Slam project on loop-closures recognition based on a real 2D laser scanner dataset

Professor

Giorgio Grisetti

Student

Edoardo Ghini

Supervisor

Dominik Schlegel

1

---

[1] Source code: `https://github.com/dinies/DynModeling`

1 Loop Recognition: Big Picture

Loop closure detection is a tecnique that helps to reduce the escalating uncertainty that is generated from the iterative extimation of the evolution of the system state.

In particular it can be applied in autonomous vehicle navigation tasks where the enviromental informations gathered from the sensors can be used to build an artificial map.

The main objective carried out from loop closure algorithms is to identify portions of the environment that have strong correspondence with others already seen and then decide if the robot is navigating in a location of the environment in which it has already been.

In order to develop a loop closure algorithm, that could work in a simulation, it is necessary to have a dataset and other foundamental components:

- A feature extractor able to generate consistent features described by possibly unique descriptors.

- A data association euristic that allows to find which one of the new features corresponds to the older.

- An extimator of the evolution of the state, that given the data association, iteratively minimise the error of the guess.
  Since in this application it deals with laser scan measurements it would be called scan-matcher.

- An algorithm for loop closures recognition that processes the data memorised and tries to close a loop on the artificial map.

2 C++ Implementation Details

2.1 Dataset Parser

I was given a dataset of a real 2D laser scanner featuring an autonomous mobile robot navigating through a corridor.

The DatasetManager class gathers all the scanner ranges in data structures according to their sequence number.

2.2 Feature Extractor

The feature of choice is the line, precisely a 2D segment.

The class LineMatcher, through its euristic, tries to align subsequent cartesian points that corresponds to the spots where the laser hits the walls.

When the distance or the orientation between two subsequent points exceedes a threshold a segment is built with the former and previously encountered points, then the latter will be the beginning of the following segment.

2.3 Data Associator

In order to recognise features among two consecutive sets of laser ranges that corresponds to the same environment entities, the class DataAssociator orders the possible correspondences assigning a score based on a similarity measure.

This measure has been choosen to be almost invariant to the rototraslation of the robot that happens between two scan matches.

In fact the length of the segments and also the orientation, assuming a that the interval between scans is very small, would give assurance of only small changes.

Nevertheless the final decision for the best association is influenced, where it is possible to compute it, by an additional metric, which is the similarity between the sum of the angles that span from the examined segments and their respective neighboring segments. In fact this quantity would be invariant also with the respect of a significant rototranslation since it is an absolute quantity, not dependant on the robot orientation.

2.4 Scan Matcher

This class is responsible for the extimation of the rototranslation between scan matches. Once that some data associations has been identified, an iterative optimization (ICP) would be applied on points of the associated segments to recover the homogeneous transformation expressed in terms of SE(2) with a matrix $T(\Delta x, \Delta y, \Delta \theta)$.

The state evolution is carried out on a manifold and stored on a graph.

2.5 Graph Manager

In the nodes of the graph is contained the state extimated at each iteration togheter with the features extracted and the laser scanner ranges, Moreover in the edges there are the transformations coming from scan-matching and also the data associations found.

With all this informations the Graph class carries out a preprocessing operation in order to reduce the complexity of the loop closure phase: it tries to assemble the features of subsequent iterations in *trails*.

It means that if a certain segment of scan k_n is associated with another that belongs to the scan k_{n+1} and finally the second is associated with a third belonging to scan k_{n+2} they will be assembled in a *trail*.

A *trail* can be treated as a single feature that could be identified with the first segment of the trail, addictionally the more would be is length, the more *weigth* it will have on the loop recognition procedure.

2.6 Loop Recognitor

The LoopCloser class uses a distance map approach to evaluate loop closure candidates. At first, whenever the loop recognition function is triggered, it divide all the available *trails* at the current iteration in two subsets: the **query set**, which contains the most recent ones, and the **tree set** in which there are all the ones that belong to past iterations (in particular only to iterations that are remote in time).
Then it exploits the KD tree implementation available and feed it with the **tree set** *trails*. Then it queries the KD tree with the other set to obtain some candidates.
After the aforementioned step, those candidates will be validated and then a score will be assigned to every one of them.
Only the candidates that have a score greater than a threshold will be treated as legitimate loop closures.

2.7 GUI rendering and tests

At last, all the graphical inteface animation has been created using the opencv library. Consequently all the computations to generate the the points to be colored are being carried out from the Map and Drawer classes.
The overall procedings of the project has been verified with unit tests to certificate function behaviours, avoiding the introduction of regression bugs.
The eigen library has been used extensively to operate matrix arithmetics.

3 Conclusions and afterthoughts

In conclusion, it can be said that the algorithm recognises some loops, and there are several hundreds of iterations between the recognised loop ends. This is extremely encouraging since it is dealing with a real dataset.
The complexity grows more than linearly with further iterations.
This means that an efficient solution would be to run the loop recognition portion in parallel, for example on another threads, with a shared data structure that would be the graph.