

**COMP 5711: Advanced Algorithm**  
**Written Assignment # 1**

**Amortized Analysis (CLRS Ch 17)**

Problem 17-2 (30 pts) The *logarithmic method* is a general technique to make a static data structure dynamic. Here we see how we apply it on a sorted array. We know that binary search in a sorted array takes logarithmic search time, but the time to insert or delete an element is linear in the size of the array. Such a data structure is usually said to be *static* as it is very expensive to do insertions and deletions.

Let  $n$  be the number of elements. Under the logarithmic method, instead of maintaining a single array containing all  $n$  elements, we look at the binary representation of  $n = \bar{b}_k \bar{b}_{k-1} \cdots \bar{b}_0$ . Then we build a sorted array of size  $2^i$  if  $\bar{b}_i = 1$ . Clearly, all the arrays have total size  $n$ .

- (a) Show that the query time now becomes  $O(\log^2 n)$ , i.e., a logarithmic factor slower than in the original array.
- (b) However, we can do insertions much faster. To insert a new element, thereby incrementing  $n$  by 1, we look at how the binary representation of  $n$  changes. Specifically, for some  $i$ ,  $\bar{b}_i$  changes from 0 to 1 while  $\bar{b}_j$  changes from 1 to 0 for every  $j = 0, 1, \dots, i-1$ . Therefore, we build a sorted array of size  $2^i$ , whose elements are all those from the arrays of size  $2^{i-1}, \dots, 2^0$ , plus the new element. These arrays are then deleted. First, show that this process can be done in time  $O(2^i)$ , i.e., linear in the number of elements involved.
- (c) Show that the amortized cost of an insertion is  $O(\log n)$ .

Problem 17-3 (30 pts) Most binary trees use rotation to restore balance (AVL-tree, red-black tree, splay tree). Another way for rebalancing a binary tree is *partial rebuilding*. For each node  $u$  in a binary tree, let  $size(u)$  denote the size (number of nodes) of the subtree below  $u$ , including  $u$  itself, and let  $u.left$  and  $u.right$  denote the left and right child of  $u$ , respectively. We say that  $u$  is  $\alpha$ -balanced (for some constant  $1/2 < \alpha < 1$ ) if  $size(u.left) \leq \alpha \cdot size(u)$  and  $size(u.right) \leq \alpha \cdot size(u)$ .

Insertions and deletions are done as in an ordinary binary tree, but without rotations. More precisely, an insertion simply adds a new leaf. To delete a node  $v$ , if  $v$  is a leaf or an internal node with only one child, we delete it directly; otherwise, we find the largest element in  $v$ 's left subtree, use it to replace  $v$ , and delete that element.

After an insertion or deletion, we find the highest node that is out of balance, and simply rebuild the whole subtree under that node.

- (a) Show that a subtree can be rebuilt in linear time (linear to the subtree size).
- (b) Show that the amortized cost of each insertion/deletion is  $O(\log n)$ , where  $n$  is the size of the whole tree.

Problem A (20 pts) Recall that in the dynamic table problem, we used the following strategy ( $\alpha$  is the load factor): When  $\alpha = 1$ , we rebuild a table doubling its original size; when  $\alpha = 1/4$ , we halve its size. Observe that using this strategy, we use at most  $4n$  space, where  $n$  is the number of elements stored in the table. This may not look good on very large data sets. Suppose your table is not allowed to use more than  $(1 + \epsilon)n$  space for some  $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$ , how would you modify the strategy? Your modified strategy should guarantee  $O(1/\epsilon)$  amortized cost per insertion/deletion. Note that any temporary storage used during a rebuilding is not counted. You should not treat  $\epsilon$  as a constant in your analysis.