# RESEARCH ARTICLE





# Loneliness is associated with risk of cognitive impairment in the Survey of Health, Ageing and Retirement in Europe

Martina Luchetti<sup>1</sup> | Antonio Terracciano<sup>2</sup> | Damaris Aschwanden<sup>2</sup> | Ji H. Lee<sup>1</sup> | Yannick Stephan<sup>3</sup> | Angelina R. Sutin<sup>1</sup>

#### Correspondence

Martina Luchetti, Department of Behavioral Sciences and Social Medicine, Florida State University College of Medicine, 1115 West Call Street, Tallahassee FL 32306-4300. Email: martina.luchetti@med.fsu.edu

#### **Funding information**

National Institute on Aging, Grant/Award Numbers: R01AG053297, R21AG057917, R21AG058117 **Objectives:** To test whether loneliness is associated with the risk of cognitive impairment up to 11 years later in a European sample of middle-aged and older adults. The study examines whether this association is independent of measures of social isolation, depression, and other risk factors for cognitive impairment and dementia.

Methods: Participants (N = 14 114) from the Survey of Health, Ageing and Retirement in Europe (SHARE) answered a single item on loneliness at baseline and were assessed for cognitive impairment every 2-to-3 years for 11 years. Participants who scored at least 1.5 standard deviations below the age-graded mean on both a memory recall task and verbal fluency task were classified as impaired. A three-item measure of loneliness was available for a sample of respondents followed up to 4 years.

**Results:** Feeling lonely was associated with increased risk of incident cognitive impairment (HR = 1.31, 95%Cl = 1.19-1.44), after accounting for age, sex, education, and SHARE country strata. The association was robust but reduced in magnitude when controlling for clinical and behavioral risk factors, health-related activity limitations, social isolation, social disengagement, and depressive symptoms. The association was not moderated by socio-demographic factors and was also apparent when using the three-item loneliness scale instead of the single-item measure.

**Conclusions:** These findings expand the extant literature on loneliness and the risk of cognitive impairment in older adulthood. Loneliness is one modifiable factor that can be intervened prior to the development of severe impairment or dementia.

## KEYWORDS

incident cognitive impairment, loneliness, psycho-social risk factors, social isolation

## 1 | INTRODUCTION

Loneliness is a significant public health concern in our aging society. 
Feelings of loneliness are associated with worse health in general and

with poor cognitive function and risk of developing Alzheimer's disease and dementia in particular. <sup>2-4</sup> Loneliness is not the mere absence of social contacts but rather the negative feeling that arises when there is a discrepancy between one's desired and perceived quality of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Department of Behavioral Sciences and Social Medicine, Florida State University College of Medicine, Tallahassee, FL

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Department of Geriatrics, Florida State University College of Medicine, Tallahassee, FL

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Euromov, University of Montpellier, Montpellier, France

Despite increased interest in the topic, the relation between loneliness and late-life cognition is not as well understood as those of other risk factors for cognitive impairment (such as diabetes or education). 10 There are many factors hypothesized to underlie and modulate the association.<sup>7,10</sup> For example, individuals who feel lonely might engage in fewer health-promoting behaviors, such as social<sup>18</sup> or physical activities, <sup>19,20</sup> and be more likely to experience depressed affect, 21,22 which are all factors that may contribute to changes in cognitive function in old age. 9,22 Loneliness and its associated risks might also be prevalent for specific groups of individuals, such as women or unmarried adults.<sup>23</sup> However, results are inconsistent for interactions with these socio-demographic factors. 7,15 The purpose of this work is to add to the literature by examining the relationship between loneliness and cognitive impairment in one of the largest longitudinal cohort studies in Europe: the Survey of Health, Ageing and Retirement in Europe (SHARE).<sup>24</sup> Consistent with studies on dementia, we hypothesize that loneliness will be associated with the risk of incident cognitive impairment among adults over the age of 50. We examine this association over a relatively long follow-up (up to 11 years) and control for potential mediators or confounding factors: social isolation/disengagement, healthrelated activity limitations, depressive symptoms, and other behavioral and clinical risk factors for cognitive impairment and dementia.

# **Key points**

- This study tests the association between loneliness and cognitive impairment over time.
- Feeling lonely increased the risk of developing cognitive impairment up to 11 years later.
- The association remained significant in accounting for clinical and behavioral risk factors, health-related activity limitations, social isolation, and depressive symptoms.
- Higher risk of cognitive impairment was still apparent after excluding participants with 5 years or less of follow-up.

Lastly, we test whether the association varies by age, sex, education level, and marital status.

### 2 | METHOD

## 2.1 | Participants and procedure

The current study makes use of SHARE, a cross-national multi-disciplinary study of individuals aged 50 and older and their spouses across Europe.<sup>24</sup> We used data from Wave 1 (fieldwork completed between 2004-06: DOI: 10.6103/SHARE.w1.700) as our baseline assessment because this wave was the first to include a question on loneliness as part of the drop-off questionnaire. Cognitive function was assessed as part of the in-person interview at baseline and at the following waves: Wave 2 (2006-10), Wave 4 (2011-12), Wave 5 (2013) and Wave 6 (2015) (DOIs: 10.6103/SHARE.w2.700, 10.6103/SHARE.w4.700, 10.6103/SHARE.w5.700, 10.6103/SHARE.w6.700).\* Participants were selected into the analytic sample if they had loneliness measured in 2004-06 (baseline), did not have cognitive impairment at that time (see below), and had at least one follow-up cognitive assessment through the 2015 assessment. A total of 18 272 had complete data at baseline (including data on age, sex, and education level). Of these participants, 3861 did not have follow-up data (1128 died before a follow-up assessment). There were no differences in loneliness between those with and without follow-up, although those who did not have a follow-up scored lower on cognition at baseline. The main analyses are based on 14 114 respondents across 12 countries: Austria, Belgium, Denmark, France, Germany, Greece, Italy, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, the Netherlands, and Israel. A three-item measure of loneliness was subsequently included in SHARE as part of the 2011-12 (Wave 4) drop-off questionnaire.\* We used this measure to conduct a secondary

<sup>\*</sup>SHARE is an ongoing study. At each wave, new participants are recruited from the original 12 European countries that participated in Wave 1 and from new countries that joined the survey later on (nine new countries joined the study across Wave 2 to Wave 6). In the current study, the sample included participants from those countries for which we had data on loneliness at baseline. Wave 3 and Wave 7 applied a life story interview and were not considered for the current analyses.



analysis on SHARE participants who had data on loneliness at the 2011-12 wave, no cognitive impairment at that time, and had cognition re-assessed in at least one of the two following waves (either 2013 or 2015). The analysis was restricted to participants from the same countries assessed at Wave 1, except for Israel and Greece, which did not participate in Wave 4.

## 2.2 | Measures

## 2.2.1 | Loneliness

At the 2004-06 baseline, participants completed a single-item measure of loneliness as part of the abbreviated version of the Center for Epidemiological Studies Depression scale. They were asked: "How often have you experienced the following feelings over the last week: I felt lonely?". Response options were: 1 = Almost all of the time; 2 = Most of the time: 3 = Some of the time: 4 = Almost none of the time. This item was reverse scored in the direction of greater loneliness. This measure was used for our primary analysis of cognitive impairment to ensure a relatively long follow-up. In 2011-12, SHARE included a three-item version of the UCLA loneliness scale. 25,26 Participants reported how much of the time they felt a lack of companionship, felt left out, and felt isolated from others, on a three-point scale: 1 = often, 2 = some of the time, 3 = hardly ever or never. Responses were reverse-scored and the mean taken across the items (alpha reliability = .78). This measure was used for a secondary followup analysis to allow comparison of results when using single- vs multiitem measures of loneliness.

## 2.2.2 | Cognitive impairment

To classify cognitive impairment, we used a standard approach used in prior studies with SHARE.<sup>27,28</sup> At each wave, participants were asked to complete a memory recall task (immediate and delayed recall of 10 common words) and an animal fluency task (naming as many animals as possible in 60 seconds).<sup>25</sup> Participants who performed 1.5 standard deviations (SD) below the age-graded mean of either immediate or delayed recall (or both) were coded as 1 and compared to other participants, coded 0. Similarly, those who performed 1.5 SD below the age-graded mean of verbal fluency were coded as 1 and compared to others, coded 0. Those who reported "don't know" for any task were coded 1 as well. Cognitive impairment was defined as scoring 1 on both the memory and verbal fluency tasks.

## 2.2.3 | Social isolation

In line with prior studies, <sup>29,30</sup> we computed indicators of social isolation: being single, separated from spouse, divorced, or widowed (yes/no), having rare contact with children or no children (yes/no), and household size (3+ members, 2 members, or only 1 member). SHARE also asked whether respondents, in the last month, had participated in voluntary or charity work, attended an educational or training course, gone to a sport, social or other kinds of clubs, or taken part in a political or community-related organization. <sup>25,29,30</sup> A sum score of these activities was computed and then reversed in the direction of social disengagement (from 0 = Engaged in all five activities to 5 = Engaged in none of the activities).

## 2.2.4 | Covariates

Age (years), sex (0 = male, 1 = female), and educational level (from 0 = Pre-primary education to 6 = Second stage of tertiary education) were used as basic covariates. SHARE used the 1997 International Standard Classification of Education to categorize and harmonize education statistics across European countries. Information on participants' country was available, but no information on race/ethnicity. Additional risk factors and covariates included clinical and behavioral covariates, health-related activity limitations, and depression symptoms. Clinical covariates were body mass index (kg/m<sup>2</sup>) and reported a diagnosis of hypertension (yes/no) and diabetes (yes/no). Behavioral covariates were frequency of moderate physical activity (reversescored, with responses ranging from 1 = hardly ever or never to 4 = more than once a week) and smoking status (yes/no). Healthrelated limitations were assessed with the Global Activity Limitations Index (ie, "For the past 6 months at least, to what extent have you been limited because of a health problem in activities people usually do?"; the item was reverse-scored, with responses ranging from 1 = not limited to 3 = severely limited).<sup>31</sup> Depressive symptoms were assessed by the EURO-D scale, 32 which measured 12 symptoms (yes/ no): depressed mood, pessimism, suicidality, guilt, troubles with sleep, loss of interest, irritability, change in appetite, fatigue, concentration, enjoyment, and tearfulness (sum of 12 items ranged from 0-12). All covariates were from the baseline assessment. We further computed two dummy-coded variables to detect transition into widowhood (yes/no) and increases in health-related limitations (yes/no) over the follow-up. These variables were included as covariates in supplemental analyses, as these factors potentially increase the risk for cognitive impairment.33

## 2.2.5 | Statistical approach

Cox regression hazard models were used to test whether loneliness at baseline was associated with incident cognitive impairment over up to 11 years of follow-up. This approach was used because it evaluates time-to-event from baseline predictors. That is, it

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>At the 2004-06 baseline, the loneliness item was translated consistently across countries. The only exception was for the Netherlands. In this country, the translation of the item was "I felt alone." When excluding Dutch participants, the association between loneliness and cognitive impairment remained significant in all statistical models, except Model 5 (that accounted for depression).

evaluates the occurrence of an event (cognitive impairment) considering the time from the predictor of interest (loneliness) to the first instance of the event. Time was coded in years from the baseline assessment as years-to-incidence. For participants who did not score impaired, cases were censored at the last available cognitive assessment. The strata function was used to account for the nested nature of the data (ie, participants within countries). The Kaplan-Meier plot indicated no violation of the proportionality

assumption. We first tested loneliness as a predictor of incident cognitive impairment, controlling for age, sex, and education (Model 1). To test whether the association was independent of other common risk factors, we repeated the analyses controlling for behavioral and clinical risk factors (Model 2), health-related activity limitations (Model 3), indicators of social isolation and social disengagement (Model 4), and depressive symptoms (Model 5). Finally, we tested interaction terms to examine whether the

**TABLE 1** Descriptive statistics for the full sample and by cognitive status at follow-up

Descriptive statistics for the full sample and by Cognitive status at follow-up								
	Total sample	No cognitive impairment	Cognitive impairment	Chi-square or t test				
N	14 411	13 886	525	-				
Age in y	63.61 (9.33)	63.61 (9.33)	63.60 (9.31)	ns				
Age ≥65	6128 (42.5%)	5895 (42.5%)	233 (44.4%)	ns				
Sex								
Females	54.7%	54.7%	56.2%	ns				
Males	45.3%	45.3%	43.8%					
Marital status								
Married	74.1%	74.0%	77.0%	ns				
Non-married	25.9%	26.0%	23.0%					
Education level								
Pre-primary education	4.2%	4.0%	11.6%	***				
Primary education	27.3%	26.6%	43.6%					
Lower secondary education	17.8%	17.9%	15.4%					
Upper secondary education	27.8%	28.2%	18.5%					
Post-secondary education	2.8%	2.9%	1.3%					
First stage tertiary education	19.8%	20.2%	9.3%					
Second stage tertiary education	0.3%	0.3%	0.2%					
Loneliness at baseline	1.48 (0.75)	1.47 (0.74)	1.73 (0.91)	***				
1 Almost none of the time	64.3%	64.8%	51.2%					
2 Some of the time	26.9%	26.7%	31.4%					
3 Most of the time	5.5%	5.3%	10.1%					
4 Almost all the time	3.4%	3.2%	7.2%					
Cognitive scores at baseline								
Immediate word recall (0-10)	5.04 (1.69)	5.08 (1.68)	3.95 (1.70)	***				
Delayed word recall (0-10)	3.55 (1.91)	3.59 (1.90)	2.54 (1.84)	***				
Verbal fluency (0-90+)	19.46 (6.95)	19.66 (6.92)	14.40 (5.71)	***				
Cognitive scores at follow-up								
Immediate word recall (0-10)	4.87 (1.87)	4.99 (1.76)	1.56 (1.54)	***				
Delayed word recall (0-10)	3.51 (2.17)	3.63 (2.12)	0.57 (0.97)	***				
Verbal fluency (0-90+)	18.20 (7.87)	18.71 (7.52)	4.64 (3.31)	***				

Note: Unadjusted means (standard deviations) or cases (percentages) are reported. Higher scores on loneliness indicated higher feelings of loneliness. Standardized mean differences (ds) in cognitive performance between baseline and follow-up were calculated for each group. For participants without cognitive impairment, d = -.05 for immediate recall, d = .02 for delayed recall, and d = -.13 for verbal fluency; for participants with an impairment, d = -1.48 for immediate recall, d = -1.41 for delayed recall, and d = -2.16 for verbal fluency. Austria N = 1047 (3.3% with cognitive impairment [35 cases]); Belgium N = 2010 (1.8% with impairment [37 cases]); Denmark N = 932 (1.5% with impairment [14 cases]); France N = 847 (3.5% with impairment [30 cases]), Germany N = 1108 (2.1% with impairment [23 cases]); Greece N = 1592 (6.1% with impairment [97 cases]); Italy N = 1084 (7.5% with impairment [81 cases]); Spain N = 1113 (10.8% with impairment [120 cases]); Sweden N = 1623 (1.2% with impairment [20 cases]); Switzerland N = 525 (0.6% with impairment [3 cases]); the Netherlands = 1351 (1.2% with impairment [16 cases]); Israel N = 1179 (4.2% with impairment [49 cases]).

<sup>\*</sup>P < .05, \*\* $P \le .01$ , \*\*\* $P \le .001$ .

**TABLE 2** Loneliness and cognitive impairment over 11-y follow-up

Variable	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4	Model 5
Age	1.00 (0.99-1.01)	1.00 (0.99-1.01)	1.00 (0.98-1.01)	1.01 (0.99-1.02)	1.00 (0.99-1.02)
Female	0.87 (0.73-1.04)	0.85 (0.71-1.02)	0.84 (0.70-1.01)	0.93 (0.75-1.17)	0.86 (0.68-1.08)
Education level	0.79 (0.73-0.84)***	0.81 (0.75-0.87)***	0.82 (0.76-0.88)***	0.84 (0.76-0.91)***	0.83 (0.76-0.91)***
Body mass index	-	1.00 (0.98-1.02)	1.00 (0.97-1.02)	1.01 (0.98-1.03)	1.01 (0.98-1.03)
Hypertension	-	0.99 (0.82-1.20)	0.97 (0.80-1.18)	0.89 (0.71-1.12)	0.90 (0.71-1.14)
Diabetes	-	1.47 (1.15-1.90)**	1.41 (1.10-1.82)**	1.38 (1.02-1.87)*	1.36 (1.00-1.85)*
Physical activity	-	0.78 (0.72-0.85)***	0.80 (0.74-0.87)***	0.84 (0.76-0.92)***	0.85 (0.77-0.94)***
Smoking	-	1.00 (0.79-1.26)	1.00 (0.79-1.26)	0.99 (0.75-1.31)	0.97 (0.73-1.28)
Health-related activity limitations	-	-	1.25 (1.09-1.43)***	1.22 (1.04-1.43)*	1.16 (0.98-1.37)
Single, separated or widowed	-	-	-	0.84 (0.60-1.18)	0.86 (0.61-1.21)
Rare contact or no children	-	-	-	1.12 (0.80-1.56)	1.11 (0.79-1.55)
Small household size	-	-	-	0.96 (0.83-1.11)	0.96 (0.83-1.12)
Social disengagement	-	-	-	1.43 (1.19-1.71)***	1.41 (1.17-1.69)***
Depressive symptoms	-	-	-	-	1.07 (1.02-1.13)**
Loneliness	1.31 (1.19-1.44)***	1.24 (1.12-1.38)***	1.23 (1.11-1.36)***	1.24 (1.09-1.41)***	1.15 (1.01-1.32)*
N	14 337	14 086	14 085	10 022	9961

Note: Hazard ratios and 95% confidence intervals are reported. N varies across models due to the missing values on covariates; cases censored before the earliest event in a stratum were automatically dropped from the analyses.  $^*P < .05, ^{**}P \le .001, ^{***}P \le .01.$ 

association varied by participants' age, sex, education level, or marital status. In supplemental analyses, we also tested whether the association between loneliness and impairment persisted after controlling for widowhood across the follow-up period and changes in health limitations

To test the robustness of the association, we performed four sensitivity analyses: (a) we repeated the analyses restricting the sample to participants aged 65 and older to ensure the association was not solely due to the lower prevalence of impairment below age 65, (b) we excluded participants with five or fewer years of follow-up to account for possible reverse causality (ie, loneliness as a consequence of cognitive impairment), (c) we limited the analyses to countries that participated in all waves of SHARE (nine countries participated in all waves), and (d) we selected participants who were socially engaged (ie, those who engaged in at least one of the five social activities) and tested whether loneliness was still associated with cognitive impairment in this group.

Lastly, a follow-up analysis was conducted with participants who completed the three-item UCLA scale in 2011-12. This analysis was performed to test whether the association between loneliness and cognitive impairment was dependent on the scale used to assess loneliness. It included participants who had loneliness and cognition assessed in 2011-12 and at least one follow-up assessment of cognition in 2013 or 2015 (n = 23 339; M age = 65.32, SD = 9.57; 55.6% females). We ran a cox regression model with the UCLA scale predicting the risk of cognitive impairment, controlling

for the basic covariates. The follow-up period for this analysis was 4 years. $^{\ddagger}$ 

# 3 | RESULTS

Descriptive statistics for the main longitudinal sample are shown in Table 1. Over the up to 11-year follow-up period (116 120 person years), 525 participants (3.6%) developed cognitive impairment. The results of the Cox regression models are reported in Table 2. For every one-point increase in loneliness, there was a 31% increased risk of cognitive impairment over the follow-up, after controlling for age, sex, and education. Comparing the top and bottom of the loneliness item, participants who reported feeling lonely almost all the time had double the risk of impairment compared to participants who reported never feeling lonely (HR = 2.07, 95% CI = 1.46-2.95; n = 9697). The association was independent of clinical and behavioral risk factors (Model 2), health-related activity limitations (Model 3), social isolation

 $<sup>^{\</sup>ddagger}$ Because of the inclusion of re-fresh samples, a higher number of participants had data on the three-item loneliness scale in 2011-12. Of those with data on the three-item loneliness scale, about 25% (n = 5679) were part of the longitudinal sample tested at the 2004-06 baseline. When restricting the analysis to this sub-sample, loneliness was still significantly associated with the risk of cognitive impairment over the 4-year follow-up (HR = 1.65, 95% CI = 1.14-2.39). The 2011-12 wave also included a single-item question of loneliness: How much of time do you feel lonely? (three-point response). This measure was highly correlated with the three-item UCLA scale (r = .73). When using the single-item instead of the three-item scale, the hazard ratio was 1.28 (95% CI = 1.12-1.46) across the 4 years.

and social disengagement (Model 4), and depressive symptoms (Model 5). The association remained significant but was reduced by about 50% in the fully adjusted model. There was no moderation by age, sex, education, or marital status (ps > .05). The association was still significant when further controlling for widowhood (HR = 1.35, 95% CI = 1.22-1.49) or increases in health-related limitations across the follow-up (HR = 1.23, 95% CI = 1.11-1.35).

Sensitivity analyses indicated that the association was robust: It remained significant when the sample was restricted to participants 65 years and older (HR = 1.24, 95% CI = 1.08-1.43), when participants with 5 or fewer years of follow-up were excluded (HR = 1.30, 95% CI = 1.17-1.46), and when the sample was limited to participation in all SHARE waves (HR = 1.35, 95% CI = 1.14-1.60). In the last sensitivity analysis, we focused on participants who were socially engaged (ie, those engaged in at least one of the specified social activities). Among this group (n = 6179), loneliness was still associated with increased risk of cognitive impairment (HR = 1.32, 95% CI = 1.07-1.63), after accounting for age, sex, and education.

Finally, the association was also apparent with the three-item loneliness scale. In the follow-up analysis, 2.5% of participants (560/ 23 339) scored in the cognitive impairment range over the 4-year follow-up (80 838 person years). With the three-item scale, loneliness was associated with an over 50% increased risk of cognitive impairment (HR = 1.56, 95% CI = 1.32-1.84). Further details for this analysis are reported in footnote.

## **DISCUSSION**

The present research provides evidence that feeling lonely is a risk factor for cognitive impairment in middle-aged and older adulthood. Loneliness was associated with a higher risk of cognitive impairment up to 11 years later. The association was robust and remained significant (though reduced) when accounting for indicators of social isolation/disengagement, health-related limitations, and depressive symptoms. It was also significant after accounting for widowhood and changes in health status over the follow-up. Moreover, the association did not vary by age, sex, education, nor marital status.

These findings are consistent with prior studies. 13-15 Wilson and colleagues, 3,14 for example, found loneliness and negative social interactions to be associated with cognitive impairment and a steeper decline in multiple cognitive domains (semantic memory, perceptual speed, and visuospatial ability). As noted above, however, some studies reported non-significant associations between loneliness and cognitive outcomes. 16,17 It is of note that these latter studies examined specific age groups (oldest-old)<sup>16</sup> and used different definitions of cognitive status and/or cognitive tasks. 17

Loneliness may increase the risk of cognitive impairment in several ways. Lonely individuals tend to suffer from hypertension<sup>34</sup> and other health problems<sup>35</sup> that can harm cognitive health. Individuals higher in loneliness also tend to engage in health-risk behaviors, including physical inactivity 19,20 and smoking 36 that are also risk factors for cognitive impairment.9 In addition, loneliness is related to heightened psycho-physiological reactivity to stress and depression, 21,37 which are factors related to dementia. 38,39 McHugh Power and colleagues.<sup>22</sup> for example, found depressive symptoms to be one mediator of the relationship between loneliness and cognitive function. Other mediators, however, need to be identified and tested in future work. In our sample, the association between loneliness and cognitive impairment was reduced in size when accounting for risk factors such as depressive symptoms. Nonetheless, the association was still apparent, which suggests that the factors considered here are not the sole pathways through which loneliness increases risk for cognitive impairment.

Loneliness may also derive from social isolation and healthrelated activity limitations. Yet, feelings of loneliness are not unique to persons who live alone or have few social contacts. A person may feel lonely even if not alone and this feeling may have long-term detrimental effects on cognition. In contrast, engagement in social and simulating activities, such as participation in games, sports or communityrelated activities, may help to maintain cognitive function and reduce loneliness feelings over time.40

Loneliness may also be a manifestation of progressive cognitive impairment rather than a risk factor. In the current study, however, the association persisted when excluding participants who became impaired within the first 5 years of follow-up, whose loneliness may have been a consequence of severe cognitive impairment. Nonetheless, there still may be possible reciprocal relations between loneliness and cognition.41 Further, changes in both loneliness and cognition may be reactive to changes in health status<sup>42</sup> and loss of partners.<sup>43</sup> Supplemental analysis in the current study, however, suggests that the association between loneliness and cognitive impairment is apparent even after controlling for widowhood and declines in health status.

The present research has several strengths, including the large sample size, the relatively long follow-up (up to 11 years), and the testing of multiple nested models. The study also supports the utility of using single-item screening questions to detect risk factors such as loneliness in community populations. Such an assessment is valid 44,45 and has predictive power for the risk of dementia up to several years later.<sup>4</sup> Yet, there are limitations and possibilities for future research. First, research would benefit from measures that capture different dimensions of loneliness, such as emotional loneliness (ie, felt absence of an intimate partner) and social loneliness (ie, felt absence of social networks).<sup>5</sup> In fact, emotional loneliness may be the component that is more relevant for individuals' health rather than social loneliness.<sup>46</sup> It would be interesting to examine both loneliness dimensions in association with cognitive outcomes. Second, the current study did not have information on a clinical diagnosis of dementia or differential diagnosis for mild vs severe cognitive impairment. Future studies should combine multiple measures to ascertain cognitive status, as well as brainrelated biomarkers. Two studies, for example, showed that cognitively intact individuals who felt lonely had higher levels of amyloid and tau, two proteins that accumulate in the brain of Alzheimer's patients. 47,48 Third, although we examined the association between loneliness and cognitive impairment in a different cultural context than what has been studied previously (Europe compared to the US<sup>3,14</sup> and Asia<sup>15</sup>), there might be variability in levels of loneliness that might depend on cultural differences between European regions and countries. <sup>49</sup> Lastly, even though the study covered an 11-year period, longer follow-ups with multiple assessments of loneliness are needed to better rule out reverse causality, to determine whether there are critical periods for loneliness (eg, middle adulthood vs early old age), and whether there are changes in loneliness leading to dementia.

Despite these limitations, this research indicates a robust association between loneliness and the risk of cognitive impairment in middle age and older adulthood. The results underscore the importance of paying attention to loneliness and identifying interventions that may reduce feelings of loneliness prior to the development of severe impairment and dementia.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

The SHARE data collection has been funded by the European Commission through FP5 (QLK6-CT-2001-00360), European Commission through FP6 (SHARE-I3: RII-CT-2006-062193, COMPARE: CIT5-CT-2005-028857, SHARELIFE: CIT4-CT-2006-028812), European Commission through FP7 (SHARE-PREP: GA N°211909, SHARE-LEAP: GA N°227822, SHARE M4: GA N°261982) and European Commission through Horizon 2020 (SHARE-DEV3: GA N°676536, SERISS: GA N°654221), and by DG Employment, Social Affairs & Inclusion. Additional funding from the German Ministry of Education and Research, the Max Planck Society for the Advancement of Science, the National Institute on Aging (U01\_AG09740-13S2, P01\_AG005842, P01\_AG08291, P30\_AG12815, R21\_AG025169, Y1-AG-4553-01, IAG\_BSR06-11, OGHA\_04-064, HHSN271201300071C) and from various national funding sources is gratefully acknowledged (see www.share-project.org).

## **CONFLICT OF INTEREST**

No conflict of interest to declare.

## DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The current study makes use of the SHARE, a cross-national multi-disciplinary study of individuals aged 50 and older and their spouses across Europe [Börsch-Supan et al., 2013]. We used available data from Wave 1 (2004-06) through Wave 6 (2015) (DOIs: 10.6103/SHARE.w1.700, 10.6103/SHARE.w2.700, 10.6103/SHARE.w4.700, 10.6103/SHARE.w5.700, 10.6103/SHARE.w6.700). SHARE is reviewed and approved by the Ethics Committee of the University of Mannheimand the Ethics Council of the Max Planck Society. More information on the assessment, sampling, and how to obtain the data can be found at: http://www.share-project.org.

## ORCID

Martina Luchetti https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7370-8443

Antonio Terracciano https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5799-8885

Damaris Aschwanden https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0899-624X

Yannick Stephan https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8029-8809

Angelina R. Sutin https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1824-8974

#### REFERENCES

- Cacioppo JT, Cacioppo S. The growing problem of loneliness. Lancet. 2018;391(10119):426. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(18)30142-9.
- Boss L, Kang D-H, Branson S. Loneliness and cognitive function in the older adult: a systematic review. *Int Psychogeriatr*. 2015;27(4):541-553. https://doi.org/10.1017/S1041610214002749.
- Wilson RS, Krueger KR, Arnold SE, et al. Loneliness and risk of Alzheimer disease. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 2007;64(2):234-240. https://doi.org/10.1001/archpsyc.64.2.234.
- Sundström A, Nordin Adolfsson A, Nordin M, Adolfsson R. Loneliness increases the risk of all-cause dementia and Alzheimer's disease. J Gerontol Ser B. 2019;gbz139. https://doi.org/10.1093/geronb/gbz139.
- Weiss RS. Loneliness: The Experience of Emotional and Social Isolation. Cambridge, MA: The MIT Press; 1973.
- Hawkley LC, Cacioppo JT. Loneliness matters: a theoretical and empirical review of consequences and mechanisms. *Ann Behav Med.* 2010;40(2):218-227. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12160-010-9210-8.
- Sutin AR, Stephan Y, Luchetti M, Terracciano A. Loneliness and risk of dementia. J Gerontol Ser B October 2018. doi:https://doi.org/10. 1093/geronb/gby112. [Epub ahead of print].
- Barnes DE, Covinsky KE, Whitmer RA, Kuller LH, Lopez OL, Yaffe K. Predicting risk of dementia in older adults: the late-life dementia risk index. Neurology. 2009;73(3):173-179. https://doi.org/10.1212/ WNL.0b013e3181a81636.
- Baumgart M, Snyder HM, Carrillo MC, Fazio S, Kim H, Johns H. Summary of the evidence on modifiable risk factors for cognitive decline and dementia: a population-based perspective. *Alzheimers Dement*. 2015;11(6):718-726. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jalz.2015.05.016.
- Lara E, Martín-María N, De la Torre-Luque A, et al. Does loneliness contribute to mild cognitive impairment and dementia? A systematic review and meta-analysis of longitudinal studies. *Ageing Res Rev.* 2019;52:7-16. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arr.2019.03.002.
- Chen R, Hu Z, Wei L, Ma Y, Liu Z, Copeland JR. Incident dementia in a defined older Chinese population. PLoS ONE. 2011;6(9):e24817. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0024817.
- He Y, Zhang X, Zhang M. Psychosocial risk factors for Alzheimer's disease. Hong Kong J Psychiatry. 2000;2(10):2-7.
- Lobo A, LóPez-Antón R, de-la-Cámara C, et al; ZARADEMP Workgroup. Non-cognitive psychopathological symptoms associated with incident mild cognitive impairment and dementia, Alzheimer's type. Neurotox Res. 2008;14(2-3):263-272. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF03033815.
- Wilson RS, Boyle PA, James BD, Leurgans SE, Buchman AS, Bennett DA. Negative social interactions and risk of mild cognitive impairment in old age. *Neuropsychology*. 2015;29(4):561-570. https://doi.org/10.1037/neu0000154.
- 15. Zhou Z, Mao F, Zhang W, Towne SD, Wang P, Fang Y. The association between loneliness and cognitive impairment among older men and women in China: a nationwide longitudinal study. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2019;16(16):2877. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph16162877.
- Wang H, Lee C, Hunter S, Fleming J, Brayne C, CC75C Study Collaboration. Longitudinal analysis of the impact of loneliness on cognitive function over a 20-year follow-up. Aging Ment Health August 2019: 1–7. doi:https://doi.org/10.1080/13607863.2019.1655704
- Rawtaer I, Gao Q, Nyunt MSZ, et al. Psychosocial risk and protective factors and incident mild cognitive impairment and dementia in community dwelling elderly: findings from the Singapore Longitudinal Ageing Study. J Alzheimers Dis. 2017;57(2):603-611. https://doi.org/ 10.3233/JAD-160862.
- Newall NE, Chipperfield JG, Clifton RA, Perry RP, Swift AU, Ruthig JC. Causal beliefs, social participation, and loneliness among older adults: a longitudinal study. J Soc Pers Relat. 2009;26(2–3):273-290. https://doi.org/10.1177/0265407509106718.

- Hawkley LC, Thisted RA, Cacioppo JT. Loneliness predicts reduced physical activity: cross-sectional & longitudinal analyses. *Health Psychol*. 2009;28(3):354-363. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0014400.
- Shankar A, McMunn A, Banks J, Steptoe A. Loneliness, social isolation, and behavioral and biological health indicators in older adults. *Health Psychol.* 2011;30(4):377-385. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0022826.
- Cacioppo JT, Hawkley LC, Thisted RA. Perceived social isolation makes me sad: 5-year cross-lagged analyses of loneliness and depressive symptomatology in the Chicago Health, Aging, and Social Relations Study. *Psychol Aging*. 2010;25(2):453-463. https://doi.org/10. 1037/a0017216.
- McHugh Power J, Tang J, Kenny RA, Lawlor BA, Kee F. Mediating the relationship between loneliness and cognitive function: the role of depressive and anxiety symptoms. Aging Ment Health. 2019;1-8. https://doi.org/10.1080/13607863.2019.1599816.
- Vozikaki M, Papadaki A, Linardakis M, Philalithis A. Loneliness among older European adults: results from the survey of health, aging and retirement in Europe. *J Public Health*. 2018;26(6):613-624. https:// doi.org/10.1007/s10389-018-0916-6.
- Börsch-Supan A, Brandt M, Hunkler C, et al; SHARE Central Coordination Team. Data resource profile: the survey of health, ageing and retirement in Europe (SHARE). Int J Epidemiol. 2013;42(4):992-1001. https://doi.org/10.1093/ije/dyt088.
- Mehrbrodt T, Gruber S, Wagner M. SHARE: scales and multi-item indicators. http://www.share-project.org/fileadmin/pdf\_documentation/ SHARE\_Scales\_and\_Multi-Item\_Indicators.pdf. 2019. Last accessed March 1, 2020.
- Hughes ME, Waite LJ, Hawkley LC, Cacioppo JT. A short scale for measuring loneliness in large surveys: results from two populationbased studies. Res Aging. 2004;26(6):655-672. https://doi.org/10. 1177/0164027504268574.
- Lugo-Palacios DG, Gannon B. Health care utilisation amongst older adults with sensory and cognitive impairments in Europe. *Health Econ Rev.* 2017;7(1):44. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13561-017-0183-1.
- Sutin AR, Luchetti M, Stephan Y, Terracciano A. Meaning in life and risk of cognitive impairment: a 9-year prospective study in 14 countries. Arch Gerontol Geriatr. 2020;88:104033. https://doi:10.1016/j. archger.2020.104033. [Epub ahead of print].
- Niedzwiedz CL, Richardson EA, Tunstall H, Shortt NK, Mitchell RJ, Pearce JR. The relationship between wealth and loneliness among older people across Europe: is social participation protective? *Prev Med.* 2016;91:24-31. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ypmed.2016.07.016.
- Litwin H, Stoeckel KJ. Social network, activity participation, and cognition: a complex relationship. Res Aging. 2016;38(1):76-97. https://doi.org/10.1177/0164027515581422.
- Jagger C, Gillies C, Cambois E, Van Oyen H, Nusselder W, Robine J-M. The Global Activity Limitation Index measured function and disability similarly across European countries. *J Clin Epidemiol.* 2010;63 (8):892-899. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinepi.2009.11.002.
- Prince MJ, Reischies F, Beekman ATF, et al. Development of the EURO-D scale – a European Union initiative to compare symptoms of depression in 14 European centres. Br J Psychiatry. 1999;174(4): 330-338. https://doi.org/10.1192/bjp.174.4.330.
- Gerritsen L, Wang H-X, Reynolds CA, Fratiglioni L, Gatz M, Pedersen NL. Influence of negative life events and widowhood on risk for dementia. Am J Geriatr Psychiatry. 2017;25(7):766-778. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jagp.2017.02.009.
- Momtaz YA, Hamid TA, Yusoff S, et al. Loneliness as a risk factor for hypertension in later life. J Aging Health. 2012;24(4):696-710. https://doi.org/10.1177/0898264311431305.
- 35. Stickley A, Koyanagi A. Physical multimorbidity and loneliness: a population-based study. *PLOS ONE*. 2018;13(1):e0191651. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0191651.

- Dyal SR, Valente TW. A systematic review of loneliness and smoking: small effects, big implications. Subst Use Misuse. 2015;50(13):1697-1716. https://doi.org/10.3109/10826084.2015.1027933.
- Steptoe A, Owen N, Kunz-Ebrecht SR, Brydon L. Loneliness and neuroendocrine, cardiovascular, and inflammatory stress responses in middle-aged men and women. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*. 2004;29(5): 593-611. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0306-4530(03)00086-6.
- Byers AL, Yaffe K. Depression and risk of developing dementia. Nat Rev Neurol. 2011;7(6):323-331. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrneurol.2011.60.
- Rafferty LA, Cawkill PE, Stevelink SAM, Greenberg K, Greenberg N. Dementia, post-traumatic stress disorder and major depressive disorder: a review of the mental health risk factors for dementia in the military veteran population. *Psychol Med.* 2018;48(9):1400-1409. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291717001386.
- McHugh Power JE, Steptoe A, Kee F, Lawlor BA. Loneliness and social engagement in older adults: a bivariate dual change score analysis. *Psychol Aging*. 2019;34(1):152-162. https://doi.org/10.1037/ pag0000287.
- Okely JA, Deary IJ. Longitudinal associations between loneliness and cognitive ability in the Lothian Birth Cohort 1936. J Gerontol B Psychol Sci Soc Sci. 2019;74(8):1376-1386. https://doi.org/10.1093/geronb/ gby086.
- Zhong B-L, Chen S-L, Tu X, Conwell Y. Loneliness and cognitive function in older adults: findings from the Chinese Longitudinal Healthy Longevity Survey. *J Gerontol B Psychol Sci Soc Sci.* 2017;72(1):120-128. https://doi.org/10.1093/geronb/gbw037.
- King BM, Carr DC, Taylor MG. Loneliness following widowhood: the role of the military and social support. *J Gerontol B Psychol Sci Soc Sci*. 2020;gbz164. https://doi.org/10.1093/geronb/gbz164. [Epub ahead of print].
- Zhou Z, Wang P, Fang Y. Loneliness and the risk of dementia among older Chinese adults: gender differences. Aging Ment Health. 2018;22 (4):519-525. https://doi.org/10.1080/13607863.2016.1277976.
- Holwerda TJ, Deeg DJH, Beekman ATF, et al. Feelings of loneliness, but not social isolation, predict dementia onset: results from the Amsterdam Study of the Elderly (AMSTEL). J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry. 2014;85(2):135-142. https://doi.org/10.1136/jnnp-2012-302755.
- Peerenboom L, Collard RM, Naarding P, Comijs HC. The association between depression and emotional and social loneliness in older persons and the influence of social support, cognitive functioning and personality: a cross-sectional study. *J Affect Disord*. 2015;182:26-31. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2015.04.033.
- d'Oleire Uquillas F, Jacobs HIL, Biddle KD, et al. Regional tau pathology and loneliness in cognitively normal older adults. *Transl Psychiatry*. 2018;8(1):282. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41398-018-0345-x.
- Donovan NJ, Okereke OI, Vannini P, et al. Association of higher cortical amyloid burden with loneliness in cognitively normal older adults. JAMA Psychiatry. 2016;73(12):1230-1237. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2016.2657.
- Fokkema T, De Jong GJ, Dykstra PA. Cross-national differences in older adult loneliness. *J Psychol.* 2012;146(1-2):201-228. https://doi. org/10.1080/00223980.2011.631612.

How to cite this article: Luchetti M, Terracciano A, Aschwanden D, Lee JH, Stephan Y, Sutin AR. Loneliness is associated with risk of cognitive impairment in the Survey of Health, Ageing and Retirement in Europe. *Int J Geriatr Psychiatry*. 2020;35:794–801. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1002/gps.5304">https://doi.org/10.1002/gps.5304</a>