin{aligned} m^*(p \vee q) &= \sum_{\substack{\text{atoms } y \in \mathbf{B} \text{ s.t.} \\ \exists x \in X^I: y \leq (p \vee q)(x)}} m(y) = \sum_{\substack{\text{atoms } y \in \mathbf{B} \text{ s.t.} \\ \exists x \in X^I: y \leq (p \vee q)(x)}} m(p(x) \wedge y) + m(q(x) \wedge y) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{\text{atoms } y \in \mathbf{B} \text{ s.t.} \\ \exists x \in X^I: y \leq (p \vee q)(x)}} m(p(x) \wedge y) + \sum_{\substack{\text{atoms } y \in \mathbf{B} \text{ s.t.} \\ \exists x \in X^I: y \leq (p \vee q)(x)}} m(q(x) \wedge y). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $y$  is an atom,

$$p(x) \wedge y = \begin{cases} y & \text{if } y \leq p(x) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} m^*(p \vee q) &= \sum_{\substack{\text{atoms } y \in \mathbf{B} \text{ s.t.} \\ \exists x \in X^I: y \leq (p \vee q)(x) \text{ and } y \leq p(x)}} m(p(x) \wedge y) + \sum_{\substack{\text{atoms } y \in \mathbf{B} \text{ s.t.} \\ \exists x \in X^I: y \leq (p \vee q)(x) \text{ and } y \leq q(x)}} m(q(x) \wedge y) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{\text{atoms } y \in \mathbf{B} \text{ s.t.} \\ \exists x \in X^I: y \leq p(x)}} m(p(x) \wedge y) + \sum_{\substack{\text{atoms } y \in \mathbf{B} \text{ s.t.} \\ \exists x \in X^I: y \leq q(x)}} m(q(x) \wedge y) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{\text{atoms } y \in \mathbf{B} \text{ s.t.} \\ \exists x \in X^I: y \leq p(x)}} m(y) + \sum_{\substack{\text{atoms } y \in \mathbf{B} \text{ s.t.} \\ \exists x \in X^I: y \leq q(x)}} m(y) = m^*(p) + m^*(q), \end{aligned}$$

finishing the proof that  $m^*$  is a measure. □

**Lemma 3.** *Given the setup of Proposition 2 and  $p \in \mathbf{A}$ , if  $p(x) = p(y)$  for all  $x, y \in X^I$  (i.e.,  $p$  has no free variables), then*

$$m^*(p) = m(p(x))$$

*(for some  $x \in X^I$ ) is an alternative (i.e., equivalent and simpler) definition of  $m^*$ .*

*Proof.* Fix some  $x \in X^I$ . Then

$$m(p(x)) = m \left( \bigvee_{\substack{\text{atoms } y \in \mathbf{B} \text{ s.t.} \\ y \leq p(x)}} y \right) = \sum_{\substack{\text{atoms } y \in \mathbf{B} \text{ s.t.} \\ y \leq p(x)}} m(y) = \sum_{\substack{\text{atoms } y \in \mathbf{B} \text{ s.t.} \\ \exists x' \in X^I : y \leq p(x')}} m(y) = m^*(p),$$

where we use Theorem 1 for the first step, Definition 3 and Lemma 2 for the second step, the assumptions of Lemma 3 for the third step, and the definition of  $m^*$  for the fourth one.  $\square$

## 3 From First-Order Logic to Polyadic Algebras

### 3.1 Preliminaries

**Definition 6** ([3]). An *ideal* in a Boolean algebra  $\mathbf{B}$  is a subset  $M \subseteq \mathbf{B}$  such that:

- $0 \in M$ ;
- $x \vee y \in M$  for all  $x, y \in M$ ;
- $x \wedge y \in M$  for all  $x \in M$  and  $y \in \mathbf{B}$ .

For any subset  $S \subseteq \mathbf{B}$ , the *ideal generated by  $S$*  is the smallest ideal  $M$  such that  $S \subseteq M$ .

Note that Definition 6 gives us a simple characterisation of an ideal generated by a set of atoms.

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $\mathbf{B}$  be a Boolean algebra, and let  $S \subseteq \mathbf{B}$  be a set of atoms. The ideal  $I$  generated by  $S$  is defined by the following:*

- $0 \in I$ ,
- $S \subseteq I$ ,
- $x \vee y \in I$  for all  $x, y \in I$ .

**Definition 7** ([3]). Let  $\mathbf{B}$  be a Boolean algebra, and let  $I$  be an ideal in  $\mathbf{B}$ . The *quotient algebra*  $\mathbf{B}/I$  is a Boolean algebra on equivalence classes of elements of  $\mathbf{B}$  (with operations defined pointwise) based on the equivalence relation

$$x \sim y \iff x + y \in I$$

where  $x + y = (x \wedge \neg y) \vee (y \wedge \neg x)$  is the symmetric difference operation (written as a sum because it can be interpreted as the ‘additive’ part of the corresponding Boolean ring).

### 3.2 New Results (an Example)

In order to make the example algebras easily describable, our example programs will have to be tiny. Consider the following ProbLog [7] program:

```
1.0 :: p(a, b).
0.5 :: p(X, X) :- p(X, Y); p(Y, X).
```

Table 1: Example elements of  $\mathbf{A}$  as maps  $X^I \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$ , with  $a : \mathcal{P}(I) \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathbf{A})$  as one of two possible constants.

Element of $\mathbf{A}$	Action on $X^I$
$p = \mathbf{S}(\text{id})p = \exists(\emptyset)p = a(\emptyset)p = b(\emptyset)p$	$(x_1, x_2) \mapsto \mathbf{p}(x_1, x_2)$
$\exists(1)p$	$(x_1, x_2) \mapsto \mathbf{p}(a, x_2) \vee \mathbf{p}(b, x_2)$
$\exists(2)p$	$(x_1, x_2) \mapsto \mathbf{p}(x_1, a) \vee \mathbf{p}(x_1, b)$
$\exists(I)p$	$(x_1, x_2) \mapsto \mathbf{p}(a, a) \vee \mathbf{p}(a, b) \vee \mathbf{p}(b, a) \vee \mathbf{p}(b, b)$
$\mathbf{S}(\{1 \mapsto 1, 2 \mapsto 1\})p$	$(x_1, x_2) \mapsto \mathbf{p}(x_1, x_1)$
$\mathbf{S}(\{1 \mapsto 2, 2 \mapsto 1\})p$	$(x_1, x_2) \mapsto \mathbf{p}(x_2, x_1)$
$\mathbf{S}(\{1 \mapsto 2, 2 \mapsto 2\})p$	$(x_1, x_2) \mapsto \mathbf{p}(x_2, x_2)$
$a(1)p$	$(x_1, x_2) \mapsto \mathbf{p}(a, x_2)$
$a(2)p$	$(x_1, x_2) \mapsto \mathbf{p}(x_1, a)$
$a(I)p$	$(x_1, x_2) \mapsto \mathbf{p}(a, a)$

Table 2: Step-by-step derivation of how a more complex element of  $\mathbf{A}$  acts on elements of  $X^I$

Element of $\mathbf{A}$	Action on $X^I$
$p$	$(x_1, x_2) \mapsto \mathbf{p}(x_1, x_2)$
$b(2)p$	$(x_1, x_2) \mapsto \mathbf{p}(x_1, b)$
$\neg b(2)p$	$(x_1, x_2) \mapsto \neg \mathbf{p}(x_1, b)$
$\exists(1)\neg b(2)p$	$(x_1, x_2) \mapsto \neg \mathbf{p}(a, b) \vee \neg \mathbf{p}(b, b) = \neg(\mathbf{p}(a, b) \wedge \mathbf{p}(b, b))$
$\forall(1)b(2)p = \neg \exists(1)\neg b(2)p$	$(x_1, x_2) \mapsto \neg \neg(\mathbf{p}(a, b) \wedge \mathbf{p}(b, b)) = \mathbf{p}(a, b) \wedge \mathbf{p}(b, b)$

Let  $L = \{\mathbf{p}(a, a), \mathbf{p}(a, b), \mathbf{p}(b, a), \mathbf{p}(b, b)\}$  be the set of all possible ground atoms. Let  $\mathbf{B}$  be the Boolean algebra freely generated by  $L$  (see, e.g., [3] for more on free Boolean algebras). Then  $\mathbf{B}$  will have sixteen atoms ranging from  $\mathbf{p}(a, a) \wedge \mathbf{p}(a, b) \wedge \mathbf{p}(b, a) \wedge \mathbf{p}(b, b)$  to  $\neg \mathbf{p}(a, a) \wedge \neg \mathbf{p}(a, b) \wedge \neg \mathbf{p}(b, a) \wedge \neg \mathbf{p}(b, b)$ . The weight function  $w : L \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  defined by

$$w(l) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } l = \mathbf{p}(a, b) \\ 0.5 & \text{if } l \in \{\mathbf{p}(a, a), \mathbf{p}(b, b)\} \\ 0 & \text{if } l = \mathbf{p}(b, a) \\ 1 - w(l') & \text{if } l = \neg l' \end{cases}$$

for all  $l \in L$  defines a WMC measure over  $\mathbf{B}$ . Note that while we could define an ideal generated by  $\{\mathbf{p}(b, a), \neg \mathbf{p}(a, b)\}$  and take the quotient of  $\mathbf{B}$  by that ideal to get a Boolean algebra with a strictly positive measure, this would put zero-probability queries outside of our algebras, i.e., we would not be able to ask a question whose answer is zero.

Finally, let  $\mathbf{A}$  be the functional polyadic algebra  $X^I \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$  for  $I = \{1, 2\}$  and  $X = \{a, b\}$ <sup>3</sup>. The elements of  $X^I$  can then be represented as tuples  $(x_1, x_2)$  for some  $x_1, x_2 \in X$ . See Table 1 for example elements of  $\mathbf{A}$  which consists of a single predicate function  $p$  and operators  $\exists, \mathbf{S}, a, b, \neg, \wedge, \vee$ , the last three of which are defined pointwise.

Let us calculate the probability  $\Pr(\forall x_1 \in X, \mathbf{p}(x_1, b))$ . The same expression can be translated into the notation for our polyadic algebra  $\mathbf{A}$  as  $m^*(\forall(1)b(2)p)$ . Recall that  $\forall(1)b(2)p = \neg \exists(1)\neg b(2)p$ . The effect of this function on an arbitrary element of  $X^I$  is derived step-by-step in Table 2. Since the resulting function

<sup>3</sup> $X$  cannot (or should not) have constants that do not occur in  $\mathbf{B}$ .

Table 3: Atoms  $y \in \mathbf{B}$  (and their measures) such that  $y \leq \mathbf{p}(a, b) \wedge \mathbf{p}(b, b)$

Atom $y \in \mathbf{B}$	$m(y)$
$\mathbf{p}(a, b) \wedge \mathbf{p}(b, b) \wedge \mathbf{p}(a, a) \wedge \mathbf{p}(b, a)$	$1 \times 0.5 \times 0.5 \times 0 = 0$
$\mathbf{p}(a, b) \wedge \mathbf{p}(b, b) \wedge \neg \mathbf{p}(a, a) \wedge \mathbf{p}(b, a)$	$1 \times 0.5 \times 0.5 \times 0 = 0$
$\mathbf{p}(a, b) \wedge \mathbf{p}(b, b) \wedge \mathbf{p}(a, a) \wedge \neg \mathbf{p}(b, a)$	$1 \times 0.5 \times 0.5 \times 1 = 0.25$
$\mathbf{p}(a, b) \wedge \mathbf{p}(b, b) \wedge \neg \mathbf{p}(a, a) \wedge \neg \mathbf{p}(b, a)$	$1 \times 0.5 \times 0.5 \times 1 = 0.25$

is constant (i.e., the logical formula has no free variables), Lemma 3 tells us that

$$m^*(\forall(1)b(2)p) = m(\mathbf{p}(a, b) \wedge \mathbf{p}(b, b)) = m\left(\bigvee_{\substack{\text{atoms } y \in \mathbf{B} \text{ s.t.} \\ y \leq \mathbf{p}(a, b) \wedge \mathbf{p}(b, b)}} y\right) = \sum_{\substack{\text{atoms } y \in \mathbf{B} \text{ s.t.} \\ y \leq \mathbf{p}(a, b) \wedge \mathbf{p}(b, b)}} m(y).$$

The resulting sum is over four atoms; these atoms and their probabilities are listed in Table 3. Thus, we get that

$$m^*(\forall(1)b(2)p) = 0 + 0 + 0.25 + 0.25 = 0.5.$$

**Proposition 3.** *Let  $\mathbf{B}$  be a finite measure algebra with measure  $m : \mathbf{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ . Let  $L \subset \mathbf{B}$  be defined as*

$$L = \{l_i \mid i \in [n]\} \cup \{\neg l_i \mid i \in [n]\}$$

*for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Finally, assume that  $\mathbf{B}$  has  $2^n$  atoms, where each atom  $a \in \mathbf{B}$  is an infimum*

$$a = \bigwedge_{i=1}^n a_i$$

*such that  $a_i \in \{l_i, \neg l_i\}$  for  $i \in [n]$ . Then there exists a weight function  $w : L \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  that makes  $m$  a WMC measure if and only if*

$$m(l \wedge l') = m(l)m(l') \quad (2)$$

*for all distinct  $l, l' \in L$  such that  $l \neq \neg l'$ .*

*Remark.* Note that if  $n = 1$ , then Eq. (2) is vacuously satisfied and so any valid measure can be expressed as WMC.

*Proof.* Let us begin with the ‘if’ part of the statement. Let  $w : L \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  be defined by

$$w(l) = m(l) \quad (3)$$

for all  $l \in L$ . We are going to show that  $\text{nWMC} = m$ . First, note that  $\text{nWMC}(0) = 0 = m(0)$  by the definitions of both  $\text{nWMC}$  and  $m$ . Second, let

$$a = \bigwedge_{i=1}^n a_i \quad (4)$$

be an atom in  $\mathbf{B}$  such that  $a_i \in \{l_i, \neg l_i\}$  for all  $i \in [n]$ . Then

$$\text{nWMC}(a) = \frac{\text{WMC}(a)}{\text{WMC}(1)} = \frac{1}{\text{WMC}(1)} \prod_{i=1}^n w(a_i) = \frac{1}{\text{WMC}(1)} \prod_{i=1}^n m(a_i) = \frac{1}{\text{WMC}(1)} m\left(\bigwedge_{i=1}^n a_i\right) = \frac{m(a)}{\text{WMC}(1)}$$

by Definition 4 and Eqs. (2) to (4). Now we just need to show that  $\text{WMC}(1) = 1$ . Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{WMC}(1) &= \sum_{\text{atoms } a \in \mathbf{B}} \text{WMC}(a) = \sum_{\text{atoms } a \in \mathbf{B}} \prod_{i=1}^n w(a_i) = \sum_{\text{atoms } a \in \mathbf{B}} \prod_{i=1}^n m(a_i) \\ &= \sum_{\text{atoms } a \in \mathbf{B}} m\left(\bigwedge_{i=1}^n a_i\right) = \sum_{\text{atoms } a \in \mathbf{B}} m(a) = m\left(\bigvee_{\text{atoms } a \in \mathbf{B}} a\right) = m(1) = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, note that if  $\text{nWMC}$  and  $m$  agree on all atoms, then they must also agree on all other non-zero elements of the Boolean algebra. □

The big TODO list

- Extension to infinite (atomic?) Boolean algebras.
- How many extra variable do you need to add to make any probability distribution representable using WMC?
- Abstraction refinements as homomorphisms.
- Definition of a measure-preserving homomorphism from Jech's set theory book.
- A Boolean algebra is approximable if its Stone space is approximable.

## 4 Homomorphisms

**Definition 8** ([3]). Let  $\mathbf{A}$  and  $\mathbf{B}$  be Boolean algebras. A *Boolean homomorphism* from  $\mathbf{A}$  to  $\mathbf{B}$  is a map  $f : \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$  such that:

- $f(x \wedge y) = f(x) \wedge f(y)$ ,
- $f(x \vee y) = f(x) \vee f(y)$ ,
- $f(\neg x) = \neg f(x)$

for all  $x, y \in \mathbf{A}$ .

**Definition 9** ([4]). Given two polyadic algebras  $\mathbf{A}$  and  $\mathbf{B}$ , a *polyadic homomorphism* from  $\mathbf{A}$  to  $\mathbf{B}$  is a Boolean homomorphism  $f : \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$  such that

- $f\mathbf{S}(\tau)p = \mathbf{S}(\tau)fp$ ,
- $f\exists(J)p = \exists(J)fp$

for all  $\tau \in T$ ,  $p \in \mathbf{A}$ , and  $J \subseteq I$ .

## References

- [1] Haim Gaifman. Concerning measures on Boolean algebras. *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*, 14(1):61–73, 1964.
- [2] M. Ganesh. *Introduction to fuzzy sets and fuzzy logic*. PHI Learning Pvt. Ltd., 2006.
- [3] Steven Givant and Paul R. Halmos. *Introduction to Boolean algebras*. Springer Science & Business Media, 2008.



- [4] Paul R. Halmos. *Algebraic logic*. Courier Dover Publications, 2016.
- [5] Thomas Jech. *Set theory, Second Edition*. Perspectives in Mathematical Logic. Springer, 1997.
- [6] Ken Levasseur and Al Doerr. *Applied Discrete Structures*. Lulu.com, 2012.
- [7] Luc De Raedt, Angelika Kimmig, and Hannu Toivonen. Problog: A probabilistic prolog and its application in link discovery. In Manuela M. Veloso, editor, *IJCAI 2007, Proceedings of the 20th International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence, Hyderabad, India, January 6-12, 2007*, pages 2462–2467, 2007.