

## **G** OPEN ACCESS

**Citation:** Gijsen S, Grundei M, Lange RT, Ostwald D, Blankenburg F (2021) Neural surprise in somatosensory Bayesian learning. PLoS Comput Biol 17(2): e1008068. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1008068

**Editor:** Philipp Schwartenbeck, UCL, UNITED KINGDOM

Received: June 12, 2020

Accepted: December 18, 2020

Published: February 2, 2021

**Peer Review History:** PLOS recognizes the benefits of transparency in the peer review process; therefore, we enable the publication of all of the content of peer review and author responses alongside final, published articles. The editorial history of this article is available here: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1008068

**RESEARCH ARTICLE** 

## Neural surprise in somatosensory Bayesian learning

Sam Gijsen 61,46 \*, Miro Grundei 61,46 \*, Robert T. Lange 2,5, Dirk Ostwald 63, Felix Blankenburg 1

- 1 Neurocomputation and Neuroimaging Unit, Freie Universität Berlin, Germany, 2 Berlin Institute of Technology, Berlin, Germany, 3 Computational Cognitive Neuroscience, Freie Universität Berlin, Germany, 4 Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, Faculty of Philosophy, Berlin School of Mind and Brain, Berlin, Germany, 5 Einstein Center for Neurosciences, Berlin, Germany
- These authors contributed equally to this work.
- \* sam.gijsen@fu-berlin.de (SG); m.grundei@fu-berlin.de (MG)

## **Abstract**

Tracking statistical regularities of the environment is important for shaping human behavior and perception. Evidence suggests that the brain learns environmental dependencies using Bayesian principles. However, much remains unknown about the employed algorithms, for somesthesis in particular. Here, we describe the cortical dynamics of the somatosensory learning system to investigate both the form of the generative model as well as its neural surprise signatures. Specifically, we recorded EEG data from 40 participants subjected to a somatosensory roving-stimulus paradigm and performed single-trial modeling across peristimulus time in both sensor and source space. Our Bayesian model selection procedure indicates that evoked potentials are best described by a non-hierarchical learning model that tracks transitions between observations using leaky integration. From around 70ms post-stimulus onset, secondary somatosensory cortices are found to represent confidencecorrected surprise as a measure of model inadequacy. Indications of Bayesian surprise encoding, reflecting model updating, are found in primary somatosensory cortex from around 140ms. This dissociation is compatible with the idea that early surprise signals may control subsequent model update rates. In sum, our findings support the hypothesis that early somatosensory processing reflects Bayesian perceptual learning and contribute to an understanding of its underlying mechanisms.