



CHARLATAN PROTECTORS   DEPRAVITY   FEAR   LOGIC FAULT   MATRIX   PARACOSM   SOCIETAL DIVISION

STATE ACTORS   SUFFER   SYSTEM FAILS

# Criminal Profiling Is Pseudoscience, Individuals Targeted Cannot Clinically Defend Themselves


👤 sixie6e   📅 July 8, 2023   ✎ Edit

Remember the Salem Witch Trials? They *also* studied human behavior and found it met their teachings' requirements for being something it actually, existentially wasn't. People were hanged, pressed to death, or died in jail. Someone profiled them and reported to the robed/badged authority. Remember those animal trials up until the 18th century? Animals were accused of crimes, based on whatever that era's idea of morality was. That is frightening. Many Americans genuinely think the current robed/badged authorities don't *also* do irrational, horrific things to members of the public, sending them to unnecessary deaths. Reading history is important but applying it to current times is even more so. American courts are repulsive. The State is depraved.



indifferently. Its representatives and enforcers are disgusting creatures that only care for image, revenue & control, neither of which create a healthy society. Some of them actually think they are *doing the right thing* and helping construct a better society for everyone. In their State indoctrinated minds, even though their lives are miserable and bound in fear, they think the world existing as they do is the best way for everyone. Using police to enforce things that have nothing to do with resource conservation /distribution, using police to modify human behavior to adapt to an irrational corporate construct, using police to enforce social division...no atrocities lie that way, huh?

As forced citizens of this corporate, nationalist heap, people are forced to compete for basic needs, then many get called criminals for the tried and true resulting behaviors. Most crimes are economy or coping based. [Forced, constant social interaction](#). Slaves and their stuff, their baubles and trinkets, their chemical dependencies. Society is centered around it and makes existence misery for most. Most behaviors are only what they are because people are forced to exist a certain way by the corporate State. Were they not, their behaviors and the general behaviors of the species would be very different. People today think they are not slaves because they have those baubles & trinkets. Slaves were allowed to have things, even own a house (except legally the State/massa still owned it on paper). Sound familiar? The word '*crime*' should be limited to genuine, existential depravities. It isn't. It is anything wealthy suits dictate. It is any form of social strife they can profit from. Stop valuing trinkets and others won't want them either. So many people valuing stupid things makes those things valuable to other stupid people. Let's use breaking and entering as an example. Do you think someone is more inclined to break into your home if you didn't value garbage and you're there ready or if you *do* value garbage and they know they just have to get away before the nationalist, militant, system enforcers get there?





*roughly 19% of violent crime convictions are overturned*

Stereos(Greek, solid), typos(Greek, impression). You get a solid impression of something but it isn't actually or necessarily that thing. This is a dangerous, common behavior that when applied to humans, leads to dehumanization eventually arriving at the extermination destination. Profiling people based on geography? Nothing xenophobic, oppressive, or unjust ever happens doing that does it? With '*criminal*' profilers, it gives police permission to *still* profile, just by proxy and instead of by appearances only, it's without seeing the person *at all*. Some law enforcement wordplay suits try to muddy the waters trying to say [biased profiling and criminal profiling are different](#). Many of the same behaviors exhibited by people are often the result of different circumstances, causes, and aren't representative of a specific thing...unless the State is trying to make the symptoms meet the diagnosis *which is necessary for law enforcement to maintain its violent, obscene authority*. That and 95% of people accepting plea deals. Police do not see details of a situation and say, "Oh, that's crime x, y, or z." Police see details of a situation and say, "How can this be written so it's a more severe crime by Masters' wording?"

If your frame of reference is doctrine-bound(religion, nationalism, etc) then your analyses and resulting conclusions are suspect. It was previously thought by '*experts*' that similar crimes are committed by similar offenders. How many innocent people were booked under this, when now it has been proven outdated and not reliable? When will the current *experts*' garbage be refuted by some new advance in technology(on the backs of cheap labor hourly employees, of course)?

**70. Lying with Statistics:** The contemporary fallacy of misusing true figures and numbers to "prove" unrelated claims. (e.g. "In real terms, attending college has never been cheaper than it is now. When expressed as a percentage of the national debt, the cost of getting a college education is actually far less today than it was back in 1965!"). A corrupted argument from logos, often preying on the public's perceived or actual mathematical ignorance. This includes the **Tiny Percentage Fallacy**, that an amount or action that is quite significant in and of itself somehow becomes insignificant simply because it's a tiny percentage of something much larger. E.g., the arbitrary arrest, detention or interception of "only" a few hundred legally-boarded international travelers as a tiny percentage of the tens of thousands who normally arrive. Under this same fallacy a consumer who would choke on spending an extra dollar for two cans of peas will typically ignore \$50 extra on the price of a car or \$1000 extra on the price of a house simply because these differences are "only" a tiny percentage of the much larger amount being spent. Historically, sales taxes or value-added taxes (VAT) have successfully gained public acceptance and remain "under the radar" because of this latter fallacy, even though amounting to hundreds or thousands of dollars a year in extra tax burden. See also Half-truth, the Snow Job, and the Red Herring.

\*and related, but false claims

The State regularly does a dirty thing called Lying With Statistics. They use factual data to paint a false narrative to get those that beg politicians to solve social issues. to consent to things that aren't

good for them. Something being accurate some of the time is not accurate. Note that most criminal profilers are reluctant to participate in studies of the accuracy of criminal profiling. People that work for the State maintain their employment by justifying it. If there's no problem, who needs a solution? Analyzing data and forming conclusions? Most people that work for the State are religious nationalists. Why are you trusting severely irrational people to write their skewed perceptions of potential police targets in legal paperwork? Because it allows you to keep clocking in for basic needs while consuming corporate snacks & media. After scribbling their usually flawed perceptions of someone else's reality, they hand that garbage over to militant nationalists that are ready to use violence at any and all times enforcing it. If the paperwork contains anything about you that even resembles physical action, you are a threat and police are justified in whatever they do. Legislative wording does not stop police from being paranoid, categorical thinkers. Anyone that is not them is a potential threat.

Some putz grows up in the suburbs never experiencing real crime. Crime is a concept that only exists "*over there*." This buttass either pays for a license or goes in debt for life for one. Either way, there is no guarantee in any academic institution that the person that passed their exam is not going to profile people *incorrectly*. Just me saying 'incorrectly' implies there is a correct way to profile. There isn't, except not at all. Human instinct is categorical thought. Just because something is instinctual does not mean it should be. Humans love to pick and choose when they define themselves by primitive/base instincts of the animal kingdom. It is almost always when trying to justify social garbage. i.e. "*A man's got needs!*" knowing full well he could cum without an orifice of another person's body. Are we evolved beings, intellectual wonders that don't let base urges control us or are we just excellent at manipulating and destroying the environment while making copies of ourselves to continue doing so? That buttass putz, now considered a fucking scholar by the stupid, writes legal reports about others. About their belongings. About their handwriting/letters. [Legal reports with real world consequences for that individual](#). It has a State seal on it. The individual cannot write a refutation to this State garbage and have it attached or get its own State seal on it. Years later, the State sanctioned spin artist's words are pulled up and used against the individual again for some future State endeavor.

Those written reports are recited and defended in court by those that wrote them. *Experts*. In a pseudoscience. Psychology has been an ever-evolving '*field*' yet look how many permanent decisions are made based on it. Integrating it with law enforcement? This is just more authoritarian subjugation of the public, [State abuse of psychiatry](#).

Also, just for further reading fun:

results suggest that, animals with hyperserotonemia may exhibit social avoidance as an adaptive behavioral strategy to mitigate stress associated with crowding environments, which may also be relevant to psychiatric disorder such as autism spectrum disorder.

<https://www.nature.com/articles/s41598-018-21353-6>

ARTICLE

## SOCIAL INTERACTION AS A POPULATION-REGULATING MECHANISM IN MICE

Author: [E. D. Bailey](#) | [AUTHORS INFO & AFFILIATIONS](#)

Publication: Canadian Journal of Zoology November 1966 <https://doi.org/10.1139/z66-105>

12

GET ACCESS

### Abstract

Male laboratory mice were isolated for 3 weeks after weaning before being placed in groups of 1, 2, 4, 8, 16, and 32 animals. The groups were placed into cages of increasing size; so space per mouse remained constant. Indicator organs were weighed to determine the effect of the increasing number of social interactions. Weights of spleen and adrenal glands showed that hypertrophy increased as the number of possible social interactions increased. Testes development was inhibited by an increased number of possible social interactions. Populations may be regulated by increasing the number of social contacts without decreasing the space per animal.

<https://cdnsiencepub.com/doi/abs/10.1139/z66-105>

← Fireworks Are Stupid, You're Stupid

Social Regression, Planetary Degradation, & Suggested Preventative Methods →

