Q1. What is the optimal value of alpha for ridge and lasso regression? What will be the changes in the model if you choose double the value of alpha for both ridge and lasso? What will be the most important predictor variables after the change is implemented?

A. Before doubling the optimal values for Ridge and Lasso, Ridge_alpha = 50, Lasso_alpha = 0.001

| | Metric | Linear Regression | Ridge Regression | Lasso Regression |
|---|------------------|-------------------|------------------|------------------|
| 0 | R2 Score (Train) | 8.737906e-01 | 0.906018 | 0.908584 |
| 1 | R2 Score (Test) | -2.526510e+20 | 0.900071 | 0.898126 |
| 2 | RSS (Train) | 1.734799e+01 | 12.918228 | 12.565436 |
| 3 | RSS (Test) | 1.645777e+22 | 6.509378 | 6.636093 |
| 4 | MSE (Train) | 1.412098e-01 | 0.121855 | 0.120179 |
| 5 | MSE (Test) | 6.633607e+09 | 0.131927 | 0.133205 |

After doubling the optimal alpha values for Ridge and Lasso, Ridge_alpha = 100, Lasso_alpha = 0.002

| | Metric | Linear Regression | Ridge Regression | Lasso Regression |
|---|------------------|-------------------|------------------|------------------|
| 0 | R2 Score (Train) | 8.737906e-01 | 0.895589 | 0.893103 |
| 1 | R2 Score (Test) | -2.526510e+20 | 0.898104 | 0.891877 |
| 2 | RSS (Train) | 1.734799e+01 | 14.351710 | 14.693482 |
| 3 | RSS (Test) | 1.645777e+22 | 6.637543 | 7.043136 |
| 4 | MSE (Train) | 1.412098e-01 | 0.128438 | 0.129958 |
| 5 | MSE (Test) | 6.633607e+09 | 0.133220 | 0.137229 |

For ridge regression, the important predictors after doubling the value of alpha

| | Features | rfe_support | rfe_ranking | Coefficient |
|----|----------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| 0 | OverallQual | True | 1 | 0.085690 |
| 1 | GrLivArea | True | 1 | 0.035236 |
| 9 | Condition1_Norm | True | 1 | 0.034818 |
| 3 | MSZoning_RL | True | 1 | 0.030449 |
| 7 | Neighborhood_NridgHt | True | 1 | 0.025874 |
| 4 | LotConfig_CulDSac | True | 1 | 0.023326 |
| 11 | Exterior1st_BrkFace | True | 1 | 0.022645 |
| 12 | Foundation_PConc | True | 1 | 0.022344 |
| 8 | Neighborhood_Somerst | True | 1 | 0.018994 |
| 5 | Neighborhood_ClearCr | True | 1 | 0.011178 |

For Lasso regression, the important predictors after doubling the value of alpha

| | Features | rfe_support | rfe_ranking | Coefficient |
|----|----------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| 0 | OverallQual | True | 1 | 0.117001 |
| 1 | GrLivArea | True | 1 | 0.076582 |
| 9 | Condition1_Norm | True | 1 | 0.058830 |
| 7 | Neighborhood_NridgHt | True | 1 | 0.053454 |
| 11 | Exterior1st_BrkFace | True | 1 | 0.049020 |
| 4 | LotConfig_CulDSac | True | 1 | 0.044538 |
| 8 | Neighborhood_Somerst | True | 1 | 0.041238 |
| 12 | Foundation_PConc | True | 1 | 0.028665 |
| 3 | MSZoning_RL | True | 1 | 0.022681 |
| 5 | Neighborhood_ClearCr | True | 1 | 0.000000 |

Observations:

- 1. R2 Score on the train set got reduced for both Ridge and Lasso regression models when the optimal alpha value is doubled.
- 2. R2 Score on the test set reduced a bit for Lasso which might be due to zeroing down model coefficients for some predictors.
- **Q2.** You have determined the optimal value of lambda for ridge and lasso regression during the assignment. Now, which one will you choose to apply and why?
- **A.** Though Ridge Regressor is performing little better compared to Lasso regressor, I prefer to choose Lasso as it helps in feature elimination
- **Q3.** After building the model, you realised that the five most important predictor variables in the lasso model are not available in the incoming data. You will now have to create another model excluding the five most important predictor variables. Which are the five most important predictor variables now?

A.

| | Features | rfe_support | rfe_ranking | Coefficient |
|----|----------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| 0 | MSZoning_FV | True | 1 | 0.480194 |
| 2 | MSZoning_RL | True | 1 | 0.474671 |
| 1 | MSZoning_RH | True | 1 | 0.456998 |
| 3 | MSZoning_RM | True | 1 | 0.417902 |
| 14 | GarageCond_Po | True | 1 | 0.159237 |
| 5 | Condition2_PosA | True | 1 | 0.091616 |
| 7 | BsmtQual_Fa | True | 1 | -0.028747 |
| 9 | BsmtQual_TA | True | 1 | -0.050763 |
| 8 | BsmtQual_No Basement | True | 1 | -0.073905 |
| 12 | KitchenQual_Gd | True | 1 | -0.095871 |
| | | | | |

The five most important predictors are MSZoning, Garage Condition, Bsmt Quality, Condition2 and Kitchen Quality

Q4. How can you make sure that a model is robust and generalisable? What are the implications of the same for the accuracy of the model and why?

A.

The model is considered more robust and generalizable when the model performs well on the unseen data and just not on the data with which it is trained

1. Detecting and treating the outliers in the predictors

- 2. Imputing the missing values appropriately after outlier treatment with the measures of central tendency based on the nature of predictor (numerical vs categorical)
- 3. Understand the feature variables in conjunction with the domain before dropping the features. Don't drop the predictors just because the data in the predictors are skewed (We need to bother only if the target variable is skewed as it might give inclined results). Dropping the predictors unnecessarily will reduce the predictive power of the model.
- 4. Scaling the predictors and target variable using scaling techniques
- 5. Perform Cross Validation using K-fold to detect if there is a model overfit

Implications:

- 1. The model accuracy reduces when we the outliers are not treated
- 2. The model accuracy reduces when missing values are not properly imputed
- 3. The model accuracy reduces when we drop the features which has predictive power
- 4. The model accuracy reduces when the predictors and target variable are not in the same scale. The model coefficients will be very large for few and very small for others which may lead to certain model coefficients (which is very small) to be insignificant
- 5. Apply Regularisation techniques if overfit is detected so that model accuracy improves