For this example, consider the following portfolio (right) using a linear interpolation method (left).

■ Given:  $z = ay_1 + (1 - a)y_2$  for some  $a \in [0,1]$ .

■ Given:  $V = Ne^{-zT}$ .

■ Claim:  $\Delta V = w_1(\Delta B_1) + w_2(\Delta B_2)$  for some  $w_1, w_2$ .

Time	$T_1$	T	$T_2$
Cashflow	1	N	1
Rates	$y_1$	Z	$y_2$
Discounted Cashflows	$e^{-y_1T_1}$	$Ne^{-zT}$	$e^{-y_2T_2}$

2A

## Goal - Find $w_1$ and $w_2$ .

 $\Delta V(B_1,B_2) = w_1(\Delta B_1) + w_2(\Delta B_2) \text{ and using } 1^{\text{st}} \text{ order Talor approximation gives } \Delta V(B_1,B_2) \approx \frac{\partial V}{\partial B_1} \Delta B_1 + \frac{\partial V}{\partial B_2} \Delta B_2 \ .$ 

(1) Calculating  $\frac{\partial V}{\partial B_1} = \frac{\partial V}{\partial V_1} \frac{\partial y_1}{\partial B_2}$  is as follows:

$$\frac{\partial V}{\partial y_1} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y_1} \{ Ne^{-zT} \} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y_1} \{ Ne^{-[ay_1 + (1-a)y_2]T} \} = Ne^{-zT} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_1} \{ -[ay_1 + (1-a)y_2]T \} = Ne^{-zT} (-aT) = \frac{-Ne^{-zT}aT}{aT} \{ -[ay_1 + (1-a)y_2]T \} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y_1} \{ Ne^{-zT} \} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y_1} \{ Ne^{-zT} \} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y_1} \{ Ne^{-[ay_1 + (1-a)y_2]T} \} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y_1} \{ Ne^{-zT} \} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y_1} \{ Ne^{-zT} \} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y_1} \{ Ne^{-[ay_1 + (1-a)y_2]T} \} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y_1} \{ Ne^{-zT} \} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y_1} \{ Ne^{-zT} \} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y_1} \{ Ne^{-[ay_1 + (1-a)y_2]T} \} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y_1} \{ Ne^{-zT} \} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y_1} \{ Ne^{-zT} \} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y_1} \{ Ne^{-[ay_1 + (1-a)y_2]T} \} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y_1} \{ Ne^{-zT} \} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y_1} \{ Ne^{-[ay_1 + (1-a)y_2]T} \} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y_1} \{ Ne^{-zT} \} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y_1} \{ Ne^{-[ay_1 + (1-a)y_2]T} \} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y_1} \{ Ne^{-zT} \}$$

Invert function to take derivative by taking natural log,

$$B_1 = e^{-y_1 T_1} \to \ln B_1 = -y_1 T_1 \to y_1 = -\frac{1}{T_1} \ln B_1 \; \; ; \; \; \frac{\partial y_1}{\partial B_1} = -\frac{1}{T_1} * \frac{1}{B_1} = -\frac{1}{T_1 B_1} \; .$$

$$\frac{\partial V}{\partial B_1} = \frac{\partial V}{\partial y_1} \frac{\partial y_1}{\partial B_1} = (-Ne^{-zT}aT) \left( -\frac{1}{T_1B_1} \right) = \frac{Ne^{-zT}aT}{T_1B_1} = \frac{Ne^{-zT}aT}{T_1e^{-y_1T_1}}$$

(2) Calculating  $\frac{\partial V}{\partial B_2} = \frac{\partial V}{\partial V_2} \frac{\partial y_2}{\partial B_2}$  is as follows:

$$\frac{\partial V}{\partial y_2} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y_2} \{ Ne^{-zT} \} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y_2} \{ Ne^{-[ay_1 + (1-a)y_2]T} \} = Ne^{-zT} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_2} \{ -[ay_1 + (1-a)y_2]T \} = \frac{-Ne^{-zT}(1-a)T}{2}$$

Invert function to take derivative by taking natural log.

$$B_2 = e^{-y_2 T_2} \to \ln B_2 = -y_2 T_2 \to y_2 = -\frac{1}{T_2} \ln B_2 \; ; \; \frac{\partial y_2}{\partial B_2} = -\frac{1}{T_2} * \frac{1}{B_2} = -\frac{1}{T_2 B_2} \; .$$

$$\frac{\partial V}{\partial B_2} = \frac{\partial V}{\partial \gamma_2} \frac{\partial \gamma_2}{\partial B_2} = \left(-Ne^{-zT}(1-a)T\right) \left(-\frac{1}{T_2B_2}\right) = \frac{Ne^{-zT}(1-a)T}{T_2e^{-\gamma_2T_2}}$$

Recall,  $\Delta V(B_1, B_2) = w_1(\Delta B_1) + w_2(\Delta B_2)$  and using 1<sup>st</sup> order Talor approximation gives  $\Delta V(B_1, B_2) \approx \frac{\partial V}{\partial B_1} \Delta B_1 + \frac{\partial V}{\partial B_2} \Delta B_2$ .

Therefore, 
$$w_1 = \frac{\partial V}{\partial B_1} = \frac{Ne^{-2T}aT}{T_{1,P}-y_1T_1}$$
 and  $w_2 = \frac{\partial V}{\partial B_2} = \frac{Ne^{-2T}(1-a)T}{T_{1,P}-y_2T_2}$ 

2B

Goal – Check if  $V = w_1B_1 + w_2B_2$ .

$$w_1B_1 + w_2B_2 = \left(\frac{Ne^{-zT}aT}{T_1e^{-y_1T_1}}\right)e^{-y_1T_1} + \left(\frac{Ne^{-zT}(1-a)T}{T_2e^{-y_2T_2}}\right)e^{-y_2T_2}$$

$$= \frac{Ne^{-zT}aT}{T_1} + \frac{Ne^{-zT}(1-a)T}{T_2}$$
$$= Ne^{-zT} * T\left(\frac{a}{T_1} + \frac{1-a}{T_2}\right)$$

Therefore,  $V = Ne^{-zT}$  is proportional to  $w_1B_1 + w_2B_2$  up to a constant  $\gamma = T\left(\frac{a}{T_1} + \frac{1-a}{T_2}\right)$ , i.e.,  $V \propto w_1B_1 + w_2B_2$ .

2C

Goal – Repeat using  $-zT = a \log B_1 + (1 - a) \log B_2$ 

 $\Delta V(B_1, B_2) = w_1(\Delta B_1) + w_2(\Delta B_2)$  and using 1<sup>st</sup> order Talor approximation gives  $\Delta V(B_1, B_2) \approx \frac{\partial V}{\partial B_1} \Delta B_1 + \frac{\partial V}{\partial B_2} \Delta B_2$ .

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial V}{\partial B_1} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial B_1} \{Ne^{-zT}\} = \frac{\partial}{\partial B_1} \{Ne^{a\log B_1 + (1-a)\log B_2}\} = \frac{\partial}{\partial B_1} \Big\{Ne^{\log(B_1^a) + \log\left(B_2^{(1-a)}\right)}\Big\} = N\frac{\partial}{\partial B_1} \Big\{B_1^a B_2^{(1-a)}\Big\} = NB_2^{(1-a)}\frac{\partial}{\partial B_1} \{B_1^a\} \\ &\rightarrow \frac{\partial V}{\partial B_1} = NB_2^{(1-a)}aB_1^{a-1} \\ &\frac{\partial V}{\partial B_2} = \frac{\partial}{\partial B_2} \{Ne^{-zT}\} = \frac{\partial}{\partial B_2} \Big\{Ne^{a\log B_1 + (1-a)\log B_2}\Big\} = \frac{\partial}{\partial B_2} \Big\{Ne^{\log(B_1^a) + \log\left(B_2^{(1-a)}\right)}\Big\} = N\frac{\partial}{\partial B_2} \Big\{B_1^a B_2^{(1-a)}\Big\} = NB_1^a\frac{\partial}{\partial B_2} \Big\{B_2^{(1-a)}\Big\} \\ &\rightarrow \frac{\partial V}{\partial B_2} = NB_1^a (1-a)B_2^{-a} \end{split}$$

Therefore,  $w_1 = \frac{\partial V}{\partial B_1} = NB_2^{(1-a)}aB_1^{a-1}$  and  $w_2 = \frac{\partial V}{\partial B_2} = NB_1^a(1-a)B_2^{-a}$ .

$$\begin{split} w_1B_1 + w_2B_2 &= \left(NB_2^{(1-a)}aB_1^{a-1}\right)B_1 + \left(NB_1^a(1-a)B_2^{-a}\right)B_2 \\ &= NB_2^{1-a}aB_1^a + NB_1^a(1-a)B_2^{1-a} \\ &= aNB_1^aB_2^{1-a} + NB_1^aB_2^{1-a} - aNB_1^aB_2^{1-a} \\ &= NB_1^aB_2^{1-a} \end{split}$$

$$V = Ne^{-zT} = Ne^{[a \log B_1 + (1-a) \log B_2]} = NB_1^a B_2^{1-a}$$

Therefore,  $V = w_1B_1 + w_2B_2$ ; Proved.

I would recommend log-linear interpolation instead of linear interpolation on the zero rates in computing the VaR of this portfolio because the log-linear interpolation better captures the curvature in rates; rates exhibiting exponential behavior is common in fixed-income markets and thus log-linear interpolation is generally preferable for this portfolio.

Notes

Reference Formulas

$$\Delta f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \approx \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} \Delta x_1 + \dots + \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n} \Delta x_n = \left(x_1 \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}\right) \left(\frac{\Delta x_1}{x_1}\right) + \dots + \left(x_n \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n}\right) \left(\frac{\Delta x_n}{x_n}\right) \text{ s.t. } \frac{\Delta x_i}{x_i} = \frac{\Delta S_i}{S_i} \approx r_i \text{ daily return.}$$

$$\Delta P_t \approx \sum_{i=1}^n S_i \frac{\partial f}{\partial S_i} r_i = \sum_{i=1}^n w_i r_i \text{ s.t. } w_i = S_i \frac{\partial f}{\partial S_i}.$$

$$\Delta f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \approx \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} \Delta x_1 + \dots + \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n} \Delta x_n = \left(x_1 \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}\right) \left(\frac{\Delta x_1}{x_1}\right) + \dots + \left(x_n \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n}\right) \left(\frac{\Delta x_n}{x_n}\right)$$