## Marking Form: Project – Team Component

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Team Name: |  | |
|  | Student (Ākonga) Name | Student ID |
| Student 1: |  |  |
| Student 2: |  |  |
| Student 3: |  |  |
| Student 4: |  |  |
| Student 5: |  |  |
| Date submitted: |  |  |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Assessor Use Only** | | | |
| Marks awarded: | |  | |
| Total Marks available: | | 60 | |
| Percentage: | |  | |
| Resubmission: |  | Resubmission Grade |  |
| Assessor’s Name: | |  | |
| Date marked: | |  | |

**This assessment component contributes 30% to your final course grade.**

### Tutor Feedback

**Assessor Notes:**

Total marks for each task calculated by adding the points earned under the Above Expectations heading to the points earned under the Meets Expectations heading to the points earned under the Below Expectations heading.

You may only count marks for one of the points in each row.

## Marking Rubric – TEAM Component

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Marking Strand | Above Expectations | Meets Expectations | Below Expectations |
|  | 3 marks for each bullet point | 2 marks for each bullet point | 0 to 1 marks for each bullet point |
| Task 1 :Team organisation | Team contract lists all of the required points  Collaboration Tools selected are appropriate for the tasks specified  Team channel contains daily snapshots of Kanban board updated after the stand-up meetings  Records of regular team self-evaluation provided | Team contract lists some of the required points  Some of the Collaboration Tools selected are appropriate for the tasks specified  Team channel has Kanban snapshots of several stand-up meetings  Records of some team self-evaluation provided | Team contract does not list most of the required points  Collaboration Tools selected are not appropriate for the tasks specified  Team channel has a record of less than 2 stand-up meetings  No or very little evidence of team self-evaluation provided |
| Task 3 : Requirements Analysis And Planning | Requirement document contains  problem statement adequately describing the chosen problem  Requirement document contains solution statement providing valid solution features and describing a unique value proposition.  Requirement document contains the list of functional and non-functional requirements appropriate for the problem.  Planning document contains a detailed plan for the each of the three iterations  Planning document contains snapshots of the Kanban board with assigned tasks for all the items in the iterations | Requirement document contains  problem statement that describes some parts of the chosen problem  Requirement document contains solution statement providing some solution features and describing a unique value proposition not in full details.  Requirement document contains the list mentioning most of the functional and non-functional requirements.  Planning document contains a plan for some of the three iterations  Planning document contains snapshots of the Kanban board with assigned tasks for some of the items in the iterations | Requirement document contains  problem statement that does not adequately describe the problem  Requirement document contains solution statement that does not state valid solution features and does not describe a unique value proposition.  Requirement document does not contain a valid list of functional and non-functional requirements appropriate for the problem.  Planning document contains little attempt at planning for some of the iterations  Planning document contains little evidence of the assigned tasks for the items in the iterations |
| Task 4: Solution Design | System design document contains reasonable design submissions for the system architecture  System design document contains appropriate database model and list of CRUD operations.  System design document contains reasonable security design with all of the users, permissions and security mechanisms identified correctly.  System design document lists suggested views for the application | System design document contains some reasoning for the chosen system architecture  System design document contains parts of the database model correct and has some of the CRUD operations listed  System design document contains security design with some of the users, permissions and security mechanisms identified correctly.  System design document lists some of the views for the application | System design document contains little or no reasoning provided for the chosen system architecture  System design document contains database model not appropriate for the requirements provided and no more than 1 CRUD operation listed correctly  System design document contains security design with little or no users, permissions and security mechanisms identified correctly.  System design document lists little or no views for the application |
| Task 5: Implementation and Testing | The Web App follows the solution design  All the features specified in the iteration 1 are implemented in the Web solution.  All the features specified in iteration 2 are implemented in the Web solution.  All the features specified in iteration 3 are implemented in the Web solution.  At each iteration the Web solution is tested and approved by a client (tutor).  Testing document contains appropriate test information | Parts of the Web application submitted follow the solution design  Some of the features specified in the iteration 1 are implemented in the Web solution.  Some of the features specified in iteration 2 are implemented in the Web solution.  Some of the features specified in iteration 3 are implemented in the Web solution.  Some of the Web solution iterations have been tested and approved by a client (tutor).  Testing document contains partly completed test information | Most of the Web application submitted does not follow the solution design  Very little of the features specified in the iteration 1 are implemented in the Web solution.  Very little of the features specified in iteration 2 are implemented in the Web solution.  Very little of the features specified in iteration 3 are implemented in the Web solution.  While some of the Web solution iterations implemented, little or no evidence of testing and client approval submitted.  Testing document contains little or no appropriate test information |
| Collaboration using Version Control | Evidence of using GitHub as a version control tool submitted | Evidence of using GitHub for some of the tasks required as a version control tool submitted | Little or no evidence of using GitHub for the tasks required submitted |

## Marking Form: Project – Individual Component

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Student (Ākonga) Name: |  |
| Student ID: |  |
| Date submitted: |  |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Assessor Use Only** | | | |
| Marks awarded: | |  | |
| Total marks available: | | 40 | |
| Percentage: | |  | |
| Resubmission: |  | Resubmission Grade |  |
| Assessor’s Name: | |  | |
| Date marked: | |  | |

**This assessment component contributes 20% to your final course grade.**

### Tutor Feedback

## Marking Rubric – INDIVIDUAL Component

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Marking Strand | Above Expectations | Meets expectations | Below expectations |
|  | 4 marks for each bullet point | 2-3 marks for each bullet point | 0 to 1 marks for each bullet point |
| Task 2 :Reflective Journal | Reflective Journal contains a summary of personal contributions  Reflective Journal contains at least one design commentary  Reflective Journal contains at least one implementation commentary  Reflective Journal contains at least one code review reflection  Reflective Journal contains at least one testing commentary  Reflective Journal contains reflection on the teamwork and the development process notes  Reflective Journal contains detailed reflection on the student’s most effective areas and lists the areas for future improvement | Reflective Journal contains some personal contributions  Reflective Journal contains one minor design commentary  Reflective Journal contains one minor implementation commentary  Reflective Journal’s code review reflection is partly completed  Reflective Journal contains some testing commentary that is partially complete  Reflective Journal contains some evidence of reflection on the teamwork and the development process  Reflective Journal contains some reflection on the student’s most effective areas and lists the areas for future improvement | Reflective Journal contains little to no note of personal contributions  Reflective Journal contains incomplete or no design commentaries  Reflective Journal contains incomplete or no implementation commentaries  Reflective Journal contains mostly incomplete or no record of code review reflection  Reflective Journal contains mostly incomplete or no record of testing conducted  Reflective Journal contains little to no evidence of reflection on the teamwork and the development process  Reflective Journal contains little or no reflection on the student’s most effective areas and the areas for future improvement |
| Task 6 : Peer Evaluation and Final Reflection | Team member evaluation forms have been submitted listing relevant feedback on the team members’ performance  Comments provided in the Team member evaluation forms are thoughtful and constructive  Team member evaluation form has been submitted providing relevant self-reflection on student’s performance | Team member evaluation forms have been submitted listing some feedback on the team members’ performance  Most of the comments provided in the Team member evaluation forms are thoughtful and constructive  Team member evaluation form has been submitted providing some self-reflection on student’s performance | Team member evaluation forms have been submitted listing little feedback on the team members’ performance  Comments provided in the Team member evaluation forms do not provide constructive feedback  Team member evaluation form has been submitted providing little self-reflection on student’s performance |