

## Networks theoretical practice problems no.2.

1. Suppose we generate a Watts-Strogatz random graph made of  $N = 1000$  nodes, where every node is connected to its first and second neighbours along the ring (this means that  $q = 2$ ), and we set the random rewiring probability to  $\beta = 10^{-4}$ . What do you expect, is this going to result in a small world network?

*Our very simple estimate for the critical  $\beta_c$  where we expect the network to turn into a small-world network can be obtained from  $\beta_c \cdot N \cdot q = 1$ , or in other words  $\beta_c = \frac{1}{N \cdot q}$ . In the present case this gives  $\beta_c = \frac{1}{1000 \cdot 2} = 5 \cdot 10^{-4}$ . Since the proposed  $\beta$  is smaller, our expectation is that the resulting network is not going to be a small-world network since the expected number of randomly rewired links is very low.*

2. Suppose we modify the B-A model in the following way: each node is given a uniform fitness value  $a \in [0, m]$ , and the probability for an already existing node  $i$  to gain a new link is proportional to  $k_i - a$ :

$$\mathcal{P}_i \sim k_i - a.$$

- Derive the decay exponent for  $p(k)$  in the mean-field approximation for the **large  $k$  regime**.

Hint: since we are interested only in the tail of  $p(k)$  where  $k \gg 1$ , in order to be able to solve the differential equation in a similar way to the original B-A model, neglect  $a$  beside  $k_i$  after writing down the differential equation.

*Similarly to the original B-A model we assume that for large  $t$*

$$\begin{aligned} N &\simeq t, \\ M &\simeq mt. \end{aligned}$$

*Since we have an additional uniform fitness parameter  $a \in [0, m]$ , the probability for choosing  $i$  is*

$$\mathcal{P}_i \sim k_i - a.$$

*By using the normalisation condition that  $\sum \mathcal{P}_i = 1$  we can write*

$$\mathcal{P}_i = \frac{k_i - a}{\sum_j (k_j - a)} = \frac{k_i - a}{2M - Na},$$

where we have used that  $\sum_i k_i = 2M$ . By substituting  $N \simeq t, M \simeq mt$  we obtain

$$\mathcal{P}_i = \frac{k_i + a}{2mt - at}.$$

Based on that, differential equation for  $k_i$  takes the form of

$$\frac{\partial k_i}{\partial t} = m\mathcal{P}_i = m \frac{k_i - a}{2mt - at} = \frac{k_i - a}{t(2 - a/m)}.$$

Since we are interested in the large degree regime where  $k \gg 1$ , we can neglect  $a$  besides  $k_i$  in the nominator, thus,

$$\frac{\partial k_i}{\partial t} = \frac{k_i - a}{t(2 - a/m)} \simeq \frac{k_i}{t(2 - a/m)}.$$

Solving the diff. eq.:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial k_i}{k_i} &= \frac{\partial t}{t(2 - a/m)} \quad \rightarrow \quad \int \frac{dk_i}{k_i} = \int \frac{dt}{t(2 - a/m)} \\ \rightarrow \quad \ln k_i &= \frac{1}{2 - a/m} \ln t + \text{const.} \quad \rightarrow \quad k_i(t) = ct^{\frac{1}{2 - a/m}} \end{aligned}$$

The constant  $c$  is determined by the boundary condition that at  $t = t_i$ , (the appearance of node  $i$ ) we have  $k_i = m$ :

$$\begin{aligned} k_i(t = t_i) &= m = ct_i^{\frac{1}{2 - a/m}} \quad \rightarrow \quad c = mt_i^{-\frac{1}{2 - a/m}} \\ \rightarrow \quad k_i(t) &= m \left( \frac{t}{t_i} \right)^{\frac{1}{2 - a/m}}. \end{aligned}$$

The cumulative degree distribution:

$$\begin{aligned} P(k) &\equiv \mathcal{P}(k_i < k) = \mathcal{P}\left(m(t/t_i)^{\frac{1}{2 - a/m}} < k\right) = \mathcal{P}\left(t/t_i < (k/m)^{2 - a/m}\right) = \\ &\mathcal{P}\left(t_i/t > (m/k)^{2 - a/m}\right). \end{aligned}$$

The lengths of the time steps:



Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} P(k) &= 1 - \left(\frac{m}{k}\right)^{2-a/m} \\ \rightarrow p(k) &= 2m^2 k^{-3+a/m} \end{aligned}$$

This means that now we can control the exponent  $\gamma = 3 - a/m$  with the help of the parameter  $a$ . When  $a = 0$  we recover the original B-A model with  $\gamma = 3$ , and when  $a = m$ , we obtain  $\gamma = 2$ .

3. Is preferential attachment really necessary in the B-A model for achieving a scale-free degree distribution? Let us find out, by modifying the model in such a way that the new nodes are choosing simply uniformly at random from the already existing nodes when connecting into the network. Derive the  $p(k)$  in this model following the same steps we went through in the slides for the original B-A model.

If the new links are connected randomly with uniform probability:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_i &= \frac{1}{N(t)} \simeq \frac{1}{t}, \\ \frac{\partial k_i}{\partial t} &= m\mathcal{P}_i = \frac{m}{t}, \end{aligned}$$

leading to

$$k_i(t) = m \ln(t/t_i) + m,$$

The cumulative degree distribution:

$$\begin{aligned} P(k) &\equiv \mathcal{P}(k_i < k) = \mathcal{P}(m \ln(t/t_i) + m < k) = \\ &\mathcal{P}(\ln(t/t_i) < k/m - 1) = \mathcal{P}(t/t_i < e^{k/m-1}) = \\ &\mathcal{P}(t_i/t > e^{1-k/m}), \end{aligned}$$

The lengths of the time steps:



Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} P(k) &= 1 - e^{1-k/m} \\ p(k) &= \frac{e^{1-k/m}}{m} \end{aligned}$$

*According to this result, if we have uniform attachment instead of preferential attachment, the degree distribution develops into an exponential distribution, and not into a scaling power-law distribution. Therefore, the preferential attachment is indeed a necessary ingredient of the B-A model.*