

Software Requirements Specification for Software Eng

4G06: subtitle describing software

Team 2, Parnas' Pals

William Lee

Jared Bentvelsen

Bassel Rezkalla

Yuvraj Randhawa

Dimitri Tsampiras

Matthew McCracken

October 5, 2022

Contents

1	The Purpose of the Project	iv
1.1	The User Business or Background of the Project Effort	iv
1.2	Goals of the Project	iv
2	Project Drivers	iv
2.1	The Purpose of the Project	iv
2.2	Stakeholders	iv
3	Project Constraints	iv
3.1	Mandated Constraints	iv
3.1.1	Solution Constraints	iv
3.1.2	Implementation Environment of the Current System	iv
3.1.3	Partner or Collaborative Applications	iv
3.1.4	Off-the-Shelf Software	v
3.1.5	Anticipated Workplace Environment	v
3.1.6	Schedule Constraints	v
3.1.7	Budget Constraints	v
3.1.8	Enterprise Constraints	v
3.2	Naming Conventions and Terminology	vi
3.3	Relevant Facts and Assumptions	vi
4	Functional Requirements	vi
4.1	The Scope of the Work	vi
4.2	Business Data Model and Data Directory	vi
4.3	The Scope of the Product	vi
4.4	Functional Requirements	vi
5	Nonfunctional Requirements	vii
5.1	Look and Feel Requirements	vii
5.1.1	Appearance Requirements	vii
5.1.2	Standards Compliance Requirements	vii
5.2	Usability and Humanity Requirements	vii
5.3	Performance Requirements	vii
5.4	Operational and Environmental Requirements	vii
5.5	Maintainability and Support Requirements	vii
5.6	Security Requirements	vii
5.7	Cultural Requirements	vii
5.8	Compliance Requirements	vii
6	Use cases	viii
6.1	Use case Diagram	x

7	Traceability Matrices and Graphs	xi
8	Project Issues	xv
8.1	Open Issues	xv
8.2	Off the Shelf Solutions	xv
8.3	New Problems	xv
8.4	Tasks	xv
8.5	Migration to the New Product	xv
8.6	Risks	xv
8.7	Costs	xv
8.8	User Documentation and Training	xv
8.9	Waiting Room	xv
8.10	Ideas for Solutions	xv
9	Reference Material	xv
9.1	Abbreviations and Acronyms	xv

Revision History

Date	Version	Notes
Date 1	1.0	Notes
Date 2	1.1	Notes

1 The Purpose of the Project

1.1 The User Business or Background of the Project Effort

1.2 Goals of the Project

2 Project Drivers

2.1 The Purpose of the Project

2.2 Stakeholders

1. Fitness Enthusiasts - Anyone interested in exploring other fitness routines, creating their own routines, and tracking their own personal progression towards goals.
2. Personal Trainers - Olympian provides the ideal platform for trainers to share routines and goals with their clients.
3. Fitness Advertisers - One avenue of monetization that Olympian could take is running advertisements. Although these advertisements could fall into any category, the largest stakeholders will be Fitness Advertisers, as the users of Olympian will be heavily involved with fitness, and thus most likely to buy fitness products.

3 Project Constraints

3.1 Mandated Constraints

3.1.1 Solution Constraints

1. **Description:** The product shall operate its back-end server with Node.js.
Rationale: The product depends on the functionality provided by many libraries unique to Node.js.
Fit Criterion: All back-end server libraries used are Node.js libraries, with a Node.js back-end.

3.1.2 Implementation Environment of the Current System

The product will be launched as a web-app on the internet, and as a mobile app. There is no hardware or otherwise physical integration of the product.

3.1.3 Partner or Collaborative Applications

N/A

3.1.4 Off-the-Shelf Software

N/A

3.1.5 Anticipated Workplace Environment

N/A

3.1.6 Schedule Constraints

The product timeline will follow the schedule as laid out in the course outline by Dr. Smith

	Team Formed, Project Selected	September 19
	Problem Statement, Development Plan	September 26
	Requirements Document Revision 0	October 5
	Hazard Analysis 0	October 19
	V&V Plan Revision 0	November 2
	Proof of Concept Demonstration	November 14–25
	Design Document Revision 0	January 18
	Revision 0 Demonstration	February 6–February 17
	V&V Report Revision 0	March 8
	Final Demonstration (Revision 1)	March 20–March 31
(dates listed for 2022):	EXPO Demonstration	April TBD
	Final Documentation (Revision 1)	April 5
	- Problem Statement	
	- Development Plan	
	- Requirements Document	
	- Hazard Analysis	
	- Design Document	
	- V&V Plan	
	- V&V Report	
	- User's Guide	
	- Source Code	

3.1.7 Budget Constraints

N/A

3.1.8 Enterprise Constraints

N/A

3.2 Naming Conventions and Terminology

Below is a glossary of terms, acronyms and abbreviations used by stakeholders involved in the product's scope:

- **Repetitions:** The number of times a motion will be repeated.
- **Exercise:** An entity describing a physical movement to be performed with optional descriptors and any combination of the following quantifiers: Repetitions, Sets, Weight, Distance, Time, and Rest Time.
- **Routine:** A routine (or workout routine) is composed of a sequence of exercises performed in order.

3.3 Relevant Facts and Assumptions

N/A

4 Functional Requirements

4.1 The Scope of the Work

4.2 Business Data Model and Data Directory

4.3 The Scope of the Product

4.4 Functional Requirements

- R1: [Requirements for the inputs that are supplied by the user. This information has to be explicit. —TPLT]
- R2: [It isn't always required, but often echoing the inputs as part of the output is a good idea. —TPLT]
- R3: [Calculation related requirements. —TPLT]
- R4: [Verification related requirements. —TPLT]
- R5: [Output related requirements. —TPLT]

[Every IM should map to at least one requirement, but not every requirement has to map to a corresponding IM. —TPLT]

5 Nonfunctional Requirements

[List your nonfunctional requirements. You may consider using a fit criterion to make them verifiable. —TPLT] [The goal is for the nonfunctional requirements to be unambiguous, abstract and verifiable. This isn't easy to show succinctly, so a good strategy may be to give a "high level" view of the requirement, but allow for the details to be covered in the Verification and Validation document. —TPLT] [An absolute requirement on a quality of the system is rarely needed. For instance, an accuracy of 0.0101 % is likely fine, even if the requirement is for 0.01 % accuracy. Therefore, the emphasis will often be more on describing how well the quality is achieved, through experimentation, and possibly theory, rather than meeting some bar that was defined a priori. —TPLT] [You do not need an entry for correctness in your NFRs. The purpose of the SRS is to record the requirements that need to be satisfied for correctness. Any statement of correctness would just be redundant. Rather than discuss correctness, you can characterize how far away from the correct (true) solution you are allowed to be. This is discussed under accuracy. —TPLT]

5.1 Look and Feel Requirements

5.1.1 Appearance Requirements

NFR1: bodybodybody

5.1.2 Standards Compliance Requirements

- N/A

5.2 Usability and Humanity Requirements

5.3 Performance Requirements

5.4 Operational and Environmental Requirements

5.5 Maintainability and Support Requirements

5.6 Security Requirements

5.7 Cultural Requirements

5.8 Compliance Requirements

NFR2: **Accuracy** [Characterize the accuracy by giving the context/use for the software. Maybe something like, "The accuracy of the computed solutions should meet the level needed for <engineering or scientific application>. The level of accuracy achieved by

Software Eng 4G06 shall be described following the procedure given in Section X of the Verification and Validation Plan.” A link to the VnV plan would be a nice extra. —TPLT]

NFR3: **Usability** [Characterize the usability by giving the context/use for the software. You should likely reference the user characteristics section. The level of usability achieved by the software shall be described following the procedure given in Section X of the Verification and Validation Plan. A link to the VnV plan would be a nice extra. —TPLT]

NFR4: **Maintainability** [The effort required to make any of the likely changes listed for Software Eng 4G06 should be less than FRACTION of the original development time. FRACTION is then a symbolic constant that can be defined at the end of the report. —TPLT]

NFR5: **Portability** [This NFR is easier to write than the others. The systems that Software Eng 4G06 should run on should be listed here. When possible the specific versions of the potential operating environments should be given. To make the NFR verifiable a statement could be made that the tests from a given section of the VnV plan can be successfully run on all of the possible operating environments. —TPLT]

- Other NFRs that might be discussed include verifiability, understandability and reusability.

6 Use cases

- View posted workout routine
 1. View other user’s fitness progress
 2. Add Personal Workout List
 3. View workout Author
 4. Review workout
- Browse Workout routines
 1. Filter routines
- View Another User’s Profile
 1. View user’s created routines
- Create User Profile
 1. Setup profile description

- 2. Setup attributes
- Edit User Profile
- Start workout routine
 - 1. Track exercises in-progress
 - 2. Track personal Quantifiers
 - 3. Update current routine
- Create workout routine
 - 1. Post workout routine
 - 2. Categorize routine
 - 3. Add workout length details
 - 4. Add exercise
 - (a) Add Quantifier
 - (b) Add Workout Descriptions
- Edit Routine
- Remove Routine
- View Workout List

6.1 Use case Diagram





7 Traceability Matrices and Graphs

The purpose of the traceability matrices is to provide easy references on what has to be additionally modified if a certain component is changed. Every time a component is changed, the items in the column of that component that are marked with an “X” may have to be modified as well. Table 1 shows the dependencies of theoretical models, general definitions, data definitions, and instance models with each other. Table 2 shows the dependencies

of instance models, requirements, and data constraints on each other. Table 3 shows the dependencies of theoretical models, general definitions, data definitions, instance models, and likely changes on the assumptions.

[You will have to modify these tables for your problem. —TPLT]

[The traceability matrix is not generally symmetric. If GD1 uses A1, that means that GD1’s derivation or presentation requires invocation of A1. A1 does not use GD1. A1 is “used by” GD1. —TPLT]

[The traceability matrix is challenging to maintain manually. Please do your best. In the future tools (like Drasil) will make this much easier. —TPLT]

	T??	T??	T??	GD??	GD??	DD??	DD??	DD??	DD??	IM??	IM??	IM??	IM??
T??													
T??			X										
T??													
GD??													
GD??	X												
DD??				X									
DD??				X									
DD??													
DD??								X					
IM??					X	X	X				X		
IM??					X		X		X	X			
IM??		X											
IM??		X	X				X	X	X		X		

Table 1: Traceability Matrix Showing the Connections Between Items of Different Sections

The purpose of the traceability graphs is also to provide easy references on what has to be additionally modified if a certain component is changed. The arrows in the graphs represent dependencies. The component at the tail of an arrow is depended on by the component at the head of that arrow. Therefore, if a component is changed, the components that it points to should also be changed. Figure ?? shows the dependencies of theoretical models, general definitions, data definitions, instance models, likely changes, and assumptions on each other. Figure ?? shows the dependencies of instance models, requirements, and data constraints on each other.

	IM??	IM??	IM??	IM??	??	R??	R??
IM??		X				X	X
IM??	X			X		X	X
IM??						X	X
IM??		X				X	X
R??							
R??						X	
R??					X		
R2	X	X				X	X
R??	X						
R??		X					
R??			X				
R??				X			
R4			X	X			
R??		X					
R??		X					

Table 2: Traceability Matrix Showing the Connections Between Requirements and Instance Models

	A??	A??	A??	A??	A??	A??	A??	A??	A??	A??	A??	A??	A??	A??	A??	A??	A??	A??	A??
T??	X																		
T??																			
T??																			
GD??		X																	
GD??			X	X	X	X													
DD??							X	X	X										
DD??			X	X						X									
DD??																			
DD??																			
IM??											X	X		X	X	X			X
IM??												X	X			X	X	X	
IM??														X					X
IM??													X					X	
LC??				X															
LC??								X											
LC??									X										
LC??											X								
LC??												X							
LC??															X				

Table 3: Traceability Matrix Showing the Connections Between Assumptions and Other Items

8 Project Issues

8.1 Open Issues

8.2 Off the Shelf Solutions

8.3 New Problems

8.4 Tasks

8.5 Migration to the New Product

8.6 Risks

8.7 Costs

8.8 User Documentation and Training

8.9 Waiting Room

8.10 Ideas for Solutions

9 Reference Material

This section records information for easy reference.

9.1 Abbreviations and Acronyms

symbol	description
A	Assumption
DD	Data Definition
GD	General Definition
GS	Goal Statement
IM	Instance Model
LC	Likely Change
PS	Physical System Description
R	Requirement
SRS	Software Requirements Specification
Software Eng 4G06	[put an expanded version of your program name here (as appropriate) —TPLT]
T	Theoretical Model

[Add any other abbreviations or acronyms that you add —TPLT]

References

[The following is not part of the template, just some things to consider when filing in the template. —TPLT]

[Grammar, flow and L^AT_EX advice:

- For Mac users *.DS_Store should be in .gitignore
- L^AT_EX and formatting rules
 - Variables are italic, everything else not, includes subscripts ([link to document](#))
 - * [Conventions](#)
 - * Watch out for implied multiplication
 - Use BibTeX
 - Use cross-referencing
- Grammar and writing rules
 - Acronyms expanded on first usage (not just in table of acronyms)
 - “In order to” should be “to”

—TPLT]

[Advice on using the template:

- Difference between physical and software constraints
- Properties of a correct solution means *additional* properties, not a restating of the requirements (may be “not applicable” for your problem). If you have a table of output constraints, then these are properties of a correct solution.
- Assumptions have to be invoked somewhere
- “Referenced by” implies that there is an explicit reference
- Think of traceability matrix, list of assumption invocations and list of reference by fields as automatically generatable
- If you say the format of the output (plot, table etc), then your requirement could be more abstract

—TPLT]

Appendix — Reflection

The information in this section will be used to evaluate the team members on the graduate attribute of Lifelong Learning. Please answer the following questions:

1. What knowledge and skills will the team collectively need to acquire to successfully complete this capstone project? Examples of possible knowledge to acquire include domain specific knowledge from the domain of your application, or software engineering knowledge, mechatronics knowledge or computer science knowledge. Skills may be related to technology, or writing, or presentation, or team management, etc. You should look to identify at least one item for each team member.
2. For each of the knowledge areas and skills identified in the previous question, what are at least two approaches to acquiring the knowledge or mastering the skill? Of the identified approaches, which will each team member pursue, and why did they make this choice?