

1. It depends on how it will interact with the original feature ( $W_0$ new to  $W_{n-1}$ new) will remain the same mainly. ( $W_n$  new and  $W_{n+1}$  new) can be half or equal to the original  $W_n$ .
2. E is better than A with over 95% confidence, B is worse than A with over 95% confidence. You need to run the test for longer to tell where C and D compare to A with 95% confidence.
3. In case of sparse data where  $k \ll n$ , the number of features does not directly factor into the computational cost of each gradient descent iteration of logistic regression . b'coz the cost is dominated by the number of non-zero entries  $k$  in each training example and the number of training examples  $m$ . so, order will be  $O(k*m)$ .
4. In terms of accuracy for classifier V2, the methods are likely to rank as follows:
  1. **Method 3**: Highest accuracy. Targets V1's weaknesses.
  2. **Method 1**: Second highest accuracy. Focuses on ambiguous cases.
  3. **Method 2**: Lowest accuracy. Increases data diversity but doesn't target V1's weaknesses.
5.  $MLE = k/n$ , bayesian Estimate =  $k+1/n+2$ ,  $MAP = k/n$