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# The Probably Approximately Correct (PAC) Learning Model

- the set of all possible *examples* or *instances*. also is referred to as the *input space*.

– the set of all possible labels / target values. When we have *binary classification*.

A *concept* is a mapping from to . Since , we can identify with the subset of over which it takes the value 1.

A *concept class* is a set of concepts.

Let us assume that the examples are independently and identically distributed (i.i.d.) according to some fixed but unknown distribution . The learner considers a fixed set of possible concepts called hypothesis set, which may not coincide with . The learner receives a sample drawn i.i.d. according to as well as the labels set which are based on a specific target concept to learn. The learner’s task is to use the labeled sample to select a hypothesis that has a small *generalization error* with respect to the concept . The generalization error of a hypothesis , also referred to as the *true error* of is denoted with and is defined as follows:

**Definition** *Generalization error*

Given a hypothesis , a target concept and an underlying distribution , the generalization error or risk of is defined by

(1)

where is the indicator function of the event .

The generalization error of a hypothesis is not directly accessible to the learner since both the distribution and the target concept are unknown. However, the learner can measure the *empirical error* of a hypothesis on the labeled sample .

Definition Empirical error
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# Appendix

## Cross-validation

in practice the amount of labeled data available is often too small to set aside a validation sample since that would leave an insufficient amount of training data. Instead, a widely adopted method known as n-fold cross-validation is used to exploit the labeled data both for model selection (selection of the free parameters of the algorithm) and for training.

Let denote the vector of free parameters of the algorithm. For a fixed value of , the method consists of first randomly partitioning a given sample of labeled examples into subsamples or *folds*. The -th fold is thus a labeled sample of size . Then, for any , the learning algorithm is trained on all but the th fold to generate a hypothesis , and the performance of is tested on the -th fold. The parameter value is evaluated based on the average error of the hypotheses , which is called the *cross-validation error*. This quantity is denoted by and defined by

(A1.1)

In (A1.1) represents the loss function which measures the difference or loss between the predicted label and a true label. Denoting the set of all labels as and the set of possible predictions as , a loss function is a mapping . In most cases and the loss function is bounded, but these conditions do not always hold. Common examples of loss function are:

the *zero-one* (or misclassification) loss function defined over by

the squared loss function defined over by where is typically a bounded interval.

Then the expression represents the error of on the -th hold. The folds are generally chosen to have equal size, that is for all .

Question: How should be chosen?

Each training sample used in the -fold cross validation has size . When is large the size of the training sample is close to , the size of the full sample, but the training samples are quite similar; thus, for large we tend to have small bias but a large variance. In contrast, smaller values of lead to more diverse training samples but their size is significantly less than , thus the method tends to have a smaller variance but a larger bias.

-fold cross validation is used as follows in model selection. The full labeled data is first split into a training and a test sample. The training sample of size is then used to compute the -fold cross-validation error for a small number of possible values of . is next set to the value for which is smallest and the algorithm is trained with the parameter setting over the full training sample of size . Its performance is evaluated on the test sample.

Special case: *leave-one-out cross-validation*

This is the case when since at each iteration exactly one instance is left out of the training sample.

The average leave-one-out error is an approximately unbiased estimate of the average error of an algorithm and can be used to derive simple guarantees for some algorithms. In general, the leave-one-out error is very costly to compute since it requires training times on samples of size m – 1, but for some algorithms it admits a very efficient computation.

Note: