#### IT 427, Design and Analysis of Algorithms

### Programming Assignment 4: Minimum Arborescence

**Due date:** Oct. 23, 2023, Monday, 11:55 PM 80 points (50 on programs, 30 on report)

For this assignment, you are asked to implement Edmond's algorithm to find an arborescence of a directed weighted graph with respect to a designated vertex as the root.

Program requirement: The name of the program should be Edmond. java. Code all needed classes and methods in one program file, i.e., do not create any external class for this project. I will compile and run your program on our Linux server as follows, where dwg1.txt is the input file. If your program fails to compile, you will get 0 point. I may test your program on a different graph file.

```
javac Edmond.java
java Edmond dwg1.txt
```

Input: The format of the input files are similar to the input file for the previous assignment except the graphs are **directed**. There are 7 test files in the public directory. I will run your program on all of them for testing. See the following example (dwg1.txt) where a directed edges from vertex x to vertex y with weight w is represented by (x, y, w). Also, note that the edges are not symmetric. e,g.  $(0, 1, 0.79261) \in E$  but there is no edge from 1 to 0.

10 directed weighted graphs; all are reachable from vertex 0.

```
** G1: |V| = 5
(u, v, w) E = {
     (0, 1, 0.79261)
     (0, 2, 0.48497)
     (0, 4, 0.10921)
     (1, 2, 0.63677)
     (1, 3, 0.72796)
     (1, 4, 0.06738)
     (2, 1, 0.50480)
     (3, 2, 0.01000)
     (3, 4, 0.12665)
     (4, 1, 0.00789)
     (4, 2, 0.43075)
     (4, 3, 0.90364)
  ______
** G2: |V| = 5
(u, v, w) E = {
     (0, 1, 0.62333)
     (0, 2, 0.16064)
     . . . . . .
     . . . . . .
```

**Output:** The program should list the minimum arborescence rooted at vertex 0 for every graphs in the input file according to the ascending order of x (leaving vertices), and if x are the same, use y (ending vertices) as the secondary key. See the following sample output, which are the minimum arborescent trees of  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  in dwg1.txt, respectively.

```
Minimum Arborescences in dwg1.txt
    | | | | | =5 ------
  Arborescence --
         (0, 4, 0.10921)
     2: (1, 3, 0.72796)
     3: (3, 2, 0.01000)
     4: (4, 1, 0.00789)
  Total weight: 0.85506 (0 ms)
   Arborescence --
         (0, 2, 0.16064)
     1:
     2: (2, 1, 0.19761)
     3: (2, 4, 0.21258)
     4: (4, 3, 0.27132)
  Total weight: 0.84215 (0 ms)
```

## By Chung-Chih Li

. . . . . .

One edge per line started by n: where n is simply the counter to count how many edges have been listed. An arborescence always has |V|-1 edges. After each arborescence is listed, the total weight of the arborescence should be printed as shown. (0 ms) is optional, which is the milliseconds used to find the arborescence. At the end of the output, print your name. Below is another sample output, the minimum arborescence of  $G_1$  in HW5-2023F.txt, which is the solution for homework 5.

Minimum Arborescences in HW5-2023F.txt

Submission: As in the previous programming assignment, prepare your programs on our Linux Server.

- Make a directory asg4 under your IT427, i.e., ~/IT427/asg4/. All needed files for this assignment should be saved under your ~/IT427/asg4 before run submit427.sh.
- Check the contents of my /home/ad.ilstu.edu/cli2/Public/IT427/asg4 and copy all files to your own ~/IT427/asg4.

### Two parts of submission: Programs (50 points) and Reports (30 points)

Submission details are same as the previous assignment. Run the submission script with the submission number changed to 4, but you can use the same secret name as follow:

bash /home/ad.ilstu.edu/cli2/Public/IT427/submit427.sh peekapoo 4

Note that, since I will keep updating submit427.sh for different assignment, you have to run the script from my /home/ad.ilstu.edu/cli2/Public/IT427/ directly for the most recent updated version, i.e., don't copy it to your own directory. Also, once you run submit427.sh, don't make any change on the target directory, including compile and run your programs. That will mess up the permission. You will lose significant points if you fail to follow the instructions.

# ★ Any plagiarism will receive 0 and be reported to the school ★

- 1. Programs: 50 points. Submission on Linux server.
  - The score is based on the correctness and the programming style, which includes efficiency, appropriateness of data structures, and documentation of your programs. At the beginning of every program file, put a section of comments including (1) your full name, (2) student ID, (3) a pledge of honesty that you do not copy/modify from other's codes and (4) a declaration of your copyright that no one else should copy/modify the codes. You will receive:
  - (a) 50 points: No error with a good programming style.
  - (b)  $40 \sim 49$  points: Minor error and fair programming style.
  - (c)  $30 \sim 39$  points: Some error and not so good but acceptable programming style.
  - (d)  $20 \sim 29$  points: Too many error and bad programming style, but meaningful.
  - (e)  $10 \sim 19$  points: Compilable but not working and the program must show reasonable trying.
  - (f) 0 points: Fail to meet any of aforementioned qualities or plagiarism involved.
- 2. Report: 30 points. Submission through Canvas.
  - You have to write up a report and prepare it in pdf format. You don't have to put program output on the report as I will run and exam your program directly on some different input files.
  - (a) 5 points on the cover page, and
  - (b) 25 points on algorithm descriptions, summary of the methods, data structures, and efficiency analysis on time and space in details in terms of big-O notations. If there is any difficulties encountered in this assignment, you can report it.

Regarding the complexity analysis, you should not simply copy the textbook analysis to your report, because it is not related to your program and the efficiency of your implementation may not meet the theoretical results on the textbook. Thus, you have to point out which

part of your program that causes the main time/space complexity bounded by the big-O function in your analysis. For example, if your time complexity is  $O(|V| \times |E|)$ , clearly indicate where the loops or recursions involving V and E occur and provide an explanation for why multiplication is used instead of addition in the analysis.

Moreover, every operation has a cost. Unless it is trivial like a simple assignment, which is simply O(1), you have to justify the complexity of such operation. For example, what is the complexity of "finding the minimum weights from a set of edges"? The answer being O(1) or O(n) makes a very different result in this assignment.

If your analysis is not clearly related to your program and provides sufficient justification, your report score will not be higher than 18/30.

Due date: Oct. 23, 2023, Monday, 11:55 PM (c) Chung-Chih Li P-4/4