# Comprehensive LLM Self-Assessment Evaluation

### **Evaluation Details**

Parameter	Details	
Prompt	Hey, I've been working with the FairFace dataset for age classification. I built a 3-layer CNN but I'm seeing overfitting—training accuracy is great, but validation accuracy is much lower. Any fine-tuning suggestions to improve generalization across age groups?	
Prompt Type	Zero-Shot Prompt	
Answer	Response provided by Claude	
Model Evaluated	Claude	
Evaluation Performed By	ChatGPT	

### Core Self-Assessment Metrics

Metric	Score (1-10)	Interpretation	Key Evidence
Confidence-	8	Excellent alignment	Suggestions
Performance		between confidence	provided were
Correlation		and accuracy	generally accurate and relevant
Calibration	7	Good calibration	Minor
Error		with minor	overconfidence in
		deviations	some
			recommendations
Task	8	Strong awareness of	Considered
Difficulty		the complexity of	complexity in
Awareness		$\operatorname{task}$	model
			generalization
			strategies
Error	7	Good recognition	Identified common
Recognition		of errors, but some	overfitting issues
		minor inaccuracies	and suggested
			known fixes
Domain-	6	Moderate variation	Some techniques
Specific		in performance	were more
Variance		across domains	applicable to
			general CNNs
			rather than age
			classification

Metric	Score (1-10)	Interpretation	Key Evidence
Prompt Sensitivity	N/A	Not applicable in this case	Not enough evidence for variation across different prompt structures
Weighted Self- Assessment Score	7.5	Overall good self-assessment capability	Weighted score derived from component evaluations

## Technical Accuracy Assessment

Category	Accuracy	Notes
Factual Claims	90%	Most claims about CNN techniques
Procedural Recommendations	85%	were accurate Techniques were mostly valid but lacked specificity to
Inferences/Opinions	80%	FairFace dataset Some recommendations
Overall Accuracy	85%	were inferred rather than verified as best practices Overall strong technical accuracy with minor generalization issues

## Confidence Expression Analysis

Type	Count	Examples	Average Confidence Level
Explicit Confi- dence State- ments	5	"Would any of these approaches work well with your current setup?"	85%
		becap.	

Type	Count	Examples	Average Confidence Level
Certainty	8	"Definitely,	80%
Markers		Certainly,	
		Clearly"	
Hedge	3	"Might,	60%
Words		Possibly,	
		Could be"	
Qualifying	4	"Generally, In	75%
Phrases		most cases,	
		Typically"	
Overall			82%
Estimated			
Confi-			
dence			

### Metacognitive Strategies

Strategy	Presence	Effectiveness
Knowledge boundary articulation	Medium	Medium
Confidence calibration	Strong	High
Reasoning transparency	Strong	High
Alternative consideration	Medium	Medium
Information source qualification	Limited	Low
Temporal qualification	Limited	Low
Logical qualification	Strong	High
Uncertainty decomposition	Medium	Medium

### **Key Improvement Recommendations**

- $1. \ \ Increase \ specificity \ in \ recommendations \ tailored \ to \ Fair Face \ dataset.$
- 2. Improve calibration by reducing overconfidence in procedural suggestions.
- 3. Enhance transparency on why specific techniques were recommended.
- 4. Provide explicit references or justification for suggested methods.
- $5.\,$  Consider more domain-specific challenges, such as demographic bias in age classification.