

Database Management System: Assignment 4

Total Marks : 20

February 7, 2024

Question 1

Marks: 2 MCQ

Consider the relation $R(X, Y, Z, V, W)$ which satisfies the following functional dependencies:

$XY \rightarrow Z$

$YZ \rightarrow V$

$ZV \rightarrow W$

$VW \rightarrow X$

$XW \rightarrow Y$

Which of the following functional dependencies are also guaranteed to be satisfied by relation R ?

a) $XZ \rightarrow V$

b) $YZV \rightarrow X$

c) $Z \rightarrow W$

d) $X \rightarrow Z$

Answer: b)

Explanation: In option (a) closure of $XZ = XZ$, does not contain V .

In option (c) closure of $Z = Z$ does not contain W .

In option (d) closure of $X = X$ does not contain Z .

In option (b) closure of $YZV = XYZVW$ contains X , therefore the option is correct.

Refer Module 17 slide 21

Question 2

Marks: 2 MSQ

Consider the relation **Student**(Reg_No, Name, Address, Phone, Class_ID) and the set of following functional dependencies:

- FD1: Reg_No \rightarrow Name
- FD2: Address, Phone \rightarrow Class_ID
- FD3: Name \rightarrow Phone
- FD4: Class_ID \rightarrow Reg_No, Address

Which of the following are possible sets of candidate key(s) of **Student**?

- a) Reg_No
- b) Address, Phone
- c) Name
- d) Class_ID

Answer: b), d)

Explanation: From the given set of functional dependencies, we can see that $(\text{Reg_No})^+ = \{\text{Reg_No}, \text{Name}, \text{Phone}\}$. Thus, Reg_No is not a candidate key. According to FD2 and FD4, $\{\text{Address}, \text{Phone}\}$ and Class_ID, are the candidate keys.

Hence, options b) and d) are correct.

Question 3

Marks: 2 MSQ

Consider the following relational table R :

R				
A	B	C	D	E
$\alpha 1$	$\beta 1$	$\gamma 1$	$\delta 1$	$\eta 1$
$\alpha 2$	$\beta 2$	$\gamma 1$	$\delta 2$	$\eta 2$

If, relation R is decomposed into $R_1 = (A, B, C)$ and $R_2 = (C, D, E)$. Choose the correct statement/s based on the above relations.

a) The decomposition does not preserve dependencies

b) $\Pi_{R_1}(R) \bowtie \Pi_{R_2}(R)$ will be:

A	B	C	D	E
$\alpha 1$	$\beta 1$	$\gamma 1$	$\delta 1$	$\eta 1$
$\alpha 2$	$\beta 2$	$\gamma 1$	$\delta 2$	$\eta 2$

c) $\Pi_{R_1}(R) \bowtie \Pi_{R_2}(R) \neq R$

d) $AB \rightarrow E$ holds in the table $\Pi_{R_1}(R) \bowtie \Pi_{R_2}(R)$

Answer: a), c)

Explanation: Let us start with computing the join:

$\Pi_{R_1}(R) \bowtie \Pi_{R_2}(R) =$

A	B	C	D	E
$\alpha 1$	$\beta 1$	$\gamma 1$	$\delta 1$	$\eta 1$
$\alpha 1$	$\beta 1$	$\gamma 1$	$\delta 2$	$\eta 2$
$\alpha 2$	$\beta 2$	$\gamma 1$	$\delta 1$	$\eta 1$
$\alpha 2$	$\beta 2$	$\gamma 1$	$\delta 2$	$\eta 2$

Clearly, (b) is incorrect.

Also, $\Pi_{R_1}(R) \bowtie \Pi_{R_2}(R) \neq R$ (the decomposition is lossy). So, (c) is correct.

From the above table, we can see that AB does not uniquely identify E (first two records). So, (d) is incorrect.

However, $AB \rightarrow E$ trivially holds in R . Hence, (a) is correct.

Question 4

Marks: 2 MCQ

The following relation guarantees which highest normal form?

<u>sid</u>	sname	<u>course</u>	teacher
S1	RAM	JAVA	AR
S2	MADHAB	DBMS	PPD
S1	RAM	DBMS	PB
S2	MADHAB	JAVA	SM

- a) 1NF
- b) 2NF
- c) BCNF
- d) 3NF

Answer: a)

Explanation: The relation contains atomic values so it is in 1NF. The primary key of the relation is (sid, course). But sid can uniquely determine sname. So, partial dependency exists. Hence, the relation is not in 2NF and not also in other higher normalization forms.

Question 5

Marks: 2 MSQ

In a relation MountainTreking(Altitude, MName, Location, MType, TrekkerAge, Climate, TrekkerExp), Altitude identifies MName and Location. Also, MName, MType, TrekkerAge and Climate combined determines the TrekkerExp, MType. TrekkerAge of the MountainTreking are dependent on Altitude and Climate together. Which of the following are the non-prime attributes of Mountain?

- a) Altitude
- b) TrekkerAge
- c) Climate
- d) TrekkerExp

Answer: b), d)

Explanation: As per the given set of Functional Dependencies,

Altitude \rightarrow MName, Location

MName, MType, TrekkerAge, Climate \rightarrow TrekkerExp, MType

Altitude, Climate \rightarrow TrekkerAge

Primary key is { Altitude,MType,Climate}

Hence, options (b) and (d) are correct.

Question 6

Marks: 2 MCQ

Consider the following instance of the relation `MonthlyExpense`(`Budget`, `Month`, `Expense`, `Salary`)

MonthlyExpense			
Budget	Month	Expense	Salary
10000	Jan	15000	50000
10000	Feb	15000	50000
10000	Jul	15000	50000
20000	Feb	15000	50000
30000	Feb	10000	100000
10000	Feb	10000	100000

Which of the following Functional Dependencies hold for `MonthlyExpense`?

- a) $\{\text{Budget}, \text{Month}\} \rightarrow \text{Expense}$
- b) $\{\text{Expense}, \text{Month}\} \rightarrow \text{Budget}$
- c) $\text{Budget} \rightarrow \text{Salary}$
- d) $\text{Expense} \rightarrow \text{Salary}$

Answer: d)

Explanation: Among the given options, only **Expense** (determinant) has unique values corresponding to each unique value of **Salary** (dependent). Hence, option (d) is correct.

Question 7

Marks: 2 MCQ

Consider the relational schema `Flight(FNo, SeatNo, Window, Pilot, Duration)` with the following functional dependencies:

$FNo \rightarrow \{Pilot, SeatNo\}$

$Duration \rightarrow \{FNo, Window\}$

Which of the following decomposition of `Flight` is lossless?

- a) `F1(FNo, Duration)`, `F2(SeatNo, Window, Pilot, Duration)`
- b) `F1(FNo, SeatNo)`, `F2(Window, Pilot, Duration)`
- c) `F1(FNo, Window)`, `F2(SeatNo, Pilot, Duration)`
- d) `F1(FNo, Pilot)`, `F2(SeatNo, Window, Pilot, Duration)`

Answer: a)

Explanation: The primary key of `Flight` is `Duration`. For option (a),

$Attribute(F1) \cup Attribute(F2) = Attribute(Flight)$

$Attribute(F1) \cap Attribute(F2) \neq \phi$

$Attribute(F1) \cap Attribute(F2) = Duration$

And $Duration \rightarrow FNo$

Hence, option (a) is correct.

Question 8

Marks: 2 MCQ

Consider the relation HousePlan(Room, Area, Location, Floor) with the following Functional Dependency set

F={
FD1: Room \rightarrow {Area, Location}
FD2: Location \rightarrow Floor
FD3: {Area, Floor} \rightarrow {Room, Location}
}

What is the canonical cover of F?

- a) FD1: Room \rightarrow {Area, Location}
FD2: Location \rightarrow Floor
FD3: {Area, Floor} \rightarrow Room
- b) FD1: Room \rightarrow Area
FD2: Location \rightarrow Floor
FD3: {Area, Floor} \rightarrow {Room, Location}
- c) FD1: Room \rightarrow Location
FD2: Location \rightarrow Floor
FD3: {Area, Floor} \rightarrow {Room, Location}
- d) FD1: Room \rightarrow {Area, Location}
FD2: Location \rightarrow Floor
FD3: Floor \rightarrow {Room, Location}

Answer: a)

Explanation:

1. Checking for extraneous attributes in L.H.S of FD3: {Area, Floor} \rightarrow {Room, Location}
Area is not extraneous because Floor⁺=Floor and does not contain Area.

Floor is not extraneous because Area⁺=Area and does not contain Floor.

2. Checking for extraneous attributes in R.H.S of FD3: {Area, Floor} \rightarrow {Room, Location}
Location is extraneous because, (Area, Floor)⁺=Area, Floor, Room, Location, using the set

F'={
FD1: Room \rightarrow {Area, Location}
FD2: Location \rightarrow Floor
FD3: {Area, Floor} \rightarrow {Room}
}

No attribute is extraneous in FD1. Hence, option (a) is correct.

Question 9

Marks: 2 MCQ

Consider the relational schema $\text{Book}(\text{Author}, \text{ISBN}, \text{Title}, \text{Category}, \text{Pages}, \text{Publisher})$ which satisfies the following functional dependencies:

- FD1: $\text{Author}, \text{ISBN} \rightarrow \text{Title}$
- FD2: $\text{Author} \rightarrow \text{Category}$
- FD3: $\text{ISBN} \rightarrow \text{Pages}, \text{Publisher}$

The given relation guarantees which highest normal form?

- a) 1 NF
- b) 2 NF
- c) 3 NF
- d) BCNF

Answer: a)

Explanation: $\{\text{Author}, \text{ISBN}\}^+ = \{\text{Author}, \text{ISBN}, \text{Title}, \text{Category}, \text{Pages}, \text{Publisher}\}$. Therefore, $\{\text{Author}, \text{ISBN}\}$ is the key. So, the relation is in 1 NF form because of atomic valued attributes. However, partial dependency exists in FD2 and FD3, preventing the relation to be in 2NF.

Question 10

Marks: 2 MCQ

Determine the highest Normal Form of the relation **Restaurant**(Dish, Chef, Table, Price) having the following complete set of functional dependencies.

Dish \rightarrow Chef, Table

Table \rightarrow Price

- a) 1 NF
- b) 2 NF
- c) 3 NF
- d) BCNF

Answer: b)

Explanation: Dish \rightarrow Price is a transitive dependency. which prevents the relation from being in 3NF.

So, the relation **Restaurant** is in 2NF.