# Further Features of openVA

Jason Thomas

March 6th, 2018

### New Slides & Files

► https://github.com/verbal-autopsy-software/Indonesia

Morning

- Morning
  - ► Data Checks

- Morning
  - Data Checks
  - ► Technical details of InSilicoVA

- Morning
  - Data Checks
  - Technical details of InSilicoVA
  - Producing results for individuals

- Morning
  - Data Checks
  - Technical details of InSilicoVA
  - Producing results for individuals
- Afternoon

- Morning
  - Data Checks
  - Technical details of InSilicoVA
  - Producing results for individuals
- Afternoon
  - ► Practice with openVA

- Morning
  - Data Checks
  - Technical details of InSilicoVA
  - Producing results for individuals
- Afternoon
  - Practice with openVA
  - Using openVA to run InterVA5 algorithm (in yesterday's slides)

▶ InSilicoVA & InterVA perform data consistency checks to ensure the symptoms do not suggest conflicting information (2 passes through the data). For example:

- ► InSilicoVA & InterVA perform data consistency checks to ensure the symptoms do not suggest conflicting information (2 passes through the data). For example:
  - age In Days 14

- ► InSilicoVA & InterVA perform data consistency checks to ensure the symptoms do not suggest conflicting information (2 passes through the data). For example:
  - age In Days 14
  - ► How long did (s)he have a cough? 4 weeks

- ► InSilicoVA & InterVA perform data consistency checks to ensure the symptoms do not suggest conflicting information (2 passes through the data). For example:
  - age In Days 14
  - ► How long did (s)he have a cough? 4 weeks
- ➤ The software will change these data, but only on a copy of the data (not your data frame that you pass as an argument to codeVA)

- ► InSilicoVA & InterVA perform data consistency checks to ensure the symptoms do not suggest conflicting information (2 passes through the data). For example:
  - ▶ age In Days 14
  - ► How long did (s)he have a cough? 4 weeks
- ► The software will change these data, but only on a copy of the data (not your data frame that you pass as an argument to codeVA)
- ► These changes are described in the log file errorlog\_insilico.txt with the argument

- ► InSilicoVA & InterVA perform data consistency checks to ensure the symptoms do not suggest conflicting information (2 passes through the data). For example:
  - age In Days 14
  - ► How long did (s)he have a cough? 4 weeks
- ► The software will change these data, but only on a copy of the data (not your data frame that you pass as an argument to codeVA)
- ► These changes are described in the log file errorlog\_insilico.txt with the argument

- ► InSilicoVA & InterVA perform data consistency checks to ensure the symptoms do not suggest conflicting information (2 passes through the data). For example:
  - ▶ age In Days 14
  - How long did (s)he have a cough? 4 weeks
- ► The software will change these data, but only on a copy of the data (not your data frame that you pass as an argument to codeVA)
- ► These changes are described in the log file errorlog\_insilico.txt with the argument

```
results1 <- codeVA(data = data1, data.type = "WHO2016",
    model = "InSilicoVA", warning.write = TRUE)</pre>
```

▶ Info in Error log: (1) record ID; (2) index symptom; and (3) don't ask / ask if / neonate symptom

1. **Don't ask:** if the index symptom is inconsistent with a "don't ask" symptom, then the index symptom is set to missing

- 1. **Don't ask:** if the index symptom is inconsistent with a "don't ask" symptom, then the index symptom is set to missing
  - ► Error log: ID index symptom don't ask symptom "cleared in working information"

- 1. **Don't ask:** if the index symptom is inconsistent with a "don't ask" symptom, then the index symptom is set to missing
  - ► Error log: ID index symptom don't ask symptom "cleared in working information"
  - inconsistent index symptom == substantive value (as shown in the subst column of probbase.xls - the InterVA5 SCI) and "don't ask" symptom == last character in dontask column

- 1. **Don't ask:** if the index symptom is inconsistent with a "don't ask" symptom, then the index symptom is set to missing
  - ► Error log: ID index symptom don't ask symptom "cleared in working information"
  - inconsistent index symptom == substantive value (as shown in the subst column of probbase.xls - the InterVA5 SCI) and "don't ask" symptom == last character in dontask column
  - both symptoms need to have non-missing values

- 1. **Don't ask:** if the index symptom is inconsistent with a "don't ask" symptom, then the index symptom is set to missing
  - ► Error log: ID index symptom don't ask symptom "cleared in working information"
  - inconsistent index symptom == substantive value (as shown in the subst column of probbase.xls - the InterVA5 SCI) and "don't ask" symptom == last character in dontask column
  - both symptoms need to have non-missing values
- 2. Ask if: if the index symptom == substantive value and the "ask if" symptom != last character in askif column, then assign "ask if" symptom to the last character in askif column

- 1. **Don't ask:** if the index symptom is inconsistent with a "don't ask" symptom, then the index symptom is set to missing
  - Error log: ID index symptom don't ask symptom "cleared in working information"
  - inconsistent index symptom == substantive value (as shown in the subst column of probbase.xls - the InterVA5 SCI) and "don't ask" symptom == last character in dontask column
  - both symptoms need to have non-missing values
- 2. **Ask if:** if the index symptom == substantive value and the "ask if" symptom != last character in askif column, then assign "ask if" symptom to the last character in askif column
  - ► Error log: ID index symptom ask if symptom "updated in working information"

- 1. **Don't ask:** if the index symptom is inconsistent with a "don't ask" symptom, then the index symptom is set to missing
  - ► Error log: ID index symptom don't ask symptom "cleared in working information"
  - inconsistent index symptom == substantive value (as shown in the subst column of probbase.xls - the InterVA5 SCI) and "don't ask" symptom == last character in dontask column
  - both symptoms need to have non-missing values
- 2. Ask if: if the index symptom == substantive value and the "ask if" symptom != last character in askif column, then assign "ask if" symptom to the last character in askif column
  - ► Error log: ID index symptom ask if symptom "updated in working information"
  - index symptom need to have non-missing value

3. **Neonate Only:** if the index symptom == *substantive* value and the deceased was NOT, then the index symptom is set to missing

- 3. **Neonate Only:** if the index symptom == *substantive* value and the deceased was NOT, then the index symptom is set to missing
  - ► Error log: ID index symptom don't ask symptom "only required for neonates cleared in working information"

- 3. **Neonate Only:** if the index symptom == *substantive* value and the deceased was NOT, then the index symptom is set to missing
  - ► Error log: ID index symptom don't ask symptom "only required for neonates cleared in working information"
  - ▶ index symptom need to have non-missing value

- 3. **Neonate Only:** if the index symptom == substantive value and the deceased was NOT, then the index symptom is set to missing
  - ► Error log: ID index symptom don't ask symptom "only required for neonates cleared in working information"
  - index symptom need to have non-missing value
- data consistency checks performed in this order

- 3. **Neonate Only:** if the index symptom == *substantive* value and the deceased was NOT, then the index symptom is set to missing
  - Error log: ID index symptom don't ask symptom "only required for neonates - cleared in working information"
  - index symptom need to have non-missing value
- data consistency checks performed in this order
  - don't ask

- 3. **Neonate Only:** if the index symptom == substantive value and the deceased was NOT, then the index symptom is set to missing
  - ► Error log: ID index symptom don't ask symptom "only required for neonates cleared in working information"
  - index symptom need to have non-missing value
- data consistency checks performed in this order
  - 1. don't ask
  - 2. ask if

- 3. **Neonate Only:** if the index symptom == *substantive* value and the deceased was NOT, then the index symptom is set to missing
  - ► Error log: ID index symptom don't ask symptom "only required for neonates cleared in working information"
  - index symptom need to have non-missing value
- data consistency checks performed in this order
  - 1. don't ask
  - 2. ask if
  - 3. neonates only

- Neonate Only: if the index symptom == substantive value and the deceased was NOT, then the index symptom is set to missing
  - Error log: ID index symptom don't ask symptom "only required for neonates - cleared in working information"
  - index symptom need to have non-missing value
- data consistency checks performed in this order
  - 1. don't ask
  - 2. ask if
  - 3. neonates only
  - (then this is repeated with a second pass through the data)

► This is a very brief discussion of some of the more technical questions about InSilicoVA.

- ► This is a very brief discussion of some of the more technical questions about InSilicoVA.
- Perhaps the most conceptually different aspect of InSilicoVA, compared to the other algorithms, is how CSMF is viewed.

- ► This is a very brief discussion of some of the more technical questions about InSilicoVA.
- ▶ Perhaps the most conceptually different aspect of InSilicoVA, compared to the other algorithms, is how CSMF is viewed.
- ▶ Let us first look at how CSMF is calculated in other algorithms

- ► This is a very brief discussion of some of the more technical questions about InSilicoVA.
- ▶ Perhaps the most conceptually different aspect of InSilicoVA, compared to the other algorithms, is how CSMF is viewed.
- ▶ Let us first look at how CSMF is calculated in other algorithms
  - InterVA: take up to top three causes and aggregate their probabilities.

- ► This is a very brief discussion of some of the more technical questions about InSilicoVA.
- ▶ Perhaps the most conceptually different aspect of InSilicoVA, compared to the other algorithms, is how CSMF is viewed.
- ▶ Let us first look at how CSMF is calculated in other algorithms
  - InterVA: take up to top three causes and aggregate their probabilities.
  - ▶ NBC: take the average of the full **individual probabilities**.

#### InSilicoVA and CSMF

- ► This is a very brief discussion of some of the more technical questions about InSilicoVA.
- Perhaps the most conceptually different aspect of InSilicoVA, compared to the other algorithms, is how CSMF is viewed.
- ▶ Let us first look at how CSMF is calculated in other algorithms
  - InterVA: take up to top three causes and aggregate their probabilities.
  - ▶ NBC: take the average of the full **individual probabilities**.
- ► The common theme is the CSMF can be directly derived from individual results. However, InSilicoVA parameterize CSMF as a separate set of parameters to be learned from the data.

► To see what it means, consider two datasets, both randomly sampled from a large population:

- ► To see what it means, consider two datasets, both randomly sampled from a large population:
  - a small dataset with 100 observations

- ► To see what it means, consider two datasets, both randomly sampled from a large population:
  - a small dataset with 100 observations
  - ▶ a large dataset by exactly repeating the small dataset 10 times.

- ► To see what it means, consider two datasets, both randomly sampled from a large population:
  - a small dataset with 100 observations
  - ▶ a large dataset by exactly repeating the small dataset 10 times.
- ► For any deterministic algorithm, the distribution of causes in both datasets should match exactly.

- ► To see what it means, consider two datasets, both randomly sampled from a large population:
  - ▶ a small dataset with 100 observations
  - ▶ a large dataset by exactly repeating the small dataset 10 times.
- ► For any deterministic algorithm, the distribution of causes in both datasets should match exactly.
- But knowing there are more data may change what we believe about the unknown population: we may be more certain about our estimators.

- ➤ To see what it means, consider two datasets, both randomly sampled from a large population:
  - ▶ a small dataset with 100 observations
  - ▶ a large dataset by exactly repeating the small dataset 10 times.
- ► For any deterministic algorithm, the distribution of causes in both datasets should match exactly.
- But knowing there are more data may change what we believe about the unknown population: we may be more certain about our estimators.
- Essentially, this is the idea behind the InSilicoVA logic: our observations are samples from a larger population, and CSMF measures the distribution of causes in that population.

➤ The stochastic nature of the sampling-based approach adopted by InSilicoVA makes it flexible with nice characterization of uncertainties.

- The stochastic nature of the sampling-based approach adopted by InSilicoVA makes it flexible with nice characterization of uncertainties.
- ▶ But it also means the algorithm may need to be tunned with more care.

- The stochastic nature of the sampling-based approach adopted by InSilicoVA makes it flexible with nice characterization of uncertainties.
- ▶ But it also means the algorithm may need to be tunned with more care.
- ► First, the convergence depends on how long the algorithm is run

- The stochastic nature of the sampling-based approach adopted by InSilicoVA makes it flexible with nice characterization of uncertainties.
- ▶ But it also means the algorithm may need to be tunned with more care.
- ► First, the convergence depends on how long the algorithm is run
  - Nsim: The total number of iterations to run the algorithm.

- The stochastic nature of the sampling-based approach adopted by InSilicoVA makes it flexible with nice characterization of uncertainties.
- ▶ But it also means the algorithm may need to be tunned with more care.
- ► First, the convergence depends on how long the algorithm is run
  - ▶ Nsim: The total number of iterations to run the algorithm.
  - auto.length: Whether or not to automatic double the number of iterations at the end if convergence test fails.

## Fine tuning InSilicoVA: Example 1

```
out <- codeVA(data = RandomVA5[1:25,], data.type = "WHO2016",
              Nsim = 100, auto.length = FALSE)
InSilico Sampler initiated, 100 iterations to sample .....
Iteration: 50
Sub-population 0 acceptance ratio: 0.72
0.00min elapsed, 0.00min remaining
. . . .
Overall acceptance ratio
Sub-population 0 : 0.7300
Organizing output, might take a moment...
Not all causes with CSMF > 0.02 are convergent.
 Please check using csmf.diag() for more information.
```

## Fine tuning InSilicoVA: Example

csmf.diag(out, conv.csmf = 0.01)

	Halfwidth	Mean	${\tt Halfwidth}$
	test		
Measles	failed	0.0762	0.018977
Severe malnutrition	failed	0.0678	0.023181
Other and unspecified infect dis	passed	0.0533	0.002164
Renal failure	failed	0.0497	0.010359
Pertussis	failed	0.0350	0.005081
Pulmonary tuberculosis	failed	0.0437	0.008504
Haemorrhagic fever (non-dengue)	failed	0.0436	0.005170
Diabetes mellitus	failed	0.0384	0.009008
Congenital malformation	failed	0.0374	0.012316
Pregnancy-related sepsis	failed	0.0309	0.005913
Anaemia of pregnancy	failed	0.0308	0.004454
Diarrhoeal diseases	failed	0.0293	0.008432
Liver cirrhosis	failed	0.0289	0.004361
Other and unspecified maternal CoD	failed	0.0263	0.005518

Convergence also depends on how many proposed new parameters are accepted.

► This is directly reflected in jump.scale and the 'acceptance rate' printed to the screen when running InSilicoVA.

- ► This is directly reflected in jump.scale and the 'acceptance rate' printed to the screen when running InSilicoVA.
- ▶ If jump.scale is too large, at each iteration, the algorithm 'tries' more wild guesses, leading to many of such guesses rejected. This can waste many iterations of sampling.

- ► This is directly reflected in jump.scale and the 'acceptance rate' printed to the screen when running InSilicoVA.
- If jump.scale is too large, at each iteration, the algorithm 'tries' more wild guesses, leading to many of such guesses rejected. This can waste many iterations of sampling.
- ▶ If jump.scale is too small, at each iteration, the algorithm makes new guesses that are very similar to current values. This may prevent the algorithm to explore the right range of parameters.

- ► This is directly reflected in jump.scale and the 'acceptance rate' printed to the screen when running InSilicoVA.
- If jump.scale is too large, at each iteration, the algorithm 'tries' more wild guesses, leading to many of such guesses rejected. This can waste many iterations of sampling.
- ▶ If jump.scale is too small, at each iteration, the algorithm makes new guesses that are very similar to current values. This may prevent the algorithm to explore the right range of parameters.
- ▶ Ideally, we want to 'tune' the algorithm so that the acceptance rate is neither too large or too small. {\blue{20% to 25% is usually recommended}}.

- ► This is directly reflected in jump.scale and the 'acceptance rate' printed to the screen when running InSilicoVA.
- ▶ If jump.scale is too large, at each iteration, the algorithm 'tries' more wild guesses, leading to many of such guesses rejected. This can waste many iterations of sampling.
- ▶ If jump.scale is too small, at each iteration, the algorithm makes new guesses that are very similar to current values. This may prevent the algorithm to explore the right range of parameters.
- ▶ Ideally, we want to 'tune' the algorithm so that the acceptance rate is neither too large or too small. {\blue{20% to 25% is usually recommended}}.
- ▶ In practice, typically as long as it is not very small (<5%) or very large (>50%), we have found InSilicoVA to be mostly robust, at least for causes with higher prevalence.

## Fine tuning InSilicoVA: changing jump.scale

```
out2 <- codeVA(RandomVA5[1:25,], data.type = "WHO2016",
               jump.scale = 0.4)
InSilico Sampler initiated, 10000 iterations to sample
Iteration: 500
Sub-population 0 acceptance ratio: 0.24
0.01min elapsed, 0.27min remaining
Iteration: 1000
Sub-population 0 acceptance ratio: 0.25
0.03min elapsed, 0.25min remaining
Iteration: 1500
Sub-population 0 acceptance ratio: 0.27
0.04min elapsed, 0.24min remaining
```

► Changing jump.scale so that the acceptance ratio is in the recommended range may help with the warning

Not all causes with CSMF > 0.02 are convergent. Please check using csmf.diag() for more information.

► Changing jump.scale so that the acceptance ratio is in the recommended range may help with the warning

Not all causes with CSMF > 0.02 are convergent. Please check using csmf.diag() for more information.

▶ Ultimately, check the results of csmf.diag() and note that for causes that fail the test, we do not have conclusive results.

► Changing jump.scale so that the acceptance ratio is in the recommended range may help with the warning

Not all causes with CSMF > 0.02 are convergent. Please check using csmf.diag() for more information.

- ▶ Ultimately, check the results of csmf.diag() and note that for causes that fail the test, we do not have conclusive results.
  - (just not enough information in the data to estimate the fraction of deaths due to these causes).

#### Obtain individual summary

▶ We may also look more closely into some individuals

```
summary(out2, id = "d1", size = "scriptsize")
## Warning in summary.insilico(out2, id = "d1", size = "scriptsize"): C.I. for
## InSilicoVA fitted top causes for death ID: d1
## Credible intervals shown: %
##
                                          Mean Lower
                                     0.5546241
## Stroke
                                                  NΑ
## Digestive neoplasms
                                     0.4120542
                                                  NA
## Other and unspecified neoplasms
                                     0.0139036
                                                 NΑ
## Other and unspecified infect dis
                                     0.0098763
                                                  NΑ
## Other and unspecified cardiac dis 0.0032896
                                                  NA
                                                  NA
## Tetanus
                                     0.0032327
## Renal failure
                                     0.0016230
                                                  NA
## Pulmonary tuberculosis
                                     0.0003069
                                                  NA
   Other and unspecified NCD
                                     0.0002442
                                                  NΑ
## Severe anaemia
                                     0.0001715
                                                  NA
                                     Median Upper
##
## Stroke
                                         NΑ
                                               NΑ
## Digestive neoplasms
                                         NΑ
                                               NΑ
## Other and unspecified neoplasms
                                         NA
                                               NΑ
## Other and unspecified infect dis
                                         NΑ
                                               NA
## Other and unspecified cardiac dis
                                         NΑ
                                               NA
## Tetanus
                                         NΙΔ
                                               MΔ
```

60 / 61

#### Obtain individual summary

As suggested in the warning message, for InSilicoVA, uncertainties associated with individual probabilities are not calculated by default to save computation time.

```
out2 <- updateIndiv(out2, CI = 0.95)</pre>
## Calculating individual COD distributions...
summary(out2, id = "d1")
## InSilicoVA fitted top causes for death ID: d1
## Credible intervals shown: 95%
##
                                           Mean
## Stroke
                                      0.5546241
## Digestive neoplasms
                                     0.4120542
## Other and unspecified neoplasms 0.0139036
## Other and unspecified infect dis
                                      0.0098763
## Other and unspecified cardiac dis 0.0032896
## Tetanus
                                      0.0032327
## Renal failure
                                      0.0016230
                                     0.0003069
## Pulmonary tuberculosis
## Other and unspecified NCD
                                     0.0002442
                                                                   61/61
```