## ROBEM I Prove that solution of equation

$$x^{2} + y^{2} = z^{4}, \gcd(x, y) = 1, x > 0, y > 0, z > 0, 2 \mid x$$

is

$$\begin{cases} x = 4ab(a^2 - b^2) \\ y = |a^4 + b^4 - 6a^2b^2| \\ z = a^2 + b^2 \end{cases}$$

where  $a > 0, b > 0, \gcd(a, b) = 1, a \not\equiv b \mod 2$ .

SOLION. On one hand, we know  $x, y, z^2$  is solution of Pythagorean equation, so there exists  $s, t \in \mathbb{N}^+, s > t, \gcd(s, t) = 1, s \not\equiv t \mod 2$ , such that

$$\begin{cases} x = 2st \\ y = s^2 - t^2 \\ z^2 = s^2 + t^2 \end{cases}$$

For convinence we dismiss the condition s > t and let  $y = |s^2 - t^2|$  instead. Now s, t are symmetry, so without loss of generality assume  $2 \mid s$ , then (s, t, z) is solution of Pythagorean equation, so  $\exists a, b \in \mathbb{N}^+, \gcd(a, b) = 1, a \not\equiv b \mod 2, a > b$ , such that

$$\begin{cases} s = 2ab \\ t = a^2 - b^2 \\ z = a^2 + b^2 \end{cases}$$

So  $x = 2st = 2 \times 2ab \times (a^2 - b^2) = 4ab(a^2 - b^2)$ , and  $y = |s^2 - t^2| = |4a^2b^2 - a^4 - b^4 + 2a^2b^2| = |a^4 + b^4 - 6a^2b^2|$ , and  $z = a^2 + b^2$ .

On the other hand, it is easy to check that for  $x = 4ab(a^2 - b^2)$ ,  $y = |a^4 + b^4 - 6a^2b^2|$ ,  $z = a^2 + b^2$  we have  $x^2 + y^2 = z^4$ . And since  $\gcd(a,b) = 1$  we get  $\gcd(x,y) \mid \gcd(2,y)^2 \gcd(a,y) \gcd(b,y) \gcd(a+b,y) \gcd(a-b,y) = \gcd(2,a^4+b^4)^2 \gcd(a,b^4) \gcd(b,a^4) \gcd(a+b,4a^4) \gcd(a-b,4a^4)$ . Since  $a \not\equiv b$  mod 2 we get  $\gcd(2,a^4+b^4) = 1$ . Easily to know  $\gcd(a,b^4) = \gcd(b,a^4) = 1$ . And  $\gcd(a\pm b,4a^4) \mid \gcd(a\pm b,2)^2 \gcd(a\pm b,a)^4 = 1$ . Finally we get  $\gcd(x,y) = 1$ . Easy to check x,y,z>0 and  $z \mid x$ . All in all, they are all solution of the given equation.

## ROBEM II Find a method to judge whether a number can be divided by 37, 101.

SOLTON. Noting  $1000 \equiv 1 \mod 37$ , so we can use 1000-binary. Assume  $n = \sum_{k=0}^{m} a_k 1000^k$  and  $0 \le a_k < 1000$ . Then  $n \equiv \sum_{k=0}^{m} a_k \mod 37$ . So  $37 \mid n \iff 37 \mid \sum_{k=0}^{m} a_k$ .

For 10-binary, we can combine them as group of three. Assume  $n = \sum_{k=0}^{t} b_k 10^k$ ,  $0 \le b_k < 10$ . Let  $s = \lceil \frac{t}{3} \rceil$  and let  $b_k = 0$  for k > t. Then  $n = \sum_{k=0}^{s} (b_{3k} + 10b_{3k+1} + 100b_{3k+2})1000^k$ . Then  $37 \mid n \iff 37 \mid \sum_{k=0}^{s} b_{3k} + 10b_{3k+1} + 100b_{3k+2}$ .

Noting  $100 \equiv -1 \mod 101$ , so we can consider 100-binary for 101. Assume  $n = \sum_{k=0}^{m} a_k 100^k$  and  $0 \le a_k < 100$ . Then  $n \equiv \sum_{k=0}^{m} (-1)^k a_k \mod 101$ . So  $101 \mid n \iff 101 \mid \sum_{k=0}^{m} (-1)^k a_k$ .

For 10-binary, we can combine them as group of two. Assume  $n = \sum_{k=0}^{t} b_k 10^k$ ,  $0 \le b_k < 10$ . Let  $s = \left\lceil \frac{t}{2} \right\rceil$  and let  $b_k = 0$  for k > t. Then  $n = \sum_{k=0}^{s} (b_{2k} + 10b_{2k+1})100^k$ . Then  $101 \mid n \iff 101 \mid \sum_{k=0}^{s} (-1)^k (b_{2k} + 10b_{2k+1})$ .

**ROBEM** III Assume  $2 \nmid a$ , then  $a^{2^n} \equiv 1 \mod 2^{n+2}$ .

SOLITION. We will prove  $a^{2^n} - 1 = (a^2 - 1) \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} (a^{2^k} + 1)$  first. Prove it by MI to n. When n = 1 there is nothing to do. Assume it holds for certain n, consider n + 1, we get  $a^{2^{n+1}} - 1 = (a^{2^n} + 1)(a^{2^n} - 1) = (a^{2^n} + 1)(a^2 - 1) \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} (a^{2^k} + 1) = (a^2 - 1) \prod_{k=1}^{n} (a^{2^k} + 1)$ . So we get it holds for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$ .

Since  $2 \nmid a$ , assume a = 2b + 1, then  $a^2 - 1 = 4b^2 + 4b = 4b(b+1)$ . Noting  $2 \mid b(b+1)$ , we get  $8 \mid a^2 - 1$ . And easily  $2 \mid a^{2^k} + 1, \forall k \in \mathbb{N}$ . So we get  $8 \times \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} 2 \mid a^{2^n} - 1$ , i.e.,  $2^{n+2} \mid a^{2^n} - 1$ . Finally we get  $a^{2^n} \equiv 1 \mod 2^{n+2}$ .

ROBEM IV Let p be a prime and s,t be integers and  $t \leq s$ . Prove that  $(u+p^{s-t}v:0 \leq u \leq p^{s-t}-1,0 \leq v \leq p^t-1)$  is a Complete residue system of  $p^s$ .

SOUTION. Since there are  $p^{s-t}$  different u and  $p^t - 1$  different v, there are  $p^s$  different elements in total. So we only need to prove any two of them are not equal  $\mod p^s$ .

Assume  $u_1 + p^{s-t}v_1 \equiv u_2 + p^{s-t}v_2 \mod p^s$ , we need to prove  $(u_1, v_1) = (u_2, v_2)$ . Consider mod  $p^{s-t}$ , we get  $u_1 \equiv u_2 \mod p^{s-t}$ . Since  $|u_1 - u_2| \leq p^{s-t} - 1$ , we easily get  $u_1 = u_2$ . Then  $p^{s-t}v_1 \equiv p^{s-t}v_2 \mod p^s$ . So  $v_1 \equiv v_2 \mod p^t$ . For the same reason since  $|v_1 - v_2| \leq p^t - 1$  we get  $v_1 = v_2$ . So we proved any two of them are not equal  $\mod p^s$ .

So  $(u+p^{s-t}v:0\leq u\leq p^{s-t}-1,0\leq v\leq p^t-1)$  is a Complete residue system of  $p^s$ .

ROBEM V Assume  $m_1, \dots, m_k$  is k integers coprime to each other. Assume  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_k$  is Complete residue of  $m_1, \dots, m_k$  respectively. Let  $m = \prod_{t=1}^k m_t$  and  $M_t := \frac{m}{m_t}, t = 1, \dots, k$ . Prove that  $A := \{\sum_{t=1}^k M_t x_t : x_t \in A_t, t = 1, \dots, k\}$  is a Complete residue of m.

SOLTON. Easily  $|A_t| = m_t$ , so there is m different  $(x_1, \dots, x_k)$ . So we only need to prove for different  $(x_1, \dots, x_k)$  the value of  $\sum_{t=1}^k M_t x_t \mod m$  is different.

Assume  $\sum_{t=1}^k M_t x_t \equiv \sum_{t=1}^k M_t y_t$  and  $x_t, y_t \in A_t, t = 1, \dots, k$ . Now we need to prove  $(x_1, \dots, x_k) = (y_1, \dots, y_k)$ . Noting for  $i \neq j$  we have  $m_i \mid M_j$ . So we consider  $\mod m_i$ , we get  $M_i x_i \equiv M_i y_i \mod m_i$ . Then  $m_i \mid M_i (x_i - y_i)$ . Since  $\gcd(m_i, m_j) = 1$  for  $i \neq j$ , we get  $\gcd(m_i, M_i) = 1$ . So  $m_i \mid x_i - y_i$ . Since  $x_i, y_i \in A_i$  and  $A_i$  is Complete residue of  $m_i$ , we get  $x_i = y_i$ . So  $(x_1, \dots, x_k) = (y_1, \dots, y_k)$ .

So finally we get A is Complete residue of m.

ROBEM VI Let  $H = \frac{3^{n+1}-1}{3-1}$ . Let  $I = \{(x_0, \dots, x_n) : x_k \in \{-1, 0, 1\}, k = 0, \dots, n\}$ . Let  $f : I \to N := [-H, H] \cap \mathbb{Z}$ , and  $f(x_0, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{k=0}^n x_k 3^k$ . Prove that f is bijection. Thus, we can use n+1 weights and a balance to weigh all integer weights between 1 and H.

SOLION. First we prove f is well-defined. i.e.,  $\forall (x_0, \dots, x_n) \in I, -H \leq \sum_{k=0}^n x_k 3^k \leq H$ . Since  $x_k = -1, 0, 1$ , we get  $\sum_{k=0}^n x_k 3^k \leq \sum_{k=0}^n 1 \times 3^k = \frac{3^{n+1}-1}{3-1} = H$ . For the same reason, we get  $\sum_{k=0}^n x_k 3^k \geq -H$ .

Second we will prove f is injection. Assume  $x, y \in I$  and f(x) = f(y), i.e.,  $\sum_{k=0}^{n} x_k 3^k = \sum_{k=0}^{n} y_k 3^k$ , we need to prove x = y. If  $x \neq y$ , then assume  $m = \min\{t : x_t \neq y_t\}$ . Then  $x_t = y_t, \forall t < m$ . So  $\sum_{k=m}^{n} x_k 3^k = \sum_{k=m}^{n} y_k 3^k$ . Consider  $\mod 3^{m+1}$ , we get  $x_m 3^m \equiv y_m 3^m \mod 3^{m+1}$ , i.e.,  $x_m \equiv y_m \mod 3$ . But  $x_m, y_m \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$  and  $x_m \neq y_m$ , contradiction! So x = y and thus f is injection.

Finally we prove f is surjection. Since  $|I|=3^{n+1}$ , and  $|N|=2H+1=3^{n+1}$ , we get  $|I|=|N|<\infty$ . Noting we have proved f is injection, so f is surjection.

All in all, f is bijection.

Now we use n+1 weights,  $3^0, 3^1, \dots, 3^n$ . For every integer  $n: 1 \le n \le H$ , we know there is a  $x \in I$  such that f(x) = n. Let  $L := \{t: x_t = 1\}$  and  $R = \{t: x_t = -1\}$ , put the thing to weight on right, and put weights in R on right, then put weights in L on left, we can weigh this thing out if it's weight is n.

ROBEM VII Assume  $m_1, \dots, m_k$  is k integers coprime to each other. Assume  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_k$  is Complete residue of  $m_1, \dots, m_k$  respectively. Let  $m = \prod_{t=1}^k m_t$  and  $M_t := \prod_{i=1}^{t-1} m_i, t = 1, \dots, k$ . Prove that  $A := \{\sum_{t=1}^k M_t x_t : x_t \in A_t, t = 1, \dots, k\}$  is a Complete residue of m.

SOUTION. Easily  $|A_t| = m_t$ , so there is m different  $(x_1, \dots, x_k)$ . So we only need to prove for different  $(x_1, \dots, x_k)$  the value of  $\sum_{t=1}^k M_t x_t \mod m$  is different.

Assume  $\sum_{t=1}^k M_t x_t \equiv \sum_{t=1}^k \overline{M_t} y_t$  and  $x_t, y_t \in A_t, t = 1, \dots, k$ . Now we need to prove  $(x_1, \dots, x_k) = (y_1, \dots, y_k)$ . Noting for i < j we have  $m_i \mid M_j$ . If  $(x_1, \dots, x_k) \neq (y_1, \dots, y_k)$ , then let  $i = \min\{t : x_t \neq y_t\}$ . Then  $\sum_{t=i}^k M_t x_t = \sum_{t=i}^k M_t y_t$ . Consider mod  $m_i$ , we get  $M_i x_i \equiv M_i y_i \mod m_i$ . Then  $m_i \mid M_i (x_i - y_i)$ . Since  $\gcd(m_i, m_j) = 1$  for i > j, we get  $\gcd(m_i, M_i) = 1$ . So  $m_i \mid x_i - y_i$ . Since  $x_i, y_i \in A_i$  and  $A_i$  is Complete residue of  $m_i$ , we get  $x_i = y_i$ . So  $(x_1, \dots, x_k) = (y_1, \dots, y_k)$ .

So finally we get A is Complete residue of m.