# Comprehensive LLM Self-Assessment Evaluation

Parameter	Details
Prompt	"I've been training a CNN model
	on the FairFace dataset for age
	classification, but my CPU usage is
	constantly hitting 100%, and the
	training is painfully slow. I have a
	GPU available but haven't set
	things up for it yet. Should I shift
	the workload to the GPU? And if
	so, what specific steps would I
	need to take to migrate from CPU
	to GPU in TensorFlow (or
	PyTorch if that's easier)?"
Prompt Type	Zero-Shot Prompts
Answer	[Response from Gemini truncated
	for length]
Model Evaluated	Gemini
Evaluation Performed By	ChatGPT

#### Core Self-Assessment Metrics

Metric	Score (1-10)	Interpretation	Key Evidence
Confidence- Performance Correlation	7	Very Good Alignment	Model shows strong correlation between confidence and correctness, but some overconfident statements exist.
Calibration Error	6	Good Calibration	Some inaccuracies in confidence levels, particularly in procedural recommendations.
Task Difficulty Awareness	5	Moderate Awareness	Covers the key issues but does not fully address alternative solutions.

Metric	Score (1-10)	Interpretation	Key Evidence
Error	6	Good	Identifies errors in
Recognition			version mismatches
			but lacks
			self-correction for
			specific
_			recommendations.
Domain-	5	Moderate	Response quality is
Specific			consistent but
Variance			struggles with
			nuanced
			compatibility
<b>.</b>		G 1	issues.
Prompt	6	Good	Addresses multiple
Sensitivity			aspects of the
			prompt, but lacks
			structured
			troubleshooting
<b>33</b> 7-2-1-4 - J	6.1	Good	guidance. $WSAS =$
Weighted Self-	0.1	G000	
Assessment			$(CPC \times 0.25) + (C_2 \times 0.25) +$
Score			$(Cal \times 0.25) + (DA \times 0.15) +$
Score			$(ER\times0.15)$ +
			$(DSV\times0.13) + (DSV\times0.1) +$
			$(PS \times 0.1) + (PS \times 0.1)$
			(1 5 \ 0.1)

### Technical Accuracy Assessment

Category	Accuracy	Notes
Factual Claims	85%	Mostly correct but minor inconsistencies in
		TensorFlow version dependencies.
Procedural	75%	Some steps lack
Recommendations		explicit verification (e.g., CUDA installation details).
Inferences/Opinions	80%	Logical, but lacks discussion on potential alternative solutions.

Category	Accuracy	Notes
Overall Accuracy	80%	Some technical imprecisions but broadly correct.

## Self-Assessment Classification

Primary Classification	Contextually Calibrated
Secondary Classifications	Moderate complexity awareness, limited self-correction, strong reasoning transparency.

## Confidence Expression Analysis

Type	Count	Examples	Average Confidence Level
Explicit	4	"Yes, shifting	85%
Confi-		the workload	
dence		to a GPU will	
State-		dramatically	
ments		speed up your	
		CNN training."	
Certainty	5	"Definitely,"	88%
Markers		"Certainly,"	
		"Clearly"	
Hedge	3	"May,"	60%
Words		"Possibly,"	
		"Likely"	
Qualifying	2	"Generally,"	70%
Phrases		"In most cases"	
Overall			81%
Esti-			
mated			
Confi-			
dence			

### Metacognitive Strategies

Strategy	Presence	Effectiveness
Knowledge boundary articulation	Limited	Medium
Confidence calibration	Moderate	Medium

Strategy	Presence	Effectiveness
Reasoning transparency	Strong	High
Alternative consideration	Limited	Low
Information source qualification	Moderate	Medium
Temporal qualification	None	N/A
Logical qualification	Moderate	Medium
Uncertainty decomposition	None	N/A

#### **Key Improvement Recommendations**

- 1. Improve explicit troubleshooting guidance for CUDA and cuDNN setup.
- 2. Reduce overconfidence in procedural steps where multiple versions exist.
- $3. \ \,$  Include more alternative solutions for potential incompatibilities.
- $4.\ \,$  Strengthen self-correction by acknowledging uncertainty in GPU migration issues.
- 5. Increase domain-specific variance handling by addressing edge cases.