Needs Assessment for iehfc

Field	Question	An	Answer		
oata Quality Checks — Short Surve					
intro_note	DIME Analytics is currently developing a new toolkit called <i>iesurveykit</i> to facilitate high-quality primary data collection. One of the key components of this toolkit is <i>iehfc</i> , a platform designed to generate customizable high-frequency checks.				
	Our objective is to make <i>iehfc</i> more accessible to researchers of all levels of coding experience, while also standardizing				
	good data quality practices in development economics research. To achieve this goal, we are seeking feedback from				
	researchers like you on the features and functionalities of your existing high-frequency check tools, along with				
	suggestions for potential improvements.				
	suggestions for potential improvements.				
	We have launched a survey to collect this feedback, which will aid in the development of <i>iehfc</i> . Your insights and opinions				
	are valuable to us, and we would appreciate your participation in this survey. It should take no more than 10 minutes to				
	complete, and your responses will be kept strictly confidential.				
q1_role	Which of the following best describes your current role?		1	Researcher (Research	
				Assistant)	
			2	Researcher (Field	
				Coordinator)	
			3	Researcher (Principal	
				Investigator)	
			4	Researcher (Other)	
			5	M&E (In-House)	
				M&E (Contractor)	
				M&E (Other)	
				Survey firm (Manager)	
				Survey firm (Other)	
		-			
				Student	
				Data scientist	
			12	Policy analyst	
			-88	Other, please specify	
q1_88_role_o	You selected "Other," please specify:				
q2_dime_yes	Are you affiliated with DIME, or working on a DIME project?		1 0	No	
			1 \	′es	
q3_institution	Please describe your current affiliation.			Government agency/minis	
qo_montation	Trease accorde your ourion annualon.				
			2	Development agency	
				(FCDO,IADB, AfDB, UN, E	
				etc)	
			3	Non-governmental	
				organization (NGO)	
			4	Private sector/For-profit	
				organization	
			5	University, research institut	
				or think tank	
			-66	Prefer not to say	
			-88	Other, please specify	
q3_88_institution_o	You selected "Other," please specify:				
			4	Corning out the data access	
q4_responsibility	Which of the following data quality check activities are your responsibility during the data collection process? Please select any that apply.		'	Carrying out the data quali	
				checks	
			2	Reviewing the results and	
				providing feedback	
			3	Receiving the results and	
				implementing field correction	
			97	I am not directly involved in	
				this aspect of the research	
				process	
			-88	Other, please specify	
q4_88_responsiblity_o	You selected "Other," please specify:				
q5_check_period	How often does your team conduct quality checks during the data collection process?		1	Daily	
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			More than once a week	
		-		Weekly	
		_		More than once a month	
				Once a month	
			-88	Other, please specify	
q5_88_check_period_o	You selected "Other," please specify:				
q6_hfc_tool	What tool(s) (softwares/packages) does your team use to run the checks?		1	The ipacheck package in	
				Stata by IPA	
			2	HighFrequencyChecks	
			-	package in R by UNHCR	

Field	Question	An	Answer	
			3	Data validation package(s) in R (e.g. pointblank or
			4	data.validator) SurveyCTO automated
			7	quality checks
			5	
			6	Custom scripts in R
			97	None of the above
			-88	Other, please specify
q6_88_hfc_tool_o	You selected "Other," please specify:			
q7_hfc_setup_time	Please estimate, in hours, the amount of time it took your team to set up your most recent data quality check process. [Please enter -99 if you do not know]			
q8_hfc_check	Which of the following checks are included in your team's data quality check process?		1	Duplicate IDs
			2	Missing values
			3	Outliers
			4	Enumerator-level checks —
				e.g. survey duration, number
				of completed surveys,
				number of respondent
				refusing to consent, etc.
			5	Administrative/Geographic
				unit-level checks — e.g.
				number of completed surveys
				by village, %age of targeted households surveyed by
				village, etc.
			6	Survey programming checks
				— e.g. inconsistent variable
				values, values outside of
				expected range, etc.
			7	Progress tracking — e.g.
				household-level check of
				whether household was
			_	surveyed
			8	Descriptive statistics — mean and standard deviation for
				key outcome variables
			q	Enumerator comments
				None of the above
				Other, please specify
q8_88_hfc_check_o	You selected "Other," please specify:			
q9_hfc_wish	Which of the following checks would you or your team want to include in your data quality checks (but cannot given		1	Duplicate IDs
	limitations of your current tool)			Missing values
			3	
			4	Enumerator-level checks —
				e.g. survey duration, number
				of completed surveys,
				number of respondent
				refusing to consent, etc.
			5	Administrative/Geographic
				unit-level checks — e.g.
				number of completed surveys
				by village, %age of targeted households surveyed by
				village, etc.
			6	Survey programming checks
				— e.g. inconsistent variable
				values, values outside of
				expected range, etc.
			7	Progress tracking — e.g.
				household-level check of
				whether household was
				surveyed
			8	Descriptive statistics — mean
				and standard deviation for
				key outcome variables
				Enumerator comments None of the above
			97	None of the above Other, please specify

S8_hfc_output_o You selected "Other," please specify: Does (Do) the current format(s) of your outputs meet all your or your team's needs? if not, please specify challenges/issues you face using it (them). Please Indicate which of the following data quality check packages you are familiar with:		
In your opinion, what are the limitations or shortcomings in your current set up for conducting data quality checks, in terms of how they are processed and the outputs they create? What is the format of the outputs/results generated by your data quality check process? What is the format of the outputs/results generated by your data quality check process? In you selected "Other," please specify: Does (Do) the current format(s) of your outputs meet all your or your team's needs? If not, please specify challenges/issues you face using it (them). Please indicate which of the following data quality check packages you are familiar with: Output	ISW	ver
of how they are processed and the outputs they create? What is the format of the outputs/results generated by your data quality check process?		
What is the format of the outputs/results generated by your data quality check process? 1		
S8_hfc_output_o You selected "Other," please specify: Does (Do) the current format(s) of your outputs meet all your or your team's needs? if not, please specify challenges/issues you face using it (them). Please indicate which of the following data quality check packages you are familiar with:		
Sa_hfc_output_o You selected "Other," please specify:	1	1 Excel spreadsheets
Sa_hfc_output_o You selected "Other," please specify:	2	2 Google Sheets
Sa_hfc_output_o You selected "Other," please specify:	3	3 Figures and tables compi
Sa_hfc_output_o You selected "Other," please specify:		into a dynamic document
Sa_hfc_output_o You selected "Other," please specify:	4	4 Shiny Dashboard
S8_hfc_output_o You selected "Other," please specify: Does (Do) the current format(s) of your outputs meet all your or your team's needs? if not, please specify challenges/issues you face using it (them). Please indicate which of the following data quality check packages you are familiar with: S8_hfc_aware You selected "Other," please specify: Quality Checks — Short Survey > HFC Tool Comparison (1) (Re	97	None of the above, our da
S8_hfc_output_o You selected "Other," please specify: Does (Do) the current format(s) of your outputs meet all your or your team's needs? if not, please specify challenges/issues you face using it (them). Please indicate which of the following data quality check packages you are familiar with: S8_hfc_aware You selected "Other," please specify: Quality Checks — Short Survey > HFC Tool Comparison (1) (Re		quality process does not
S8_hfc_output_o You selected "Other," please specify: Does (Do) the current format(s) of your outputs meet all your or your team's needs? if not, please specify challenges/issues you face using it (them). Please indicate which of the following data quality check packages you are familiar with: S8_hfc_aware You selected "Other," please specify: Quality Checks — Short Survey > HFC Tool Comparison (1) (Re		generate outputs
Does (Do) the current format(s) of your outputs meet all your or your team's needs? if not, please specify challenges/issues you face using it (them). Please indicate which of the following data quality check packages you are familiar with: Please indicate which of the following data quality check packages you are familiar with: Please indicate which of the following data quality check packages you are familiar with: Please indicate which of the following data quality check packages you are familiar with: Please indicate which of the following data quality check packages you are familiar with: Please indicate which of the following data quality check packages you are familiar with: Please indicate which of the following data quality check packages you are familiar with: Please indicate which of the following data quality check packages you are familiar with: Please indicate which of the following data quality check packages you are familiar with: Please indicate which of the following data quality check packages you are familiar with: Please indicate which of the following data quality check packages you are familiar with: Please indicate which of the following data quality check packages you are familiar with: Please indicate which of the following data quality check packages you are familiar with: Please indicate which of the following data quality check packages you are familiar with: Please indicate which of the following data quality check packages you are familiar with: Please indicate which of the following data quality check packages you are familiar with:	-88	Other, please specify
challenges/issues you face using it (them). Please indicate which of the following data quality check packages you are familiar with: Second		
38_hfc_aware_o You selected "Other," please specify: Quality Checks — Short Survey > HFC Tool Comparison (1) (Re		
88_hfc_aware_o You selected "Other," please specify: Quality Checks — Short Survey > HFC Tool Comparison (1) (Re	1	1 The ipacheck package in
88_hfc_aware_o You selected "Other," please specify: Quality Checks — Short Survey > HFC Tool Comparison (1) (Re		Stata by IPA
88_hfc_aware_o You selected "Other," please specify: Quality Checks — Short Survey > HFC Tool Comparison (1) (Re	2	2 HighFrequencyChecks
88_hfc_aware_o You selected "Other," please specify: Quality Checks — Short Survey > HFC Tool Comparison (1) (Re		package in R by UNHCR
88_hfc_aware_o You selected "Other," please specify: Quality Checks — Short Survey > HFC Tool Comparison (1) (Re	3	3 Data validation package(s
88_hfc_aware_o You selected "Other," please specify: Quality Checks — Short Survey > HFC Tool Comparison (1) (Re		R (e.g. pointblank or
88_hfc_aware_o You selected "Other," please specify: Quality Checks — Short Survey > HFC Tool Comparison (1) (Re		data.validator)
88_hfc_aware_o You selected "Other," please specify: Quality Checks — Short Survey > HFC Tool Comparison (1) (Re	4	4 SurveyCTO automated
88_hfc_aware_o You selected "Other," please specify: Quality Checks — Short Survey > HFC Tool Comparison (1) (Re		quality checks
88_hfc_aware_o You selected "Other," please specify: Quality Checks — Short Survey > HFC Tool Comparison (1) (Re	5	5 Custom scripts in Stata
88_hfc_aware_o You selected "Other," please specify: Quality Checks — Short Survey > HFC Tool Comparison (1) (Re	6	6 Custom scripts in R
38_hfc_aware_o You selected "Other," please specify: Quality Checks — Short Survey > HFC Tool Comparison (1) (Re	97	None of the above
Quality Checks — Short Survey > HFC Tool Comparison (1) (Rep	-88	88 Other, please specify
_hfc_improve Please indicate the advantage(s) you believe your current HFC tool(s) has (have) over [hfc_tool_name].	epea	eated group)
	1	1 Accessibility: software
		requirements and ease of
	2	2 Functionality: range of
		features and capabilities
	3	3 Customizability: flexibility i
		terms of checks performed
		and outputs created
	4	Replicability: ability to
		replicate the outputs when
		shared with other users
	5	Shareability: how
		straightforward it is to sha
		outputs
	97	7 None, my tool is not better
		any of these dimensions
Mhat specific features and functionalities would you want to see in a new tool designed to create and run high frequency checks?		
Quality Checks — Short Survey > Contact Information		
tact_info_note Would you be willing to provide your name and a contact email address for possible follow-up questions? This is optional.		
We will not use your information for any other purpose than contact for follow-up questions on this survey's content.		
_name Name:		
_email Email address:		
you_note Thank you for your time.		