Logical equivalence identities

(Some) logical equivalences

Can replace p and q with any compound proposition

$$\neg(\neg p) \equiv p$$

Double negation

$$p \lor q \equiv q \lor p \qquad \qquad p \land q \equiv q \land p$$

$$p \wedge q \equiv q \wedge p$$

Commutativity Ordering of terms

$$(p \lor q) \lor r \equiv p \lor (q \lor r)$$

$$(p \wedge q) \wedge r \equiv p \wedge (q \wedge r)$$

 $(p \lor q) \lor r \equiv p \lor (q \lor r)$ $(p \land q) \land r \equiv p \land (q \land r)$ Associativity Grouping of terms

$$p \wedge F \equiv F$$

$$p \lor T \equiv T \quad p \land T \equiv p$$

$$p \vee F \equiv p$$

 $p \wedge F \equiv F$ $p \vee T \equiv T$ $p \wedge T \equiv p$ $p \vee F \equiv p$ **Domination** aka short circuit evaluation

$$\neg (p \land q) \equiv \neg p \lor \neg q \qquad \qquad \neg (p \lor q) \equiv \neg p \land \neg q$$

$$\neg (p \lor q) \equiv \neg p \land \neg q$$

DeMorgan's Laws

$$p \to q \equiv \neg p \lor q$$

$$p \rightarrow q \equiv \neg q \rightarrow \neg p$$
 Contrapositive

$$\neg(p \to q) \equiv p \land \neg q$$

$$\neg(p \leftrightarrow q) \equiv p \oplus q$$

$$p \leftrightarrow q \equiv q \leftrightarrow p$$

Extra examples:

 $p \leftrightarrow q$ is not logically equivalent to $p \land q$ because

 $p \to q$ is not logically equivalent to $q \to p$ because _____

Logical operators example truth table

| Input | | t | Output | | | | | |
|----------------|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| p | q | r | $\mid (p \wedge q) \oplus (\ (p \oplus q) \wedge r\) \mid (p \wedge q) \lor (\ (p \oplus q) \wedge r\)$ | | | | | |
| \overline{T} | T | T | | | | | | |
| T | T | F | | | | | | |
| T | F | T | | | | | | |
| T | F | F | | | | | | |
| F | T | T | | | | | | |
| F | T | F | | | | | | |
| F | F | T | | | | | | |
| F | F | F | | | | | | |

Logical equivalence

Logical equivalence: Two compound propositions are logically equivalent means that they have the same truth values for all settings of truth values to their propositional variables.

Tautology: A compound proposition that evaluates to true for all settings of truth values to its propositional variables; it is abbreviated T.

Contradiction: A compound proposition that evaluates to false for all settings of truth values to its propositional variables; it is abbreviated F.

Contingency: A compound proposition that is neither a tautology nor a contradiction.

Logical equivalence extra example

Extra Example: Which of the compound propositions in the table below are logically equivalent?

| Input | | Output | | | | | | |
|----------------|---|-------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|------------------------|----------------|--|--|
| p | q | $\neg (p \land \neg q)$ | $\neg (\neg p \lor \neg q)$ | $(\neg p \lor q)$ | $(\neg q \lor \neg p)$ | $(p \wedge q)$ | | |
| \overline{T} | T | | | | | | | |
| T | F | | | | | | | |
| F | T | | | | | | | |
| F | F | | | | | | | |