

Comparison of Assembly Code for Polynomial Computation Algorithms

opt_poly (32-bit)

```
poly_opt:
.LFB0:
.cfi_startproc
endbr32
pushl %edi
.cfi_def_cfa_offset 8
.cfi_offset 7, -8
pushl %esi
.cfi_def_cfa_offset 12
.cfi_offset 6, -12
pushl %ebx
.cfi_def_cfa_offset 16
.cfi_offset 3, -16
subl $40, %esp
.cfi_def_cfa_offset 56
fldl 60(%esp)
movl 68(%esp), %esi
movl 56(%esp), %edx
leal -1(%esi), %eax
fld %st(0)
fmul %st(1), %st
fstpl (%esp)
fldl (%esp)
fstpt 8(%esp)
fldt 8(%esp)
fmul %st(1), %st
fstpl (%esp)
fldl (%esp)
fstpt 24(%esp)
fldt 24(%esp)
fmul %st(1), %st
fstpl (%esp)
fldl (%esp)
fld %st(0)
fmul %st(2), %st
fstpl (%esp)
fldl (%esp)
fld %st(0)
fmul %st(3), %st
fstpl (%esp)
fldl (%esp)
fld %st(0)
fmul %st(4), %st
fstpl (%esp)
fldl (%esp)
fld %st(0)
fmul %st(5), %st
fstpl (%esp)
```

```

fldl  (%edx,%esi,8)
cml  $6, %eax
jle  .L10
fldl  (%esp)
fxch  %st(1)
leal  -8(%esi), %ebx
leal  0(,%esi,8), %ecx
shrl  $3, %ebx
leal  -64(%edx,%ecx), %eax
leal  -128(%edx,%ecx), %ecx
movl  %ebx, %edi
sall  $6, %edi
subl  %edi, %ecx
fstpl (%esp)
.p2align 4,,10
.p2align 3
.L3:
fld  %st(5)
fmull 8(%eax)
subl  $64, %eax
faddl 64(%eax)
fldt  8(%esp)
fmull 80(%eax)
faddp %st, %st(1)
fldt  24(%esp)
fmull 88(%eax)
faddp %st, %st(1)
fld  %st(5)
fmull 96(%eax)
faddp %st, %st(1)
fld  %st(4)
fmull 104(%eax)
faddp %st, %st(1)
fld  %st(3)
fmull 112(%eax)
faddp %st, %st(1)
fld  %st(2)
fmull 120(%eax)
faddp %st, %st(1)
fldl  (%esp)
fmul  %st(2), %st
faddp %st, %st(1)
fstpl (%esp)
cml  %eax, %ecx
jne  .L3
fstp  %st(0)
fstp  %st(0)
fstp  %st(0)
fstp  %st(0)
fstp  %st(0)
fldl  (%esp)
negl  %ebx
leal  -9(%esi,%ebx,8), %eax
jmp  .L2
.p2align 4,,10
.p2align 3

```

```

.L10:
    fstp  %st(4)
    fstp  %st(0)
    fstp  %st(0)
    fstp  %st(0)
    .p2align 4,,10
    .p2align 3
.L2:
    testl %eax, %eax
    js    .L11
    .p2align 4,,10
    .p2align 3
.L5:
    fmul  %st(1), %st
    faddl (%edx,%eax,8)
    subl  $1, %eax
    fstpl (%esp)
    fldl  (%esp)
    cmpl  $-1, %eax
    jne   .L5
    fstp  %st(1)
    jmp   .L1
    .p2align 4,,10
    .p2align 3
.L11:
    fstp  %st(1)
.L1:
    addl  $40, %esp
    .cfi_def_cfa_offset 16
    popl  %ebx
    .cfi_restore 3
    .cfi_def_cfa_offset 12
    popl  %esi
    .cfi_restore 6
    .cfi_def_cfa_offset 8
    popl  %edi
    .cfi_restore 7
    .cfi_def_cfa_offset 4
    ret
    .cfi_endproc

```

opt_poly (64-bit)

poly_opt:

.LFB0:

.cfi_startproc

endbr64

movapd %xmm0, %xmm3

movapd %xmm0, %xmm4

movapd %xmm0, %xmm5

mulsd %xmm0, %xmm3

movapd %xmm0, %xmm6

movapd %xmm0, %xmm7

movapd %xmm0, %xmm8

leaq 0(,%rsi,8), %r8

movapd %xmm0, %xmm9

leaq (%rdi,%r8), %rax

leaq -1(%rsi), %rdx

movapd %xmm0, %xmm2

movsd (%rax), %xmm0

mulsd %xmm3, %xmm4

mulsd %xmm4, %xmm5

mulsd %xmm5, %xmm6

mulsd %xmm6, %xmm7

mulsd %xmm7, %xmm8

mulsd %xmm8, %xmm9

cmpq \$6, %rdx

jle .L2

leaq -8(%rsi), %rcx

leaq -64(%rdi,%r8), %rdx

shrq \$3, %rcx

movq %rcx, %r8

salq \$6, %r8

subq %r8, %rdx

.p2align 4,,10

.p2align 3

.L3:

movsd -48(%rax), %xmm10

movapd %xmm0, %xmm1

movsd -56(%rax), %xmm0

subq \$64, %rax

mulsd %xmm9, %xmm1

mulsd %xmm3, %xmm10

mulsd %xmm2, %xmm0

addsd (%rax), %xmm0

addsd %xmm10, %xmm0

movsd 24(%rax), %xmm10

mulsd %xmm4, %xmm10

addsd %xmm10, %xmm0

movsd 32(%rax), %xmm10

mulsd %xmm5, %xmm10

addsd %xmm10, %xmm0

movsd 40(%rax), %xmm10

mulsd %xmm6, %xmm10

addsd %xmm10, %xmm0

movsd 48(%rax), %xmm10

mulsd %xmm7, %xmm10

```

addsd %xmm10, %xmm0
movsd 56(%rax), %xmm10
mulsd %xmm8, %xmm10
addsd %xmm10, %xmm0
addsd %xmm1, %xmm0
cmpq %rax, %rdx
jne .L3
negq %rcx
leaq -9(%rsi,%rcx,8), %rdx
.L2:
testq %rdx, %rdx
js .L1
.p2align 4,,10
.p2align 3
.L5:
mulsd %xmm2, %xmm0
addsd (%rdi,%rdx,8), %xmm0
subq $1, %rdx
cmpq $-1, %rdx
jne .L5
.L1:
ret
.cfi_endproc

```

Comparison

Both version of the algorithm was compiled with the -O2 flag for gcc.

The first thing that jumps out in the difference between the two is the lack of xmm registers being used in the 32-bit version. Instead, the 32-bit assembly code uses the stack to store values, especially in the case of my code where I pre-compute powers of x and store them locally in the function before using them.

Aside from that, memory access is much more prevalent in the 32-bit version compared to the 64-bit version. There are a lot more operations moving element to and from the stack in the 32-bit version, therefore likely increasing the number of cycles.