Games

Non-cooperative multi-agent systems

Games

Many problems can be modelled as games: multiple agents with (possibly competing) interests:

- Chess
- Go
- Multiple agents competing for limited resources

Games and agents acting in them can be described by:

- **Actions** (moves) available to each agent in various stages of a game
- **Utility** (payoff) functions, one for each agent. They assign a real number to every possible outcome of the game (typically terminal states). [If the utility functions are the same the agents become cooperative.]
- **Strategy** functions, one for each agent. They determine what action must be taken in each state. [Typically the goal is to find a strategy that maximises the utility for one agent or for a group of agents.]

Example: rock paper scissors

		Bob		
		rock	*paper*	*scissors*
Alice	*rock*	$0,0$	$-1,1$	$1,-1$
	paper	$1,-1$	$0,0$	$-1,1$
	scissors	$-1,1$	$1,-1$	0,0

- The game is not turn-based; it is a *simultaneous action game*.
- The games has 9 possible outcomes.
- The table shows two utility functions (Alice's and Bob's).

Game Trees

In *perfect-information games* (where agents can see which states they are in) a **game tree** is a finite tree where the nodes are states and the arcs correspond to actions by the agents. In particular:

- Each internal node is labeled with an agent (or with nature). The agent is said to control the node.
- Each arc out of a node labeled with agent *i* corresponds to an action for agent *i*.
- Each internal node labeled with **nature** has a probability distribution over its children.
- The leaves represent final outcomes and are labeled with a utility foreach agent.

Perfect-information zero-sum turn-based games

Properties:

- Typically two agents
- They take turn to play
- There is no chance involved.
- The state of the game is fully observable by all agents
- Utility (payoff) values for each agent are the opposite of those of the other. Also called **zero sum**; the sum of the reward and penalty is constant.
- Because they are opposite of each other, we use one value and assume that one player tries to maximise the utility, the other minimise.
- This creates adversary.

Example: noughts and crosses



- A game tree can be seen as a contingency tree containing all possibilities
- The root is the current state
- An actual play is a path from the root to one of the leaf nodes

An optimal strategy: Min-Max function

Designed to find the best move at each stage:

1. Generate the whole game tree, down to the leaves.

2. Apply utility (payoff) function to each leaf.

3. Back-up values from leaves through branch nodes:
   - a Max node computes the Max of its child values
   - a Min node computes the Min of its child values

4. At root:
   - If it's a **max node**, choose the action leading to the child with highest value.
   - If it's a **min node**, choose the action leading to the child with lowest value.

Example: a two-ply game tree



- Two symbols (triangles):
  - Max nodes pointing up
  - Min nodes pointing down

- Leaf (terminal) nodes have a utility (payoff) value.

Example: A Two-Ply Game Tree



- The utility of other nodes are determined by applying MinMax algorithm.

Example: A Two-Ply Game Tree



- The best action for Max is A1
- If A1 is played by Max, then the best action for Min is A11.

MinMax Algorithm

```
function MINIMAX-DECISION(state) returns an action
    inputs: state, current state in game
    return the a in ACTIONS(state) maximizing MIN-VALUE(RESULT(a, state))
```

Assuming the root node is Max

```
function MAX-VALUE(state) returns a utility value
    if TERMINAL-TEST(state) then return UTILITY(state)
    v ← −∞
    for a, s in SUCCESSORS(state) do v ← MAX(v, MIN-VALUE(s))
    return v
```

```
function MIN-VALUE(state) returns a utility value
    if TERMINAL-TEST(state) then return UTILITY(state)
    v ← ∞
    for a, s in SUCCESSORS(state) do v ← MIN(v, MAX-VALUE(s))
    return v
```

Functions used in the algorithm

Actions(state)

Returns the set of legal moves available at the state *state*.

Result(action, state)

Returns a state that is the result of applying the action *action* on the state *state*.

Successors(state)

Returns a list of pairs of action-state that can be reached from the given *state*.

Terminal-Test(state)

Is the game finished? True if *state* is a leaf, false otherwise.

Utility(state)

Gives numerical value of the terminal state *state*.

Game Tree Size

- Tic-Tac-Toe
  - $b \approx 5$ legal actions per state on average
  - total of 9 moves, $d = 9$
  - $b^d \approx 5^9 = 1{,}953{,}125$
  - → **Searching the entire tree quite reasonable**

- Chess
  - $b \approx 35$ (approximate average branching factor)
  - $d \approx 100$ (depth of game tree for a "typical" game)
  - $b^d \approx 35^{100} \approx 10^{154}$ nodes!!
  - → **Searching the entire tree completely infeasible**

How to reduce the search space

- Pruning the game tree
- Heuristic evaluations of states
- Table lookup instead of search (for opening and closing situations for example)

Pruning: The Idea



If an option is bad compared to other available options, there is no point in expending search time to find out exactly how bad.

Pruning: The Idea



Stop right here (after seeing 2) when evaluating this (Min) node because Max already has a better option!

Alpha-Beta Pruning: The Concept



If *m* > *n*, **Max** would choose the *m*-node to get a guaranteed utility of at least *m.*

The Min node with utility *n* (or less) would never be reached; stop further evaluation.

Alpha-Beta Pruning: The Concept



If $m < n$, **Min** would choose the m-node to get a guaranteed utility of at most m.

The Max node with utility n (or more) would never be reached; stop further evaluation.

Alpha-Beta Pruning Algorithm

Similar to MinMax algorithm but Max-Value and Min-Value keep two local variables α (alpha) and β (beta).

α = highest-value choice found for MAX higher up in the tree
(initially, α = −infinity)

β = lowest-value choice found for MIN higher up in the tree
(initially, β = +infinity)

Pass current values of α and β down to child calls (nodes) during the search.

Update values of α and β during search:

- Max-Value updates α (at Max nodes)
- Min-Value updates β (at Min nodes)

Prune remaining branches at a node when $\alpha \geq \beta$

Alpha-Beta Pruning Algorithm

```
function ALPHA-BETA-SEARCH(state) returns an action
    v ← MAX-VALUE(state, −∞, +∞)
    return the action in ACTIONS(state) with value v
```

Assuming the root node is Max

```
function MAX-VALUE(state, α, β) returns a utility value
    if TERMINAL-TEST(state) then return UTILITY(state)
    v ← −∞
    for each a in ACTIONS(state) do
        v ← MAX(v, MIN-VALUE(RESULT(s,a), α, β))
        α ← MAX(α, v)
        if α ≥ β then return v
    return v
```

```
function MIN-VALUE(state, α, β) returns a utility value
    if TERMINAL-TEST(state) then return UTILITY(state)
    v ← +∞
    for each a in ACTIONS(state) do
        v ← MIN(v, MAX-VALUE(RESULT(s,a) , α, β))
        β ← MIN(β, v)
        if α ≥ β then return v
    return v
```

When to Prune

- **Prune whenever $\alpha \geq \beta$**
  - Prune below a Max node whose alpha value becomes greater than or equal to the beta value of its ancestors.
  - Prune below a Min node whose beta value becomes less than or equal to the alpha value of its ancestors.

Alpha-Beta Pruning Example



Alpha-Beta Pruning Example (continued)



MIN updates β, based on children

Alpha-Beta Pruning Example (continued)



MIN updates β, based on children (no change)

Alpha-Beta Pruning Example (continued)



Alpha-Beta Pruning Example (continued)



Alpha-Beta Pruning Example (continued)



Alpha-Beta Pruning Example (continued)



Alpha-Beta Pruning Example (continued)



Alpha-Beta Pruning Example (continued)



Alpha-Beta Pruning Example (continued)



MIN updates β

Alpha-Beta Pruning Example (continued)



Alpha-Beta Pruning Example (continued)



Alpha-Beta Pruning Example (continued)



Alpha-Beta Pruning Example (continued)

Max calculates the same node value, and makes the same move as without pruning (MiniMax).



Alpha-Beta Pruning Example 2



Alpha-Beta Pruning Example 3



Effectiveness of Alpha-Beta Search

- Pruning does not affect the final result (optimal move).

- An entire sub-tree can be pruned.

- Worst-Case
  - branches are ordered so that no pruning takes place. In this case alpha-beta gives no improvement over exhaustive search
- Best-Case
  - each player's best move is the left-most child (i.e. evaluated first)
- Good move *ordering* improves effectiveness of pruning
  - E.g., sort moves by the remembered move values found last time.
  - E.g., expand captures first, then threats, then forward moves, etc.
  - E.g., run Iterative Deepening search, sort by value last iteration.

- In practice often get $O(b^{(d/2)})$ rather than $O(b^d)$
  - this is the same as having a branching factor of sqrt(b),
    - $(\text{sqrt}(b))^d = b^{(d/2)}$,i.e., we effectively go from b to square root of b
  - e.g., in chess go from b ~ 35 to b ~ 6
    - this permits much deeper search in the same amount of time

Static (Heuristic) Evaluation Functions

- Estimates how good the current board configuration is for a player.
- Typically, evaluate how good it is for the player, how good it is for the opponent, then subtract the opponent's score from the player's. Examples:
  - Othello: Number of white pieces - Number of black pieces
  - Chess: Value of all white pieces - Value of all black pieces
- Typical values from -infinity (loss) to +infinity (win) or [-1, +1].
- If the board evaluation is X for one player, it's -X for the other.
- This allows to perform **cut-off search**: after a maximum depth is reached, use a heuristic evaluation function instead of actual utility.

Evaluation functions



Black to move

White slightly better



White to move

Black winning

For chess, typically *linear* weighted sum of features

$$Eval(s) = w_1 f_1(s) + w_2 f_2(s) + \ldots + w_n f_n(s)$$

e.g., $w_1 = 9$ with
$f_1(s) =$ (number of white queens) – (number of black queens), etc.