Chapter 9 Problem 7

Andira Putri

In this problem, you will use support vector approaches in order to predict whether a given car gets high or low gas mileage based on the Auto data set.

a. Create a binary variable that takes on a 1 for cars with gas mileage above the median, and a 0 for cars with a gas mileage below the median.

```
#taken from Ch. 4 Problem 11
library(ISLR)
data(Auto)
#set a boundary using median
med=median(Auto$mpg)
#create binary variables
#1 if mpg is above median mpg, 0 otherwise
mpg01=ifelse(Auto$mpg>med,1,0)
#add binary variables to our Auto data set
auto2=data.frame(Auto,mpg01)
```

b. Fit a support vector classifier to the data with various values of cost, in order to predict whether a car gets high or low gas mileage. Report the cross-validation errors associated with different values of this parameter. Comment on your results.

Background on support vector classifiers (SVCs):

Rather than seeking the largest margin (hint: maximal margin classifier), we allow some observations to be on the incorrect side of the margin in support vector classifiers. This gives us greater robustness (not a lot of change in predictions when training set is modified) to individual observations and better classification of most training observations. In other words, misclassifying a few observations is WORTH IT!

SVCs make use of slack variables that allow observations to be on the wrong side of the hyperplane. A tuning parameter C bounds the sum of the slack variables, so it acts as a budget for how many violations we will allow.

- C=0, absolutely no budget for error -> maximal margin classifier
- small C -> low bias, high variance
- large C -> high bias, low variance from large margins and room for violations

CODING

We use the e1071 library to create our SVC. To create a SVC, use the svm() function and use the argument kernel="linear" to differentiate from a support vector machine. We account for the tuning parameter C using the cost argument. Please note that the cost argument is opposite our definition of C previously; a high cost results in narrow margins and therefore smaller room for violations.

```
set.seed(1)
library(e1071)
svc=svm(mpg01~.,data=auto2,kernel="linear",cost=10,scale=FALSE)
```

Now, let's use CV and find the cost value where error is minimized. tune() performs ten-fold cross-validation on our model of interest.

```
tune.out=tune(svm,mpg01~.,data=auto2,kernel="linear",ranges=list(cost=c(0.01,1,5,10)))
summary(tune.out)
```

```
##
## Parameter tuning of 'svm':
##
##
  - sampling method: 10-fold cross validation
##
##
  - best parameters:
##
    cost
##
##
##
  - best performance: 0.07114663
##
## - Detailed performance results:
##
                error dispersion
      cost
## 1 0.01 0.08348075 0.03015789
     1.00 0.07114663 0.02028651
## 3 5.00 0.07806023 0.02413691
## 4 10.00 0.08426130 0.02555851
```

We see that when cost= 1, we have the minimum error.

c. Now repeat (b), this time using SVMs with radial and polynomial basis kernels, with different values of gamma, degree, and cost. Comment on your results.

Support vector machines (SVMs) are almost the same as SVCs, except they have an enlarged feature space to accommodate a non-linear boundary. SVMs make use of basis kernels, which are general representations of the inner product between two observations. In this problem, we extend from the linear kernel of SVCs and make use of the radial and polynomial basis kernels.

CODING

SVMs are implemented generally the same way as SVCs. However, now we have additional arguments for kernel and gamma/degree to think about.

```
#radial kernel
svm.rad=svm(mpg01~.,data=auto2,kernel="radial",gamma=0.5,cost=1,scale=FALSE)
#polynomial kernel
svm.poly=svm(mpg01~.,data=auto2,kernel="polynomial",cost=10,degree=2,scale=FALSE)
```

Again, we use the tune() function to perform ten-fold cross-validation on our SVMs, over a range of values for cost. For radial kernel, we have an additional range of values for gamma. For the polynomial kernel only, we have a range of values for degree.

```
##
## Parameter tuning of 'svm':
##
## - sampling method: 10-fold cross validation
##
## - best parameters:
## cost gamma
## 1 0.5
##
## - best performance: 0.0494452
```

```
##
  - Detailed performance results:
       cost gamma
                       error dispersion
              0.5 0.40894884 0.04580611
## 1
       0.01
## 2
       1.00
              0.5 0.04944520 0.01577709
## 3
      5.00
              0.5 0.05049183 0.01612975
     10.00
              0.5 0.05105067 0.01576364
      0.01
              1.0 0.48094134 0.04663955
## 5
## 6
       1.00
              1.0 0.09747304 0.01936442
## 7
      5.00
              1.0 0.09937784 0.02034672
## 8
    10.00
              1.0 0.09937784 0.02034672
      0.01
              2.0 0.49740491 0.04644036
## 9
## 10 1.00
              2.0 0.20816385 0.01493330
## 11 5.00
              2.0 0.20859325 0.01513708
## 12 10.00
              2.0 0.20859325 0.01513708
## 13 0.01
              3.0 0.49884416 0.04624527
## 14
      1.00
              3.0 0.23225338 0.01226836
## 15 5.00
              3.0 0.23233516 0.01220982
## 16 10.00
              3.0 0.23233516 0.01220982
tune.poly=tune(svm,mpg01~.,data=auto2,kernel="polynomial",ranges=list(cost=c(0.01,1,5,10),
                                                              degree=c(2,3,4,5)))
summary(tune.poly)
## Parameter tuning of 'svm':
  - sampling method: 10-fold cross validation
##
  - best parameters:
##
    cost degree
##
      10
              2
##
  - best performance: 0.3578685
## - Detailed performance results:
##
       cost degree
                       error dispersion
## 1
       0.01
                 2 0.5302841 0.03204003
       1.00
                 2 0.5088526 0.03218225
## 3
      5.00
                 2 0.4321202 0.04213325
## 4
     10.00
                 2 0.3578685 0.05463216
## 5
      0.01
                 3 0.5304061 0.03204928
## 6
       1.00
                 3 0.5216354 0.03248782
## 7
                 3 0.4873679 0.03522315
      5.00
## 8
     10.00
                 3 0.4472252 0.03975769
## 9
       0.01
                 4 0.5304921 0.03204616
## 10 1.00
                 4 0.5303639 0.03203947
## 11 5.00
                 4 0.5298466 0.03201414
## 12 10.00
                 4 0.5291710 0.03200847
## 13 0.01
                 5 0.5304932 0.03204625
       1.00
## 14
                 5 0.5304727 0.03204801
## 15 5.00
                 5 0.5303901 0.03205511
## 16 10.00
                 5 0.5302868 0.03206403
```

For the radial kernel, the best values for cost and gamma are 1 and 0.5, respectively. For the polynomial kernel, the best values for cost and degree are 10 and 2, respectively.

d. Make some plots to back up your assertions in (b) and (c).