

## Mathematical Statistics Final

Dillon Allen

### Problem 1

Number of Ants	Obs Num of Min	Expected Num of Min
0	10	13.66
1	29	27.20
2	25	27.06
3	27	17.95
4	6	8.93
5	3	3.554
6+	0	1.62

We combined the last entry to have 5+, meaning  $\text{expected}[5] = 3.554 + 1.62$

Now, we calculate  $d$  by following case study 10.4.2, giving us  $d = 7.67$ . Our degrees of freedom is  $df = 6 - 2 = 4$ , so our chi-squared value is  $\chi^2_{0.95,4} = 9.488$ . The criteria to accept the distribution of data as Poisson is

$$d > \chi^2_{0.95,4}$$

Thus we cannot claim that this data follows the Poisson Distribution with  $\lambda_{MLE} = \text{mean}(\text{ants})$ .

### Problem 2

Using the formula

$$p_i = \binom{n}{n_i} p_{succ}^{n_i} (1 - p_{succ})^{n-n_i}$$

We can sum for  $p(A)$ ,  $p(B)$  and  $p(C)$  to get  $p_{total} = 0.3482$

### Problem 3

The wording of this problem confuses me but I feel like the answer to this, since the events are independent, is

$$P(\text{type I}) = 1 - P(\text{three successes}) = 1 - (0.75^3) = .5781$$

### Problem 4

$$H_0: \mu_{CM} = \mu_C$$

$$H_A: \mu_{CM} > \mu_C$$

Using the formulas in section 9.2, we get  $t = 1.469$

$$t_{\alpha=0.05, df=25} = 1.7081$$

In order to reject the null hypothesis in this case,  $t > t_{\alpha=0.05, df=25}$ . Since this is not the case, we cannot reject the null hypothesis.

### Problem 5

I cannot figure out a better way of testing if these defects are independent from plant other than doing paired t-tests on each column to see if the mean defect counts are equal. If all of them come back equal, then that means there must be some sort of company-wide issue rather than a plant specific one.

Our Hypothesis setup is this:

$$H_0: \mu_i = \mu_j, j = \text{plant}$$

$$H_a: \mu_i \neq \mu_j$$

Test	p-value	Reject Null?
A-B	0.859	No
A-C	0.03932	Yes
B-C	0.08691	No

Since the paired t-test for A-C has a p-value < 0.05, we can reject the null hypothesis. There is some sort of issue that needs to be investigated between plants A and C, and judging by the tabulated data provided on the final, it seems plant C has found a better way to produce their furniture.

Thus, failure mode is independent of plant.

#### Problem 6

The hypothesis setup for this problem was the following:

$$H_0: \sigma_B^2 = \sigma_C^2$$

$$H_a: \sigma_B^2 \neq \sigma_C^2$$

$$F_{\frac{0.05}{2}, 10-1, 12-1} = .256$$

$$F_{1-\frac{0.05}{2}, 10-1, 12-1} = 3.47$$

Following the procedure in case study 9.3.1, we calculate the following values:

$$s_B^2 = 0.5166$$

$$s_C^2 = 0.1643$$

$$F = \frac{s_C^2}{s_B^2} = .318$$

Since the calculated F value is in-between both F values from the table, we cannot reject the null hypothesis. Thus, we do not have statistically compelling evidence to conclude that the viscosity variances between plants B and C are different.

#### Problem 7

Using Theorem 9.4.1, we have the following setup

$$H_0: p_B = p_A$$

$$H_A: p_B > p_A$$

$$\alpha = 0.05$$

Now we have the following values

$$z_\alpha = 1.96$$

$$p_B = \frac{32}{180} = .175$$

$$p_A = \frac{20}{180} = .109$$

$$p_e = \frac{32 + 20}{360} = .1428$$

$$z_{\text{Theorem 9.4.1}} = 1.797$$

Thus, with  $z_\alpha > z$ , we cannot reject the null hypothesis. We do not have enough data to conclude that the traffic circle reduces accidents.

#### Problem 8

Using theorem 7.5.1, we get the following data from scores:

$$n = 15$$

$$s^2 = \text{var}(\text{scores}) = 20$$

$$\chi^2_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}, df-1} = 26.119$$

$$\chi^2_{\alpha, df-1} = 5.629$$

$$CI = (10.72, 49.74)$$