

Programming Languages

CSCI-GA.2110-003

Fall 2023

Binding, scopes, and control structures

Names

What can we name?

- mutable variables
- values
- functions
- types
- type constructors (e.g., list or vector)
- classes
- modules/packages
- execution points (labels)
- execution points with environment (continuation)

Binding times

A *binding* is an association of two things. The first is usually a name.

Binding time is the time at which the association is made.

Binding times:

- Language design time: semantics of most language constructs
- Language implementation time: implementation dependent semantics
- Compile time
- Link time
- Run time

Static means before run time, *dynamic* means during run time.

Binding in C++

```
1 class Base {
2     public:
3     virtual void value() { cout << "base_class"; return; }
4 };
5 class Child : public Base {
6     public:
7     virtual void value() { cout << "child_class"; return; }
8 };
9
10 int main() {
11     Base x;
12     Child y;
13     x=y;
14     x.value();    // static binding
15     Base *xp = new Child();
16     Base &xr = y;
17     xp->value();  // runtime binding
18     xr.value();   // runtime binding
19     return 0;
20 }
```

Scope and lifetime

Scope: the region of program text where a binding is active.

Lifetime: the period of time between the creation of an entity and its destruction.

Note that these talk about two different things. Scope is a *place* (or many places), whereas lifetime is a *time span*.

Lifetimes

For objects residing in memory, there are typically three areas of storage, corresponding to different lifetimes:

- **static** objects: lifetime of entire program execution
 - ◆ globals, **static** variables
- **stack** objects: from the time the function or block is entered until the time it is exited
 - ◆ local variables
- **heap** objects: arbitrary lifetimes, not corresponding to the entrance or exit of a function or block
 - ◆ dynamically allocated objects, e.g., with **new**

Scopes

Two major scoping disciplines:

- **static:** binding of a name is given by its declaration in the innermost enclosing block
 - ◆ Most languages use some variant of this
 - ◆ *Closest nested scope* rule usually applies.
- **dynamic:** binding of a name is given by the most recent declaration encountered at runtime
 - ◆ Used in Lisp, Snobol, APL

Scoping example

```
var x = 1;
```

```
function f () { print x; }
```

```
function g () { var x = 10; f(); }
```

```
function h () { var x = 100; f(); }
```

```
f(); g(); h();
```

Scoping	Output
Static	1 1 1
Dynamic	1 10 100

Closest nested scope & hiding

```
program A;  
  var I:integer;  
      K:char;  
  
  procedure B;  
    var K:real;  
        L:integer;  
  
    procedure C;  
      var M:real;  
      begin  
        (*scope A+B+C*)  
      end;  
  
      (*scope A+B*)  
    end;  
  
    (*scope A*)  
  end.  
end.
```

Static scoping variations

What is the scope of `x`?

```
{  
    statements1;  
    var x = 5;  
    statements2;  
}
```

- C++, Ada: `statements2`
- Legacy C, Pascal: `statements2` (but `statements1` not allowed)
- Javascript: entire block

Memory Allocation

- Static: allocated once at compile time (usually in protected memory.)
Usually include:
 - ◆ Strings, constants, static variables.
- Stacks: allocated in *frames* on a first-in last-out basis. Frames usually store:
 - ◆ Actual parameters
 - ◆ Temporaries
 - ◆ Local variables
 - ◆ Bookkeeping information
 - ◆ Return address
- Heap: allocated from main memory according to an allocation policy.
 - ◆ First-fit
 - ◆ Best-fit

Overloading

Overloading is a form of ad-hoc polymorphism whereby methods and operators can have several meanings depending on context.

- Functions: normally distinguished by the function signature.
- Custom memory allocation (C++: `new` and `placement-new`)
- Operators
 - ◆ Some languages can define new operators (ALGOL 68, Fortran, F#, Smalltalk)
 - ◆ And others can't. (ML, Prolog)
 - ◆ Some languages will overload only a limited set (C++, Pascal, C#)
 - ◆ And others don't support overloading at all. (C, Java, JavaScript, BASIC)

Do not confuse with a similar but distinct concept of *coercion*.

Control Structures

A *control structure* is any mechanism that departs from the default of straight-line execution.

- selection
 - ◆ if statements
 - ◆ case statements
- iteration
 - ◆ while loops (unbounded)
 - ◆ for loops
 - ◆ iteration over collections
- other
 - ◆ goto
 - ◆ call/return
 - ◆ exceptions
 - ◆ continuations

The Infamous GoTo

- In machine language, there are no if statements or loops.
- We only have branches, which can be either unconditional or conditional (on a very simple condition).
- With this, we can implement loops, if statements, and case statements. In fact, we only need
 1. increment
 2. decrement
 3. branch on zeroto build a universal machine (one that is Turing complete).
- We don't do this in high-level languages because unstructured use of the goto can lead to confusing programs. See “Go To Statement Considered Harmful” by Edgar Dijkstra.

Selection

- `if Condition then Statement` – Pascal, Ada
- `if (Condition) Statement` – C/C++, Java
- To avoid ambiguities, use end marker: `end if`, “`}`”
- To deal with multiple alternatives, use keyword or bracketing:

```
if Condition then
    Statements
elsif Condition then
    Statements
else
    Statements
end if;
```

Nesting

```
if Condition1 then
    if Condition2 then
        Statements1
    end if;
else
    Statements2
end if;
```


Statement Grouping

- Pascal introduces begin-end pair to mark sequence
- C/C++/Java abbreviate keywords to { }
- Ada dispenses with brackets for sequences; keywords for the enclosing control structure are sufficient
for J in 1..N loop ... end loop
 - ◆ More writing but more readable
- Another possibility – make indentation significant (e.g., ABC, Python, Haskell). Python example:

```
def fib(n):  
    print 'n_=', n  
    if n > 1:  
        return n * fib(n - 1)  
    else:  
        print 'end_of_the_line'  
        return 1
```

Short-circuit evaluation

```
if x/y > 5 then z := ... -- what if y = 0?  
if y /= 0 and x/y > 5 then z := ...
```

But binary operators normally evaluate both arguments.

Solutions:

- a lazy evaluation rule for logical operators (Lisp, C)

```
C1 && C2      // don't evaluate C2 if C1 is false  
C1 || C2      // don't evaluate C2 if C1 is true
```

- a control structure with a different syntax (Ada)

```
                                -- don't evaluate C2  
if C1 and then C2 then        -- if C1 is false  
if C1 or else C2 then         -- if C1 is true
```

Multiway selection

Case statement needed when there are many possibilities “at the same logical level” (i.e., depending on the same condition)

```
case Next_Char is
  when 'I'      => Val := 1;
  when 'V'      => Val := 5;
  when 'X'      => Val := 10;
  when 'C'      => Val := 100;
  when 'D'      => Val := 500;
  when 'M'      => Val := 1000;
  when others => raise Illegal_Numeral;
end case;
```

Can be simulated by sequence of if-statements, but logic is obscured.

The Ada case statement

- no flow-through (unlike C/C++)
- all possible choices must be covered
 - ◆ if all choices not covered explicitly, default action is mandatory
- no inaccessible branches:
 - ◆ no duplicate choices (C/C++, Ada, Java)
- choices must be static (Ada, C/C++, Java, ML)
- in many languages, type of expression must be discrete (e.g., no floating point, no string)

Implementation of case

A possible implementation for C/C++/Java/Ada style case:

(If we have a finite set of possibilities, and the choices are computable at compile-time.)

- build table of case handlers, one entry for each case
- transform input value to table index
- branch to that address
- execute
- branch to end of case statement (if `break` keyword used)

This is not the typical implementation for a ML/Haskell style case.

Complications

```
case (n+1) is
  when integer'first..0    ⇒ Put_Line("negative");
  when 1                   ⇒ Put_Line("unit");
  when 3 | 5 | 7 | 11       ⇒ Put_Line("small_prime");
  when 2 | 4 | 6 | 8 | 10   ⇒ Put_Line("small_even");
  when 21                   ⇒ Put_Line("house_wins");
  when 12..20 | 22..99     ⇒ Put_Line("manageable");
  when others               ⇒ Put_Line("irrelevant");
end case;
```

Implementation would be a combination of tables and if statements.

Consider: Serial Copy

```
void send (int *to, int *from, int count) {  
  
    do {                                /* precondition: count > 0 */  
        *to++ = *from++;  
    } while (--count > 0);  
  
}
```

This is called *serial copy*. It requires a test and branch after each copy.

Is there a more efficient way of doing this?

Unstructured Flow (Duff's device)

```
void send (int *to, int *from, int count) {  
    register n = (count + 7) / 8;  
    switch (count % 8) {  
        case 0: do { *to++ = *from++;  
        case 7:      *to++ = *from++;  
        case 6:      *to++ = *from++;  
        case 5:      *to++ = *from++;  
        case 4:      *to++ = *from++;  
        case 3:      *to++ = *from++;  
        case 2:      *to++ = *from++;  
        case 1:      *to++ = *from++;  
                    } while (--n > 0);  
    }  
}
```


Duff's Demystified (How Case Statements Actually Work in C)

```
if(x==0) goto label_case1;  
if(x==1) goto label_case2;  
if(x==2) goto label_case3;  
if(x==3) goto label_case4;  
goto label_finish;
```

```
label_case1:  do-something();  
              goto label_finish; /* break */  
label_case2:  do-something();  
              goto label_finish; /* break */  
label_case3:  do-something();  
              goto label_finish; /* break */  
label_case4:  do-something();  
  
label_finish:
```

Indefinite loops

- All loops can be expressed as while-loops
 - ◆ good for invariant/assertion reasoning
- condition evaluated at each iteration
- if condition initially false, loop is never executed

```
while condition loop ... end loop;
```

is equivalent to

```
if condition then
    while condition loop ... end loop;
end if;
```

if condition has no side-effects

Executing while at least once

Sometimes we want to check condition at end instead of at beginning; this will guarantee loop is executed at least once.

- `repeat ... until condition;` (Pascal)
- `do { ... } while (condition);` (C)

can be simulated by `while` + a boolean variable:

```
first := True;  
while (first or else condition) loop  
    ...  
    first := False;  
end loop;
```

Breaking out

A more common need is to be able to break out of the loop in the middle of an iteration.

- `break` (C/C++, Java)
- `last` (Perl)
- `exit` (Ada)

```
loop
  ... part A ...
  exit when condition;
  ... part B ...
end loop;
```

Breaking way out

Sometimes, we want to break out of several levels of a nested loop

- give names to loops (Ada, Perl)
- use a goto (C/C++)

```
Outer: while C1 loop ...  
    Inner: while C2 loop ...  
        Innermost: while C3 loop ...  
            exit Outer when Major_Failure;  
            exit Inner when Small_Annoyance;  
            ...  
        end loop Innermost;  
    end loop Inner;  
end loop Outer;
```

Definite Loops

Counting loops are iterators over discrete domains:

- `for J in 1..10 loop ... end loop;`
- `for (int i = 0; i < n; i++) { ... }`

Design issues:

- evaluation of bounds
- scope of loop variable
- empty loops
- increments other than 1
- backwards iteration
- non-numeric domains

Evaluation of bounds

```
for J in 1..N loop
    ...
    N := N + 1;
end loop;      -- terminates?
```

Yes – in Ada, bounds are evaluated once before iteration starts.

Note: the above loop uses abominable style.

C/C++/Java loop has hybrid semantics:

```
for (int j = 0; j < last; j++) {
    ...
    last++;      -- terminates?
}
```

No – the condition “ $j < \text{last}$ ” is evaluated at the end of each iteration.

The loop variable

- is it mutable?
- what is its scope? (i.e., local to loop?)

Constant and local is a better choice:

- *constant*: disallows changes to the variable, which can affect the loop execution and be confusing
- *local*: don't need to worry about value of variable after loop exits

```
Count: integer := 17;  
...  
for Count in 1..10 loop  
    ...  
end loop;  
... -- Count is still 17
```


Different increments

Algol60:

```
for j from exp1 to exp2 by exp3 do ...
```

- too rich for most cases; typically, `exp3` is `+1` or `-1`.
- what are semantics if `exp1 > exp2` and `exp3 < 0`?

C/C++:

```
for (int j = exp1; j <= exp2; j += exp3) ...
```

Ada:

```
for J in 1..N loop ...  
for J in reverse 1..N loop ...
```

Everything else can be programmed with a while loop

Non-numeric domains

Ada form generalizes to discrete types:

```
for M in months loop ... end loop;
```

Basic pattern on other data types:

- define primitive operations: `first`, `next`, `more_elements`
- implement for loop as:

```
iterator = Collection.Iterate();  
  
for (element thing = iterator.first;  
    iterator.more_elements();  
    thing = iterator.next()) {  
    ...  
}
```