

ALGEBRAIC GEOMETRY

白永乐

SID: 202011150087

202011150087@mail.bnu.edu.cn

2023 年 9 月 24 日

PROBLEM I Let R be a Abel ring, \mathfrak{a} is an ideal of R , and $\sqrt{\mathfrak{a}} := \{x \in R : \exists n \in \mathbb{N}, x^n \in \mathfrak{a}\}$. Prove that:

1. $\sqrt{\mathfrak{a}}$ is ideal.
2. $\sqrt{\sqrt{\mathfrak{a}}} = \sqrt{\mathfrak{a}}$.
3. $\sqrt{\mathfrak{a}}$ is the smallest radical ideal contain \mathfrak{a} .
4. If \mathfrak{p} is prime ideal, then \mathfrak{p} is radical.
5. $\sqrt{\mathfrak{a}} = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{P}} \mathfrak{p}$, where \mathcal{P} is the set of all prime ideal contains \mathfrak{a} .

SOLUTION. 1. $\forall a, b \in \sqrt{\mathfrak{a}}, \exists m, n \in \mathbb{N}, a^m, b^n \in \mathfrak{a}$. Consider $a-b$, we have $(a-b)^{m+n} = \sum_{k=0}^{m+n} \binom{m+n}{k} a^k b^{m+n-k}$.

Since $k + m + n - k = m + n$, so $k \geq m$ or $m + n - k \geq n$. So $(a-b)^{m+n} \in \mathfrak{a}$ and thus $a-b \in \sqrt{\mathfrak{a}}$.

$\forall a \in \sqrt{\mathfrak{a}}, b \in R, (ab)^n = a^n b^n$. So $ab \in \sqrt{\mathfrak{a}}$.

2. Obviously $\sqrt{\mathfrak{a}} \subset \sqrt{\sqrt{\mathfrak{a}}}$, so only need to prove $\sqrt{\sqrt{\mathfrak{a}}} \subset \sqrt{\mathfrak{a}}$. Consider $a \in \sqrt{\sqrt{\mathfrak{a}}}, \exists n \in \mathbb{N}, a^n \in \sqrt{\mathfrak{a}}, \exists m \in \mathbb{N}, (a^n)^m \in \mathfrak{a}$. Thus $a^{mn} \in \mathfrak{a}$, so $a \in \sqrt{\mathfrak{a}}$. So $\sqrt{\mathfrak{a}} = \sqrt{\sqrt{\mathfrak{a}}}$.
3. Let \mathfrak{b} is a radical ideal contains \mathfrak{a} , then $\forall a \in \sqrt{\mathfrak{a}}, \exists n \in \mathbb{N}, a^n \in \mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{b}$. Since \mathfrak{b} is radical, we get $a \in \mathfrak{b}$. So $\sqrt{\mathfrak{a}} \subset \mathfrak{b}$. Noting we have proved $\sqrt{\mathfrak{a}}$ is radical in I.2, so it's the smallest.
4. $\forall a \in \sqrt{\mathfrak{p}}, \exists n \in \mathbb{N}, a^n \in \mathfrak{p}$. Since \mathfrak{p} is prime, so $a \in \mathfrak{p}$.
5. From I.3 and I.4 we get $\sqrt{\mathfrak{a}} \subset \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{P}} \mathfrak{p}$, so we only need to prove $\bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{P}} \mathfrak{p} \subset \sqrt{\mathfrak{a}}$. If not, then $\exists a \notin \sqrt{\mathfrak{a}}, \forall \mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{P}, a \in \mathfrak{p}$. Let \mathcal{I} is the set of all ideal contains \mathfrak{a} and not contains any of $a^n, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since (\mathcal{I}, \subset) is partial order, and obviously every chain has upper bound(use union), and $\mathcal{I} \neq \emptyset (\mathfrak{a} \in \mathcal{I})$. So there is a maximal element in \mathcal{I} (by Zorn's lemma). Assume $\mathfrak{q} \in \mathcal{I}$ is maximal element, we will prove \mathfrak{q} is prime ideal. If not, then $\exists x, y \notin \mathfrak{q}, xy \in \mathfrak{q}$. Since \mathfrak{q} is maximal, then $(\mathfrak{q}, x), (\mathfrak{q}, y)$ contains some a^n . Assume $a^n = q_1 + xt_1, a^m = q_2 + yt_2, q_1, q_2 \in \mathfrak{q}, t_1, t_2 \in R$.

Then $a^{m+n} = q_1(q_2 + yt_2) + q_2xt_1 + xyt_1t_2 \in \mathfrak{q}$, contradiction with the definition of \mathcal{I} ! So $\mathfrak{q} \in \mathcal{P}$. But $a \notin \mathfrak{q}$, contradiction with the assumption that $a \in \mathfrak{p} \forall \mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{P}$! So $\bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{P}} \mathfrak{p} \subset \sqrt{\mathfrak{a}}$. \square

PROBLEM II An algebraically field is not finite field.

SOLUTION. Assume F is a finite, consider $f(x) = \prod_{a \in F} (x - a) + 1 \in F[x]$, easily prove $f(x)$ has no root in F . \square

PROBLEM III Let $A = K[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$, and $m_p = (x_1 - a_1, \dots, x_n - a_n), p = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) \in A_K^n$. Then m is max ideal.

Lemma 1. If $f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in K[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$, $f(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) = 0$, then $f = \sum_{k=1}^n (x_k - a_k) f_k(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$.

证明. Use MI to n . When $n = 1$ it's obvious. If for some certain n it's right, when goes to $n+1$: Let $g(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) := f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, a_{n+1}) \in K[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$. Then $g(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) = 0$, so $g(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{k=1}^n (x_k - a_k) g_i(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$. Let $h(x_{n+1}) := f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n+1}) - g(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in K[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n][x_{n+1}]$, then $h(a_{n+1}) = 0$. So $h(x_{n+1}) = (x_{n+1} - a_{n+1}) h_1(x_{n+1})$ for some $h_1(x_{n+1}) \in K[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n][x_{n+1}]$. Then $f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n+1}) = \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} (x_i - a_i) f_i(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n+1})$, where $f_k(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n+1}) = g_k(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n), k = 1, 2, \dots, n$, and $f_{n+1}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n+1}) = h_1(x_{n+1})$. \square

SOLUTION. Obviously m_p is ideal, so we only need to prove it's max. Consider $\phi : K[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n] \rightarrow K, f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \mapsto f(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$. Obviously it's a homomorphism, consider $\ker \phi$. Obviously $m_p \subset \ker \phi$, now we prove $\ker \phi \subset m_p$. Assume $f \in \ker \phi$, then $f(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) = 0$. Use Lemma 1 we get $f \in \ker \phi$. So $m_p = \ker \phi$. So $R/m_p \cong K$ is a field, thus m_p is max ideal. \square

PROBLEM IV $A \subset B \subset C$ are Abel rings. If B is f.g. A -module and C is f.g. B -module, then C is f.g. A -module, too.

SOLUTION. Let $\{b_i : i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ is a basis of B over A , and $\{c_i : i = 1, 2, \dots, m\}$ is a basis of C over B . Then for $c \in C, \exists x_i \in B$ such that $c = \sum_{i=1}^m x_i c_i$. And $\exists y_{ij} \in A$ such that $x_i = \sum_{j=1}^n y_{ij} b_j$. So $c = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n y_{ij} b_j c_i$. That means $\{b_j c_i : j = 1, 2, \dots, n, i = 1, 2, \dots, m\}$ is a basis of C over A . \square

PROBLEM V If x is integral over A then $A[x]$ is f.g. A -module.

SOLUTION. Assume $x^n + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} -a_k x^k = 0, a_k \in A$. Then we prove $\{x^k : k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ is a basis of $A[x]$. Only need to prove $x^m, m \in \mathbb{N}$ can be represented. Use MI to m . When $m \leq n-1$ it's obvious. Assume for certain $m \geq n, \forall k < m, x^k$ can be represented, then for m , we have $x^m = x^{m-n} x^n = x^{m-n} \sum_{t=0}^{n-1} a_t x^t = \sum_{t=0}^{n-1} a_t x^{t+m-n}$. Since $t+m-n \leq n-1+m-n = m-1 < m$, we get x^k can be represented, so $\sum_{t=0}^{n-1} a_t x^{t+m-n}$ can be represented. i.e., x^m can be represented. So $\{x^k : k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ is basis. \square

PROBLEM VI Let R be an integral domain, finitely generated over a field k . If R has transcendence degree n over k , then there exist elements $x_1, \dots, x_n \in R$, algebraically independent over k , such that R is integrally dependent on the subring $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ generated by the x 's.

SOLUTION.

