

Brown Corpus

-Dishi Gupta

Introduction

The Brown Corpus was the first million-word electronic corpus of English. Compiled by *W.N. Francis and H. Kucera*, Brown University, the corpus consists of one million words of American English texts printed in 1961. The texts for the corpus were sampled from 15 different text categories to make the corpus a good standard reference. Today, this corpus is considered small, and slightly dated. However, the corpus being still in use, much of its usefulness lies in the fact that the Brown corpus layout has been copied by other corpus compilers. The LOB corpus (British English) and the Kolhapur Corpus (Indian English) are two examples of corpora made to match the Brown corpus. The availability of corpora that are so similar in structure is a valuable resource for researchers interested in comparing different language varieties, for example.

This Standard Corpus of Present-Day American English (the Brown Corpus) consists of *1,014,312 words* of running text of edited English prose printed in the United States during the calendar year 1961. Although all of the material first appeared in print in the year 1961, some of it was undoubtedly written earlier.

The Corpus is divided into 500 samples of 2000+ words each. Each sample begins at the beginning of a sentence but not necessarily of a paragraph or other larger division, and each end at the first sentence ends after 2000 words. The samples represent a wide range of styles and varieties of prose.

The selection procedure was in two phases: an initial subjective classification and a decision as to how many samples of each category would be used, followed by a random selection of the actual samples within each category.

The list of main categories with their principal subdivisions and the number of samples in each are given below:

I. Informative Prose 374 samples					
A. Press: Reportage			F. Popular Lore		
Political	Daily 10	Weekly 4	Total 14	Books	23
Sports	5	2	7	Periodicals	25
Society	3	0	3	Total	48
Spot News	7	2	9		
Financial	3	1	4	G. Belles Lettres, Biography, Memoirs, etc.	
Cultural	5	2	7	Books	38
Total	44			Periodicals	37
				Total	75
B. Press: Editorial			H. Miscellaneous		
Institutional	Daily 7	Weekly 3	Total 10	Government Documents	24
Personal	7	3	10	Foundation Reports	2
Letters to the Editor	5	2	7	Industry Reports	2
Total	27			College Catalog	1
C. Press: Reviews (theatre, books, music, dance)				Industry House organ	1
	Daily 14	Weekly 3	Total 17	Total	30
D. Religion			J. Learned		
Books	7			Natural Sciences	12
Periodicals	6			Medicine	5
Tracts	4			Mathematics	4
Total	17			Social and Behavioral Sciences	14
				Political Science, Law, Education	15
E. Skills and Hobbies				Humanities	18
Books	2			Technology and Engineering	12
Periodicals	34			Total	80
Total	36				
II. Imaginative Prose 126 Samples					
K. General Fiction			N. Adventure and Western Fiction		
Novels	20			Novels	15
Short Stories	9			Short Stories	14
Total	29			Total	29
L. Mystery and Detective Fiction			P. Romance and Love Story		
Novels	20			Novels	14
Short Stories	4			Short Stories	15
Total	24			Total	29
M. Science Fiction			R. Humor		
Novels	3			Novels	3
Short Stories	3			Essays, etc.	6
Total	6			Total	9
GRAND TOTAL			500		

Versions of The Corpus

The Corpus is available in six versions. All contain the same basic text, but they differ in typography and format.

- 1) **Form A.** This is the original form of the Corpus, as it was prepared in 1963-64. The limitations of computer printing facilities at that time required that it use an elaborate coding procedure.
- 2) **Form B.** This is the 'stripped' version, from which all punctuation symbols and codes except hyphens, apostrophes, and symbols for formulas and ellipses have been omitted.
- 3) **Form C.** This is the 'tagged' version, which makes use of a partially stripped text in which only proper name capitalization and those punctuation marks which are of grammatical significance have been retained.
- 4) **Bergen Form I.** This version and the following were prepared at the Norwegian Computational Center for Humanistic Research at the University of Bergen under the direction of Dr. Jostein Hauge

- 5) **Bergen Form II.** In this version, typographical information is somewhat reduced and a new longer line is used. This version is available on microfiche, together with a complete KWIC concordance.
- 6) **Brown MARC Form.** This version was prepared at Stanford University. It is designed to be compatible with two commonly used research techniques that are appropriate for large textual corpora:
 - i. searching for and retrieving full-sentence citations using single words or word + context as retrieval criteria;
 - ii. generating KWIC-form concordances which can be organized according to varying arrangements of a keyword and its preceding or following verbal context.

Coding Procedure of Form A

The basic coding procedure followed in Form A is that devised for the U. S. Patent Office, described in the pamphlet *A Notation System for Transliterating Technical and Scientific Texts for Use in Data Processing Systems*, by Simon M. Newman, Rowena W. Swanson, and Kenneth Knowlton. This was the only complete coding system that could be found in 1963.

Text. The text normally occupies spaces 1-70 of each line, running continuously from line to line without regard to word endings.

Headings and Paragraph Divisions. The heading of the largest subdivision of the text that falls within the sample is called the 'major heading'. No indications of capitals, italics, and other graphic features are made within headings.

Special Types. A passage in italics is marked by the beginning symbol *= and the closing symbol *\$. If the italicized passage is smaller than a word, these symbols are included in the word without spacing; thus, *incredible* would be coded IN*=CRED*\$IBLE.

Abbreviations. The period marking an abbreviation is coded as **, to distinguish it from the ordinary sentence-ending period. Abbreviations not marked by a period are treated as *symbols*.

Symbols. Combinations of letters without a following period (except at the end of a sentence) and not constituting a genuine word are defined as symbols and are preceded by the code marker **J.

Formulas. Combinations of letters, numbers, and other symbols which also include operator symbols (such as +, =, exponents, and subscripts) are defined as formulas and are replaced by the code **F.

Numbers. Numbers that are not part of formulas are reproduced normally, including the decimal point, which is distinguished from the period by the fact that it is not followed by space.

Quotations. The Patent Office procedure of placing all punctuation marks after the close-quote symbol was followed. This leads to ambiguity, in that the distinction between an exclamation point or question mark inside a quotation and one outside it is lost.

Typographical Errors and Inconsistencies. No alterations have been made to the original text, even in the case of obvious typographical errors, misspellings, typographical inconsistencies, etc.

The Tagged Version

In the tagged version of the Corpus (Form C), each word is furnished with a brief tag that assigns it to a specific word class. There are 82 of these tags, which are of six kinds:

- (a) major form classes (Parts of Speech);
- (b) function words;
- (c) certain important individual words: *not*, existential *there*, infinitival *to*;
- (d) punctuation marks of syntactic significance;
- (e) inflectional morphemes, notably noun plural and possessive;

The tagging of the Corpus has been a long and arduous process, extending over several years and involving quite a few different people.

List of Tags

Tag	Description	Examples	Tag	Description	Examples	Tag	Description	Examples	Tag	Description	Examples
.	sentence closer	. ; ? !	JJS	semantically superlative adjective	chief, top	HVN	had (past participle)		VBD	verb, past tense	
(left parenthesis		JJT	morphologically superlative adjective	biggest	HVZ	has		VBG	verb, present participle/gerund	
)	right parenthesis		MD	modal auxiliary	can, should, will	IN	preposition		VCN	verb, past participle	
*	negation words	not, n't	NC	cited word (hyphenated after regular tag)		JJ	adjective		VBZ	verb, 3rd. singular present	
--	dash		NN	singular or mass noun		JJR	comparative adjective		WDT	wh- determiner	what, which
,	comma		NN\$	possessive singular noun		WPS	nominative wh- pronoun	who, which, that	WP\$	possessive wh- pronoun	whose
:	colon		NN\$	plural noun		WQL	wh- qualifier	how	WPO	objective wh- pronoun	whom, which, that
ABL	pre-qualifier	quite, rather	NN\$S	possessive plural noun		BEZ	is		PPL	singular reflexive/intensive personal pronoun	myself
ABN	pre-quantifier	half, all	NP	proper noun or part of name phrase		CC	coordinating conjunction	and, or	PPLS	plural reflexive/intensive personal pronoun	ourselves
ABX	pre-quantifier	both	NP\$	possessive proper noun		CD	cardinal numeral	one, two, 2, etc.	PPO	objective personal pronoun	me, him, it, them
AP	post-determiner	many, several, next	NPS	plural proper noun		CS	subordinating conjunction	if, although	PPS	3rd. singular nominative pronoun	he, she, it, one
AT	article	a, the, no	NPS\$	possessive plural proper noun		DO	do		PPSS	other nominative personal pronoun	I, we, they, you
BE	be		NR	adverbial noun	home, today, west	BED	were		NRS	plural adverbial noun	
BER	are, art		PP\$S	second (nominal) possessive pronoun	mine, ours	BEDZ	was		OD	ordinal numeral	first, 2nd
DOD	did		QL	qualifier	very, fairly	BEG	being		PN	nominal pronoun	everybody, nothing
DOZ	does		QLP	post-qualifier	enough, indeed	BEM	am		PN\$	possessive nominal pronoun	
DT	singular determiner	this, that	RB	adverb		BEN	been		PP\$	possessive personal pronoun	my, our
DTI	singular or plural determiner/quantifier	some, any	RBR	comparative adverb		DTX	determiner/double conjunction	either	RN	nominal adverb	here then, indoors
DTS	plural determiner	these, those	RBT	superlative adverb		EX	existential there		RP	adverb/particle	about, off, up
HVD	had (past tense)		UH	interjection, exclamation		FW	foreign word (hyphenated before regular tag)		TL	word occurring in title (hyphenated after regular tag)	
HVG	having		VB	verb, base form		HL	word occurring in headline (hyphenated after regular tag)				
WRB	wh- adverb	how, where, when	HV	have		TO	infinitive marker to				

The Noun Phrase. The model for this consists of a head preceded by a determiner sector and a modifier sector. The center of the determiner sector is the determiner itself, of which three basic kinds are recognized: articles, *a/an*, *the*, tagged *AT*.

The tagging system makes provision for three kinds: *adjectives*, *participles*, and *nominals*. The problem lies in the fact that by compounding (open, hyphenated, or closed), suffixation, or simple adjunction.

The Verbal Phrase. Verbs in the base form, regardless of syntactic function, are tagged *VB*. Modal auxiliaries, regardless of tense, are all tagged *MD*.

Pronouns. Personal pronouns have tags beginning with *PP*, followed by one or more letters indicating case, concord, and sometimes number.

Adverbials. The general tag for adverbs is *RB*, with *RBR* and *RBT* for inflectional comparatives and superlatives.

Connectives. Coordinating conjunctions (*and*, *or*, etc.) are tagged *CC* and subordinators (*since*, *because*, *if*) *CS*. Prepositions are tagged *IN*. The word *to* is tagged *TO* when used as the infinitive marker.

Miscellaneous Items. The existential subject *there is* tagged *EX* and thus distinguished from the homonymous adverb. Exclamations of various sorts, which have no syntactic function, are tagged *UH*; they occur mostly in the dialogue of the fictional samples.

Capitalized Words, Titles, and Proper Nouns. The conventions of (non-sentence-initial) capitalization in English are complex and to a considerable degree variable, unlike most other aspects of the writing system. The aim has been to identify capitalized uses as much as possible but also to associate capitalized words with their lower-case alternatives.

Tools to work with the Brown Corpus

A complete set of tools is available to work with the Brown corpus online (without registration) to generate:

- word sketch– English collocations categorized by grammatical relations
- thesaurus– synonyms and similar words for every word
- keywords– terminology extraction of one-word and multi-word units
- word lists – lists of English nouns, verbs, adjectives, etc., organized by frequency
- n-grams– frequency list of multi-word units
- concordance – examples in context

Availability

Access to the corpus is freely available for research.

How to access the raw documents from the Brown corpus using NLTK?

ID	File	Genre	Description
A16	ca16	news	Chicago Tribune: <i>Society Reportage</i>
B02	cb02	editorial	Christian Science Monitor: <i>Editorials</i>
C17	cc17	reviews	Time Magazine: <i>Reviews</i>
D12	cd12	religion	Underwood: <i>Probing the Ethics of Realtors</i>
E36	ce36	hobbies	Norling: <i>Renting a Car in Europe</i>
F25	cf25	lore	Boroff: <i>Jewish Teenage Culture</i>
G22	cg22	belles_lettres	Reiner: <i>Coping with Runaway Technology</i>
H15	ch15	government	US Office of Civil and Defence Mobilization: <i>The Family Fallout Shelter</i>
J17	cj19	learned	Mosteller: <i>Probability with Statistical Applications</i>
K04	ck04	fiction	W.E.B. Du Bois: <i>Worlds of Color</i>
L13	cl13	mystery	Hitchens: <i>Footsteps in the Night</i>
M01	cm01	science_fiction	Heinlein: <i>Stranger in a Strange Land</i>
N14	cn15	adventure	Field: <i>Rattlesnake Ridge</i>
P12	cp12	romance	Callaghan: <i>A Passion in Rome</i>
R06	cr06	humor	Thurber: <i>The Future, If Any, of Comedy</i>

Example Document for Each Section of the Brown Corpus

The above table gives an example of each genre of the Brown Corpus (for a complete list, see [List of Samples](#)).

As just mentioned, a text corpus is a large body of text. Many corpora are designed to contain a careful balance of material in one or more genres.

We can access the corpus as a list of words, or a list of sentences (where each sentence is itself just a list of words) by importing `brown` package from the `nltk` package. We can optionally specify particular categories or files to read:

```
>>> from nltk.corpus import brown
>>> brown.categories()
['adventure', 'belles_lettres', 'editorial', 'fiction',
'government', 'hobbies',
'humor', 'learned', 'lore', 'mystery', 'news', 'religion',
'reviews', 'romance',
'science_fiction']
>>> brown.words(categories='news')
['The', 'Fulton', 'County', 'Grand', 'Jury', 'said', ...]
>>> brown.words(fileids=['cg22'])
['Does', 'our', 'society', 'have', 'a', 'runaway', ',', ...]
>>> brown.sents(categories=['news', 'editorial', 'reviews'])
[['The', 'Fulton', 'County'...], ['The', 'jury', 'further'...],
...]
```

The Brown Corpus is a convenient resource for studying systematic differences between genres, a kind of linguistic inquiry known as **stylistics**. Let's compare genres in their usage of modal verbs. The first step is to produce the counts for a particular genre. Remember to `import nltk` before doing the following:

```
>>> from nltk.corpus import brown
>>> news_text = brown.words(categories='news')
>>> fdist = nltk.FreqDist(w.lower() for w in news_text)
>>> modals = ['can', 'could', 'may', 'might', 'must', 'will']
>>> for m in modals:
...     print(m + ': ' + str(fdist[m]), end=' ')
...
can: 94 could: 87 may: 93 might: 38 must: 53 will: 389
```

Note: We need to include `end=' '` in order for the `print` function to put its output on a single line.

Next, we need to obtain counts for each genre of interest. We'll use NLTK's support for conditional frequency distributions. Observe that the most frequent modal in the news genre is *will*, while the most frequent modal in the romance genre is *could*.

```
>>> cfd = nltk.ConditionalFreqDist(
...     (genre, word)
...     for genre in brown.categories()
...     for word in brown.words(categories=genre))
>>> genres = ['news', 'religion', 'hobbies', 'science_fiction',
'romance', 'humor']
>>> modals = ['can', 'could', 'may', 'might', 'must', 'will']
>>> cfd.tabulate(conditions=genres, samples=modals)
```

	can	could	may	might	must	will
news	93	86	66	38	50	389
religion	82	59	78	12	54	71
hobbies	268	58	131	22	83	264
science_fiction	16	49	4	12	8	16
romance	74	193	11	51	45	43
humor	16	30	8	8	9	13

The actual brown corpus data is **packaged as raw text files**. And you can find their IDs with:

```
len(brown.fileids()) # 500 sources, each file is a source.
print(brown.fileids()[:100]) # Prints first 100 sources.
```

You can access the raw files with:

```
print(brown.raw('cb01').strip()[:1000]) # First 1000 characters.
```

You will see that each word comes with a slash and a label and unlike normal text, we see that punctuations are separated from the word that comes before it, e.g.,

The/at General/jj-tl Assembly/nn-tl ./, which/wdt adjourns/vbz today/nr ./, has/hvz performed/vbn in/in an/at atmosphere/nn of/in crisis/nn and/cc struggle/nn from/in the/at day/nn it/pps convened/vbd ./.

References:

- [Brown Corpus Manual](#)
- [Brown Corpus](#)
- [Accessing Text Corpora and Lexical Resources](#)
- [Basic NLP with NLTK](#)
- [NLTK Sample Usage for Corpus](#)