TABLE OF CONTENTS

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	
ABSTRACT	
RESUMO	
TABLE OF CONTENTS	VII
LIST OF FIGURES	
TABLE LIST	Xiii
1. INTRODUCTION	1
1.1. OVERVIEW	1
1.2. OBJECTIVES	1
1.3. ELECTRICITY PYLONS	2
1.4. OPTIMIZATION ALGORITHMS	3
1.5. STRUCTURE	4
2. DESIGN OF LATTICE TOWERS	5
2.1. Introduction	5
2.1. Introduction 2.2. General Principles	
	5
2.2. GENERAL PRINCIPLES	5 6
2.2. GENERAL PRINCIPLES	5 6
2.2. GENERAL PRINCIPLES 2.3. DESIGN LOADS 2.4. RESISTANCE VERIFICATION	5 7
2.2. GENERAL PRINCIPLES 2.3. DESIGN LOADS 2.4. RESISTANCE VERIFICATION 2.4.1. IMPLEMENTATION NOTES	577
2.2. GENERAL PRINCIPLES 2.3. DESIGN LOADS 2.4. RESISTANCE VERIFICATION 2.4.1. IMPLEMENTATION NOTES 2.5. STABILITY VERIFICATION	5777
2.2. GENERAL PRINCIPLES 2.3. DESIGN LOADS 2.4. RESISTANCE VERIFICATION 2.4.1. IMPLEMENTATION NOTES 2.5. STABILITY VERIFICATION 2.5.1. EFFECTIVE SLENDERNESS FACTOR - K.	57777
2.2. GENERAL PRINCIPLES 2.3. DESIGN LOADS 2.4. RESISTANCE VERIFICATION 2.4.1. IMPLEMENTATION NOTES 2.5. STABILITY VERIFICATION 2.5.1. EFFECTIVE SLENDERNESS FACTOR - K. 2.5.1.1. Implementation notes – Leg members	577777
2.2. GENERAL PRINCIPLES 2.3. DESIGN LOADS 2.4. RESISTANCE VERIFICATION 2.4.1. IMPLEMENTATION NOTES 2.5. STABILITY VERIFICATION 2.5.1. EFFECTIVE SLENDERNESS FACTOR - K. 2.5.1.1. Implementation notes – Leg members 2.5.1.2. Implementation notes – Diagonal bracing members	577777
2.2. GENERAL PRINCIPLES 2.3. DESIGN LOADS 2.4. RESISTANCE VERIFICATION 2.4.1. IMPLEMENTATION NOTES 2.5. STABILITY VERIFICATION 2.5.1. EFFECTIVE SLENDERNESS FACTOR - K. 2.5.1.1. Implementation notes – Leg members 2.5.1.2. Implementation notes – Diagonal bracing members 2.5.1.3. Implementation notes – Horizontal bracing members	577777
2.2. GENERAL PRINCIPLES 2.3. DESIGN LOADS 2.4. RESISTANCE VERIFICATION 2.4.1. IMPLEMENTATION NOTES 2.5. STABILITY VERIFICATION 2.5.1. EFFECTIVE SLENDERNESS FACTOR - K. 2.5.1.1. Implementation notes – Leg members 2.5.1.2. Implementation notes – Diagonal bracing members 2.5.1.3. Implementation notes – Horizontal bracing members 2.5.2. BUCKLING LENGTH	577777
2.2. GENERAL PRINCIPLES 2.3. DESIGN LOADS 2.4. RESISTANCE VERIFICATION 2.4.1. IMPLEMENTATION NOTES 2.5. STABILITY VERIFICATION 2.5.1. EFFECTIVE SLENDERNESS FACTOR - K. 2.5.1.1. Implementation notes – Leg members 2.5.1.2. Implementation notes – Diagonal bracing members 2.5.1.3. Implementation notes – Horizontal bracing members 2.5.2. BUCKLING LENGTH	57777891111
2.2. GENERAL PRINCIPLES 2.3. DESIGN LOADS 2.4. RESISTANCE VERIFICATION 2.4.1. IMPLEMENTATION NOTES 2.5. STABILITY VERIFICATION 2.5.1. EFFECTIVE SLENDERNESS FACTOR - K. 2.5.1.1. Implementation notes – Leg members 2.5.1.2. Implementation notes – Diagonal bracing members 2.5.1.3. Implementation notes – Horizontal bracing members 2.5.2. BUCKLING LENGTH 2.6. CONNECTION DESIGN	5777891115

3.2.1. INDIVIDUAL	18
3.2.2. INITIAL POPULATION	19
3.2.3. FITNESS FUNCTION	20
3.2.4. SELECTION FUNCTION	21
3.2.4.1. Roulette wheel	21
3.2.4.2. Tournament selection	22
3.2.5. GENETIC OPERATORS	22
3.2.5.1. Crossover	22
3.2.5.2. Mutation	23
3.2.6. TERMINATION CRITERIA	23
4. PROGRAM DESCRIPTION	25
4.1. SUMMARY	25
4.2. PROGRAM FLOW	25
4.3. Base Genetic Code	27
4.4. INITIAL POPULATION	31
4.5. EVALUATE FUNCTION	32
4.6. REPAIR FUNCTION	35
4.7. SELECTION FUNCTION	37
4.8. GENETIC OPERATORS	37
4.8.1. Crossover	37
4.8.2. Gaussian Mutation	38
E CACE CTUDY	
5. CASE STUDY	
5.1. SUMMARY	
5.2. BASE MODEL	
5.3. PROGRAM SETUP	43
5.3.1. TEST RUNS AND CALIBRATION	44
5.4. RESULTS	46
5.4.1. Postprocessing	46
5.4.2. ANALYSIS	48
5.5. CONCLUDING REMARKS	49
5.5.1. Future Work	49

REFERENCES	5
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •

LIST OF FIGURES

Fig.1.1 – Pc	ower lines designed to withstand snow, Iceland	.2
Fig.1.2 – Te	est bench – India	.3
Fig.2.1 – St	reel poles	.6
Fig.2.2 – La	attice tower	.6
Fig.2.3 – E0	C3-3-1 table G.1 from annex G	. 9
Fig.2.4 – E0	C3-3-1 table G.2 from annex G1	C
Fig.2.5 – E0	C3-3-1 table G.3 from annex G1	1
Fig.2.6 – E0	C3-3-1 Figure H.1 from annex H1	2
Fig.2.7 – Ind	creased number of horizontal divisions1	2
Fig.2.8 – E0	C3-3-1 Figure H.3 from annex H1	3
Fig.2.9 – E0	C3-3-1 Figure H.4 from annex H1	4
Fig.3.1 – Flo	ow of standard GA1	7
Fig.3.2 – Ch	hromosome structure and values1	8
Fig.3.3 – Ba	asic bit string chromosome1	S
Fig.3.4 – Mo	ore complex chromosome datatype1	S
Fig.3.5 – Ra	andom vs Seeded population1	S
Fig.3.6 – Ro	oulette wheel: A is better than G, therefore selected more often2	21
Fig.3.7 – To	ournament selection2	22
Fig.3.8 – Do	ouble and single point crossover2	23
Fig.4.1 – Us	ser interface2	26
Fig.4.2 – Int	teraction between key modules2	27
Fig.4.3 – Pa	arametric definition of the tower in Grasshopper2	35
Fig.4.4 – Gr	rasshopper and rhino model2	25
Fig.4.5 – IA	M: Different bracing conditions3	33
Fig.4.6 – Ta	apered normal distribution	38
Fig.5.1 – Ba	ase model4	ŀ1
Fig.5.2 – Ar	rm nodes4	12
Fig.5.3 – Mu	utation adjustment trial4	15
Fig.5.4 – Ide	eal mutation probability reached4	15
Fig.5.5 – Fir	nal search4	ŀ6
Fig 5.6 - Pl:	an view of the tower	17

Fig.5.7 – Critical quadrant, front and side planes	.47
Fig.5.8 – Legs with secondary bracing added	.48

TABLE LIST

Table 4.1 – DNA definition	30
Table 4.2 – Initial mutation implementation	31
Table 4.3 – Evaluate function call	32
Table 4.4 – IAM scan (leg scan excerpt)	32
Table 4.5 – EC3 verification for leg members	34
Table 4.6 –Repair function excerpt	36
Table 4.7 – Tournament selection	37
Table 4.8 – Crossover function applied to the bar array	37
Table 4.9 – Gaussian mutation algorithm	39
Table 4.10 – Clamp function	39
Table 4.11 – Mutation function	39
Table 5.1 – Base model weight distribution	42
Table 5.2 – Load cases	43
Table 5.3 – Final structure weight distribution	48