

Problem 1: Determining linear independence

For each of the following collections of vectors, determine if it is linearly independent (think in terms of expressing a vector as a linear combination of others or studying if “ $\sum c_j \mathbf{v}_j = \mathbf{0}$ ” can happen with some nonzero c_j , not by using Gram–Schmidt), and give a basis of their span in each case.

$$(a) \mathbf{u} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{v} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 3 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{w} = \begin{bmatrix} 5 \\ 5 \\ 6 \end{bmatrix}.$$

$$(b) \mathbf{u} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{v} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{w} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ -1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

$$(c) \mathbf{u} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{v} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{w} = \begin{bmatrix} 11 \\ 6 \end{bmatrix}.$$

$$(d) \mathbf{u} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{v} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{w} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Solution:

- (a) They satisfy the linear relation $\mathbf{u} - 2\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{w} = \mathbf{0}$. To find such a relation, note that all of these three vectors are nonzero and are not scalar multiples of each other. Hence, if any one of them were to be a linear combination of the others then all coefficients in the linear combination would have to be nonzero and so each of them would be a linear combination of the others. Thus, we lose nothing by just focusing on trying to write \mathbf{u} in terms of \mathbf{v} and \mathbf{w} (i.e., if any linear dependence relation exists among the three then this approach must succeed). Trying to write $\mathbf{u} = x\mathbf{v} + y\mathbf{w}$ is a system of 3 equations in 2 unknowns, and solving two of those equations gives one solution that is also checked to satisfy the third equation, leading to the expression $2\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{w}$ for \mathbf{u} , hence the linear relation mentioned at the start.

A basis for the span is given by any two of the three vectors \mathbf{u} , \mathbf{v} , and \mathbf{w} since none of them are scalar multiples of another and each can be written as a linear combination of the other two (as can be seen from their linear relation $\mathbf{u} - 2\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{w} = \mathbf{0}$).

- (b) These are linearly independent. If we express the condition $c_1\mathbf{u} + c_2\mathbf{v} + c_3\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{0}$ in terms of scalar equations we

$$\text{obtain } \begin{cases} c_2 + 2c_3 = 0 \\ c_1 - c_3 = 0 \\ c_1 + 5c_2 + c_3 = 0 \end{cases} \quad \text{from where we immediately see } c_3 = c_1 \text{ and } c_2 = -2c_1. \text{ Substituting these into the}$$

last equation gives $c_1 - 10c_1 + c_1 = 0$ so $c_1 = 0$ and hence $c_2 = c_3 = 0$. This confirms linear independence. (Alternatively, since the vectors are all nonzero and none is a scalar multiple of another, if there is any linear dependence relation among the vectors then all coefficients must be nonzero and so we could write each of them as a linear combination of the other two. Hence, it is equivalent to show that the situation $\mathbf{u} = x\mathbf{v} + y\mathbf{w}$ is impossible: this is a system of 3 equations in x and y , and one can check that it has no simultaneous solution.)

Since these vectors are linearly independent, they are a basis of their span.

- (c) This is three vectors in \mathbf{R}^2 , so they cannot be linearly independent since $\dim \mathbf{R}^2 = 2$. But the first two vectors are nonzero and neither is a scalar multiple of the other, so their span is 2-dimensional. Being inside \mathbf{R}^2 , they span \mathbf{R}^2 and so are a basis of that span. In particular, the third vector must be a linear combination of the first two. (The specific linear combination is found by solving the pair of equations $11 = a + 3b$ and $6 = -2a + b$, which is $(a, b) = (-1, 4)$.)

In fact, any two of the three vectors \mathbf{u} , \mathbf{v} , and \mathbf{w} will form a basis for the span (which is \mathbf{R}^2) since all are nonzero and none of them is a scalar multiple of any of the others.

(d) These are linearly independent. If we express $c_1\mathbf{u} + c_2\mathbf{v} + c_3\mathbf{w} + c_4\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ in terms of 4 scalar equations via each

$$\text{vector entry, we get } \begin{cases} c_1 + c_2 + c_3 = 0 \\ c_1 + c_2 + c_4 = 0 \\ c_1 + c_3 + c_4 = 0 \\ c_2 + c_3 + c_4 = 0 \end{cases}$$

Comparing the equations pairwise, we conclude that $c_1 = c_2 = c_3 = c_4$ (e.g., the difference of the first and third gives $c_2 = c_4$, the difference of the first and second gives $c_3 = c_4$, and the difference of the third and fourth gives $c_1 = c_2$, so all c_i 's are equal to each other). Now substituting this into any of the equations gives that all c_i 's vanish.

Consequently, these four vectors form a basis for their span, which must be \mathbf{R}^4 for dimension reasons (\mathbf{R}^n has only itself as an n -dimensional subspace).

Problem 2: Computing the Gram–Schmidt process

Run the Gram–Schmidt process on the following collection of vectors, and obtain an orthogonal basis for its span.

$$\mathbf{v}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{v}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -4 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{v}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{v}_4 = \begin{bmatrix} -4 \\ 5 \\ -2 \\ -3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Using the outcome of your calculations, also compute the dimension of the span and if you encounter any \mathbf{w}_i equal to $\mathbf{0}$ then use this to produce a linear dependence relation among the \mathbf{v}_j 's (in which case, and as a safety check, confirm such a linear dependence relation by direct computation once you have found one).

As a safety check on your work, make sure at each step that each \mathbf{w}_i is orthogonal to the previous \mathbf{w}_j 's. (The \mathbf{v}_i 's have been designed so that you only have to work with integers throughout, and in particular the \mathbf{w}_i 's have integer entries. If you find yourself at any step grappling with things like $-5/3$ or $11/4$ and so on, you have made a mistake.)

Solution: We start with

$$\mathbf{w}_1 = \mathbf{v}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The second vector is given by

$$\mathbf{w}_2 = \mathbf{v}_2 - \text{Proj}_{\mathbf{w}_1} \mathbf{v}_2 = \mathbf{v}_2 - \frac{\mathbf{v}_2 \cdot \mathbf{w}_1}{\mathbf{w}_1 \cdot \mathbf{w}_1} \mathbf{w}_1 = \mathbf{v}_2 - \frac{-6}{6} \mathbf{w}_1 = \mathbf{v}_2 + \mathbf{w}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -3 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

which (as a safety check) is directly checked to be orthogonal to \mathbf{w}_1 . The third vector is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{w}_3 &= \mathbf{v}_3 - \text{Proj}_{\mathbf{w}_1} \mathbf{v}_3 - \text{Proj}_{\mathbf{w}_2} \mathbf{v}_3 = \mathbf{v}_3 - \frac{\mathbf{v}_3 \cdot \mathbf{w}_1}{\mathbf{w}_1 \cdot \mathbf{w}_1} \mathbf{w}_1 - \frac{\mathbf{v}_3 \cdot \mathbf{w}_2}{\mathbf{w}_2 \cdot \mathbf{w}_2} \mathbf{w}_2 \\ &= \mathbf{v}_3 - \frac{6}{6} \mathbf{w}_1 - \frac{12}{12} \mathbf{w}_2 \\ &= \mathbf{v}_3 - \mathbf{w}_1 - \mathbf{w}_2 \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} -3 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

This means that \mathbf{v}_3 can be written as a linear combination of \mathbf{v}_1 and \mathbf{v}_2 . In fact, the vanishing of \mathbf{w}_3 gives that $\mathbf{v}_3 = \mathbf{w}_1 + \mathbf{w}_2 = \mathbf{v}_1 + (\mathbf{v}_2 + \mathbf{w}_1) = \mathbf{v}_1 + (\mathbf{v}_2 + \mathbf{v}_1) = 2\mathbf{v}_1 + \mathbf{v}_2$ (as can also be confirmed directly once we have discovered it).

For the last step, we disregard the vanishing \mathbf{w}_3 and compute

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{w}_4 &= \mathbf{v}_4 - \text{Proj}_{\mathbf{w}_1} \mathbf{v}_4 - \text{Proj}_{\mathbf{w}_2} \mathbf{v}_4 = \mathbf{v}_4 - \frac{\mathbf{v}_4 \cdot \mathbf{w}_1}{\mathbf{w}_1 \cdot \mathbf{w}_1} \mathbf{w}_1 - \frac{\mathbf{v}_4 \cdot \mathbf{w}_2}{\mathbf{w}_2 \cdot \mathbf{w}_2} \mathbf{w}_2 = \mathbf{v}_4 - \frac{-12}{6} \mathbf{w}_1 - \frac{12}{12} \mathbf{w}_2 \\ &= \mathbf{v}_4 + 2\mathbf{w}_1 - \mathbf{w}_2 \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} -4 \\ 5 \\ -2 \\ -3 \end{bmatrix} + 2 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} -3 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 4 \\ -1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.\end{aligned}$$

This can be directly checked to be orthogonal to \mathbf{w}_1 and \mathbf{w}_2 (as a safety check).

So the nonzero $\mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2$, and \mathbf{w}_4 are an orthogonal basis for the span of $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v}_3$, and \mathbf{v}_4 , and hence this span is therefore 3-dimensional.

Problem 3: Determining independence with vector algebra (Extra)

If $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}$ in \mathbf{R}^{1000} are linearly independent, show that $\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}, 2\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{w}, -\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{w}$ are linearly independent. (Hint: don't think in terms of vector entries! Think in terms of the formulation of linear independence as: " $\sum c_i \mathbf{v}_i = \mathbf{0}$ implies all c_i vanish".)

Solution: Assuming $c_1(\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}) + c_2(2\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{w}) + c_3(-\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{w}) = \mathbf{0}$, we want to show that the c_i 's all vanish. Let's collect common terms to rewrite this as

$$(c_1 + 2c_2 - c_3)\mathbf{u} + (c_1 + c_2 + c_3)\mathbf{v} + (c_2 + c_3)\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{0}.$$

But $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}$ are linearly independent, so such vanishing is equivalent to the vanishing of all three coefficients. In other words, this amounts to the system of three simultaneous equations

$$c_1 + 2c_2 - c_3 = 0, \quad c_1 + c_2 + c_3 = 0, \quad c_2 + c_3 = 0.$$

The last equation says $c_3 = -c_2$, so substituting this into each of the first two equations turns those into the conditions

$$c_1 + 2c_2 + c_2 = 0, \quad c_1 + c_2 - c_2 = 0,$$

or equivalently

$$c_1 + 3c_2 = 0, \quad c_1 = 0.$$

The second of these says $c_1 = 0$, so then the first of these says $c_2 = 0$, so also $c_3 = -c_2 = 0$. Hence, all c_i 's must vanish, which establishes the desired linear independence.

(This particular example was designed so that one could attack the system of 3 equations in 3 unknowns by bare hands. For a more complicated situation this wouldn't have been feasible. We will see soon how to systematically attack the problem of solving large linear systems by using results in matrix algebra.)