

## Model Optimization and Tuning Phase Template

Date	15 July 2024
Team ID	739886
Project Title	Telecom Customer Churn Prediction
Maximum Marks	10 Marks

### Model Optimization and Tuning Phase

The Model Optimization and Tuning Phase involves refining machine learning models for peak performance. It includes optimized model code, fine-tuning hyperparameters, comparing performance metrics, and justifying the final model selection for enhanced predictive accuracy and efficiency.

#### Hyperparameter Tuning Documentation (6 Marks):

Model	Tuned Hyperparameters	Optimal Values
-	-	-

#### Performance Metrics Comparison Report (2 Marks):

Model	Optimized Metric

## SVM

```
[54]: print(classification_report(svm_pred,y_test))
```

	precision	recall	f1-score	support
0	1.00	0.80	0.89	2000
1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0
accuracy			0.80	2000
macro avg	0.50	0.40	0.44	2000
weighted avg	1.00	0.80	0.89	2000

```
[55]: confusion_matrix(svm_pred,y_test)
```

```
[55]: array([[1595, 405],
          [  0,   0]], dtype=int64)
```

## Logistic Regression

```
[57]: print(classification_report(model.predict(x_test),y_test))
```

	precision	recall	f1-score	support
0	0.97	0.82	0.89	1875
1	0.18	0.58	0.27	125
accuracy			0.81	2000
macro avg	0.57	0.70	0.58	2000
weighted avg	0.92	0.81	0.85	2000

```
[58]: confusion_matrix(model.predict(x_test),y_test)
```

```
[58]: array([[1542, 333],
          [  53,  72]], dtype=int64)
```

```
[59]:
```

## Decision Tree

```
[61]: print(classification_report(pred,y_test))
```

	precision	recall	f1-score	support
0	0.85	0.87	0.86	1562
1	0.51	0.47	0.49	438
accuracy			0.78	2000
macro avg	0.68	0.67	0.67	2000
weighted avg	0.78	0.78	0.78	2000

```
[62]: confusion_matrix(pred,y_test)
```

```
[62]: array([[1362, 200],
          [ 233, 205]], dtype=int64)
```

## Random Forest

```
[66]:
rfc_con=confusion_matrix(pred,y_test)

rfc_con

[66]:
array([[1528, 205],
       [ 67, 200]], dtype=int64)

[67]:
print(classification_report(pred,y_test))
```

	precision	recall	f1-score	support
0	0.96	0.88	0.92	1733
1	0.49	0.75	0.60	267
accuracy			0.86	2000
macro avg	0.73	0.82	0.76	2000
weighted avg	0.90	0.86	0.88	2000

## KNeighbors Classifier

```
[76]:
print(classification_report(knn.predict(x_test),y_test))
```

	precision	recall	f1-score	support
0	0.94	0.87	0.90	1728
1	0.43	0.64	0.51	272
accuracy			0.83	2000
macro avg	0.68	0.75	0.71	2000
weighted avg	0.87	0.83	0.85	2000

```
[77]:
knn_con=confusion_matrix(knn.predict(x_test),y_test)
knn_con

[77]:
array([[1496, 232],
       [ 99, 173]], dtype=int64)
```

## Naïve bayes

```
[79]:
print(classification_report(gnb.predict(x_test),y_test))
```

	precision	recall	f1-score	support
0	0.97	0.84	0.90	1846
1	0.26	0.69	0.38	154
accuracy			0.83	2000
macro avg	0.62	0.77	0.64	2000
weighted avg	0.92	0.83	0.86	2000

```
[80]:
nb_con=confusion_matrix(gnb.predict(x_test),y_test)
nb_con

[80]:
array([[1548, 298],
       [ 47, 107]], dtype=int64)

- -
```

**Final Model Selection Justification (2 Marks):**

Final Model	Reasoning
Random Forest Classifier	Random Forest is favored for telecom churn prediction due to its high accuracy with complex, feature-rich datasets. It excels in capturing non-linear relationships and interactions while mitigating overfitting through ensemble learning. Feature importance ranking aids in identifying key predictors, and its robustness against data imbalance makes it ideal for detecting churn patterns in telecom customer data.