## **GroupRepresentation 8**

## 白永乐

202011150087

202011150087@mail.bnu.edu.cn

2023 年 11 月 17 日

ROBEM I R is a ring with identity element. If every non zero element in R is inversible, we call R is division ring. Prove: if D is a division ring, then  $M_n(D)$  is a monocycle.

SOLITON. Assume I is a non-zero two-sided ideal of  $M_n(D)$ , now we only need to prove  $I = M_n(D)$ . Only need to prove  $E_{ij} \in I$ ,  $\forall i, j$ . Since  $I \neq \{0\}$ , assume  $A \in I$  and  $A_{st} \neq 0$ . Then  $\forall i, j, E_{is}AE_{tj} \in I$ . i.e.,  $a_{st}E_{ij} \in I$ . So  $a_{st}^{-1}I_na_{st}E_{ij} \in I$ , i.e.,  $E_{ij} \in I$ . So  $I = M_n(D)$ .

ROBEM II V is right module of division ring D, let  $\operatorname{Hom}_D(V, V)$  is the set of all module isomorphic of V. Given  $\dim_D V = n$ , prove that  $\operatorname{Hom}_D(V, V) \cong M_n(D)$ .

SOLTION. Assume  $\{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$  is a maximal linearly independent set of V. First we prove  $\forall x \in V, \exists ! d_1, \dots, d_n \in D$  such that  $x = \sum_{k=1}^n d_k a_k$ .

Existence: Since A is maximal linearly independent set, we have  $A \cup \{x\}$  is not linearly independent. So  $\exists t_1, \dots, t_n, t \in D$  such that  $\sum_{k=1}^n t_k a_k + tx = 0$  and  $t_1, \dots, t_n, t$  are not all 0. If t = 0, then we get  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  are not linearly independent, contradiction! So  $t \neq 0$ . Since D is division ring, we get  $\exists w \in D$  such that tw = wt = 1. Let  $d_k = -wt_k, k = 1, \dots, n$ , then  $\sum_{k=1}^n d_k a_k = \sum_{k=1}^n -wd_k a_k = wt = x$ .

Uniqueness: If  $x = \sum_{k=1}^{n} d_k a_k = \sum_{k=1}^{n} t_k a_k$ , then  $\sum_{k=1}^{n} (d_k - t_k) a_k = 0$ . Since  $a_k, k = 1, \dots, n$  is linearly independent, we get  $d_k - t_k = 0, k = 1, \dots, n$ . So the form is unique.

Now let  $f_j: V \to D, \sum_{k=1}^n d_k a_k \mapsto d_j$ , for  $j=1,\dots,n$ . Then  $f_k$  is well-defined. Let  $F: \operatorname{Hom}_D(V,V) \to M_n(D), F(\varphi)_{ij} := f_i(\varphi(a_j))$ . Now we prove F is isomorphic.

Since  $\varphi(\sum_{k=1}^n d_k a_k) = \sum_{k=1}^n d_k \varphi(a_k)$ , so  $\varphi(\sum_{k=1}^n d_k a_k)_i = \sum_{k=1}^n d_k F(\varphi)_{ik}$ . So  $\varphi$  can be repersented by  $F(\varphi)$ , so F is injection.

For  $A \in M_n(D)$ , assume  $A = (a_{ij})$ . Let  $\varphi(\sum_{k=1}^n d_k a_k)_i := \sum_{k=1}^n d_k a_{ik}$ . Easily we get  $\varphi \in \text{Hom}_D(V, V)$ . And  $F(\varphi) = A$ . So F is surjective.

Easily  $F(\varphi\psi)_{ij} = f_i(\varphi\psi a_j) = f_i(\varphi\sum_{k=1}^n f_k(\psi a_j)a_k) = \sum_{k=1}^n F(\varphi)_{ik}F(\psi)_{kj}$ . So  $F(\varphi\psi) = F(\varphi)F(\psi)$ . And obviously  $F(a\varphi + b\psi) = aF(\varphi) + bF(\psi)$ .

So all in all we get F is a isomorphic.