## Question 1:

The coefficients should all be correct (to the nearest 0.1):

- petal width as a function of petal length: 0.942
- sepal\_width as a function of petal\_width: -0.248

## Question 2:

For petal\_width as a function of petal\_length, the linear correlation coefficient is **greater than** (in absolute value) to sepal\_width as a function of petal\_width.

The larger coefficient is graphically represented by points clustered tightly around a straight line (in fact, they are almost aligned). The smaller coefficient has points that are more dispersed.

Note: the coefficient -0.248 indicates a slight linearity between sepal\_width and petal\_length when we take the **whole sample** into account. When we separate the sample by **species**, the linearity between these two variables is stronger. This is a **tri-variate** analysis because we study the relationship between three variables: species, sepal\_width, and petal\_length.

## Question 3:

This response is considered correct if:

- 1. Coefficients a and b of case 1 are correct (to the nearest 0.1) OR
  - 2. The 6 coefficients a and b of cases 2, 3, and 4 are correct (to the nearest 0.1)

Here are the coefficients:

```
case 1: a=0.386 , b=0.509
case 2: a=1.788 , b=1.459
case 3: a=0.699 , b=1.038
case 4: a=0.974 , b=0.809
```

## Question 4:

Le response is correct if the code that replaces [...] is equivalent to the following:

Note: The first 6 lines indicate the 8 coefficients. They do not necessarily have to be equal to the ones mentioned here, but they **must** be coherent with the coefficients provided by the student in question 3.