

by

Ed Law, Ph.D. Senior Engineering Specialist Chrysler LLC

Lead Mentor and Coach FIRST Robotics Team 2834



Outline

Background

Quick Tutorial in Matrix Algebra

Review of a method of ranking teams

Proposed new method of ranking teams

Features of the Scouting Database

Enhancements since last year



Background

Purpose of the scouting database


Software platform used

Improve how teams are ranked to help in alliance selection



Quick Tutorial in Matrices

entries), which may be numbers or, more generally, any abstract quantities that In mathematics, a matrix (plural matrices) is a rectangular table of elements (or can be added and multiplied. Matrices are commonly used to describe linear



columns. A matrix with m rows and n columns is called an m-by-n matrix (written The horizontal lines in a matrix are called rows and the vertical lines are called m x n) and m and n are called its dimensions. The dimensions of a matrix are always given with the number of rows first, then the number of columns.



Quick Tutorial in Matrices

Matrix addition

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & 2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 5 \\ 7 & 5 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1+0 & 3+0 & 1+5 \\ 1+7 & 0+5 & 0+0 \\ 1+2 & 2+1 & 2+1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & 6 \\ 8 & 5 & 0 \\ 3 & 3 & 3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Matrix multiplication

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 2 \\ -1 & 3 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} (1 \times 3 + 0 \times 2 + 2 \times 1) & (1 \times 1 + 0 \times 1 + 2 \times 0) \\ (-1 \times 3 + 3 \times 2 + 1 \times 1) & (-1 \times 1 + 3 \times 1 + 1 \times 0) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 1 \\ 4 & 2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

$$2 \times 3 \qquad 3 \times 2$$

$$\begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0 & 2 \\
-1 & 3 & 1
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
(1 \times 3 + 0 \times 2 + 2 \times 1) & (1 \times 1 + 0 \times 1 + 2 \times 0) \\
(-1 \times 3 + 3 \times 2 + 1 \times 1) & (-1 \times 1 + 3 \times 1 + 1 \times 0)
\end{bmatrix}$$



Quick Tutorial in Matrices

The following is a system of equations with two equations and two unknowns.

$$2 x + 5 y = 16$$

$$x + 3 y = 9$$

This can be rewritten in matrix form

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 5 \\ 1 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{cases} x \\ y \end{cases} = \begin{bmatrix} 16 \\ 9 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\begin{cases} x \\ y \end{cases} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & -5 \\ -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 16 \\ 9 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$$



Offensive Power Rating

("sw293") in his April 2006 posting. I think he first coined this term OPR and explained how it is calculated in the Chief Delphi post: From the Chief Delphi forum, the earliest I found the use of the http://www.chiefdelphi.com/forums/showpost.php?p=484220&postcount=19 term Offensive Power Rating (OPR) was by Scott Weingart

Karthik Kanagasabapathy from Team 1114 did the same calculation and called it Calculated Contribution. He first published it in 2008.

implemented the calculation of OPR from "sw293" and published a lot of results on Chief Delphi before the Championship in 2008. "Bongle" from Team 2702 and Guy Davidson from Team 8



How to Calculate OPR?

Assume team i, j and k are three teams in an alliance and they scored p points in that match. Then we can write

$$x_i + x_j + x_k = p$$
, where x_i is the score contributed by team i

Assume team i played with team m and n in another alliance and they score q points in that match. Then we can write

$$y_{i} + x_{m} + x_{n} = q$$

If we add all the matches that team i was involved in, we get


$$2x_i + x_j + x_k + x_m + x_n = p + q = B_i$$

number of teams in that regional, and repeat that for each team, If we put them in row i of an N x N matrix A, where N is the total we get



How to Calculate OPR?

$$2x_i + x_j + x_k + x_m + x_n = p + q = B_i$$



$$[A]\{x\} = \{B\}$$



How to Calculate OPR?

contribution of each team to each of their alliance. The number is Since the matrix A is symmetric and positive definite, we can use Cholesky decomposition to solve for x. The result x is the known as the Offensive Power Rating of each team.



A Proposed New Method

The drawback of the Offensive Power Rating is that it completely ignores the contribution of defense. Jay Lundy from Team 254 http://www.chiefdelphi.com/forums/showpost.php?p=733759&postcount=160 has proposed another method that takes into account both defense and offense. Please refer to Chief Delphi post

However it will result in a rectangular matrix which is harder to solve. Also the offense and defense numbers may be hard to interpret. Hence I am proposing a new method that takes into account both offense and defense directly and still have a symmetric and positive definite matrix.



A Proposed New Method

each match rather than the points scored. So instead of adding up all the points of all the matches and put into B_i, you add up all the Once you understand how to calculate OPR, it is fairly simple to calculate this new rating. It is based on the winning margin of winning margins and put into B_i. I call this new rating CCWM which simply stands for Calculated Contribution to Winning Margin.

Notice that some teams have negative CCWM and if you add up all the CCWM of all the teams in the regional, you will get zero.



A Proposed New Method

work is if your team is allowed to intentionally score points for your games where your team's Match Ranking Points is based on your opposing alliance's score, this should still be valid since you want unless there is a very big lead and you know you will win for sure. However in a two minutes game, after establishing a big lead, the amount of time left to intentionally score points for your opponent to score as many points as possible. The only time it does not This CCWM gives credit to teams that play good defense. In opponents' alliance. Even so, this does not occur very often is limited.



Comparison between OPR and CCWM

Using 2008 Regional data, I found that CCWM is as good as OPR in terms of predicting the outcome of the elimination matches.

teams selected as alliances even though there are many factors CCWM seems to correlate better than OPR in terms of actual that affect how teams are selected.

play defense, the first pick should probably be one who can score For a game like the one in 2008 where there are only two balls to as many points as possible. Hence OPR can be a good criteria. For the second pick, I think that using CCWM will have a better chance than using OPR to unearth a gem that is overlooked by hurdle and the third team can either run laps to score points or other teams.

My conclusion is OPR and CCWM both have advantages and disadvantages. It depends on the game and how the match ranking points are scored.



A Note on DPR and PMR

These were proposed by a number of people but made popular by At around the same time that I developed CCWM, other people Power Rating and PMR which stands for Plus/Minus Rating. have proposed calculating DPR which stands for Defensive "Bongle".

DPR is calculated similar to OPR except the vector B is the sum scores. PMR can be calculated by subtracting DPR from OPR. of all the opposing alliances' scores instead of your alliances'

Jesse Knight of Team 1885 was the first to notice that CCWM and PMR are numerically identical and he verified it with his program. Subsequently, I published a proof why they are numerically the

http://www.chiefdelphi.com/forums/showpost.php?p=835222&postcount=48

Hence DPR = OPR - CCWM



The Interpretation of OPR

the field, good things happen to that alliance meaning high score. OPR does not predict what a team (robot and human player) can team that has high OPR score means that every time they are on score. It is the calculated contribution by that team on average to all the matches they were involved in to their alliance partners. A Some of the possibilities are:

- 1) their robot score a lot of points
- 2) their human player score a lot of points
- their presence allow their alliance partners to score a lot of points which they don't normally do as well.
- 4) they have on average stronger partners and weaker opponents by the luck of the draw than other teams.

A low OPR is just the opposite.



The Interpretation of CCWM

CCWM is the calculated contribution to the winning margins of the matches the team was involved in. A negative CCWM means the team is a liability to their partners. A team with negative CCWM should not be picked as alliance partners.

A team that has high CCWM means that every time they are on the field, good things happen to that alliance and in this case it means winning by a big margin. Some of the possibilities are:

- 1) they score more points on others than others score on them defense or help pin an opposing robot so their alliance partner others than others score on them. This could be from playing 2) their presence allow their alliance to score more points on can score more.
- 3) they do not incur much penalties.
- 4) they have on average stronger partners and weaker opponents by the luck of the draw than other teams.



and familiar with that format. Underneath that skin, everything was format with Karthik's database from Team 1114. I chose to make it look and feel similar not just because Karthik did a good job in designing it. I did it because a lot of people are already using it The user interface of the database I developed looks similar in developed independently. Here are a number of differences.

- 1) The color scheme is changed to blue because it is our school color.
- 2) The pick order in the alliance selection is calculated instead of relying on information from teams who were there to minimize error.
- performance instead of multiple world ranking based on multiple regional 3) Each team can have only one world ranking based on their best
- 4) A picture is added instead of information on other awards.
- 5) Both CCWM and OPR are reported.
- 6) Also contains sortable table of results of all teams that can be filtered.



Karthik Kanagasabapathy (Team 1114) – 2008 version

leam Number 21/	Full Name	Ford M	otor Company/F,	ANUC Robo	tics America/E	3&K Corporatio	Ford Motor Company/FANUC Robotics America/B&K Corporation & Utica Community Schools	nunity Schools	
•	Nick Name	Thunde	ThunderChickens						
-	Location	MI, USA	4						
Enter the team number	Division	Galileo							
here. Do not modify any	Regional	_ &	St Louis Regional			Awards 1	GM Industrial Design Award	Design Award	
ornel cells.	Regional 2	Detroit	Detroit Regional						
	Regional 3	Great	Great Lakes Regional						
	Finish 1	Region	Regional Winner						
	Finish 2	Region	Regional Winner			Awards 2	GM Industrial Design Award	Jesign Award	
	Finish 3	Finalist							
	Record 1		5-2-1						
	Record 2		8-2-0						
	Record 3	-	5-3-0			Awards 3	GM Industrial Design Award	Design Award	
	Seed 1	-	88.9%						
	Seed 2		3 93.8%						
	Seed 3								
	Draft Position	m 1 1st pick	-						
	Draft Position 2	m2 1st pick	¥			111			
	Draft Position 3	nn 3 1st pick							
,		Team	m Regional	Regional Rank	Regional Percentile	Champs Rank	Champs	World	World
	Average Offensive Score	re 1 57.	1 41.4	۵	88.9%	96	81.9%	127	93.5%
	Average Offensive Score 2	14	0 443	-	100.0%	8	94 9%	83	98.5%
	Average Offensive Score 3	re 3 77.8	1	w	93.7%	Ξ	98.1%	11	99.5%
	Calculated Contribution	31.5	+	ce	945 6%	83	84 6%	183	%4 Z V6
10	Calculated Contribution 2	2	14.8		100.0%	23	95.8%	24	98.8%
	Calculated Contribution 3			*	100 n%	σ	08.5%	o	700 CO



Team Number	Full Name	Ford Motor Company/FANUC		s America/B&	K Corporation & Ution	Robotics America/B&K Corporation & Utica Community Schools	slo	
217	Nickname	ThunderChickens						1.
	Location	Sterling Heights, MI	M, USA		Division	Galileo		
4	Regional 1	StLouis				***		
	Regional 2							The same
Enter the team	Regional 3	GreatLakes					1	1000
number here.	Regional 4	l Galileo		Ħ				
	Finish 1							
	Finish 2	Regional Winner						-
	Finish 3	Finalist			A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR			THE REAL PROPERTY AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO PERSON NAME
	Finish 4	Regional Winner			Y		TO MAKE STATE	The same of the sa
	Record 1	(6-2-1)				1	10 TH 10 TH	
	Record 2	(8-2-0)			-		- Institute	
	Record 3	(6-3-0)						
	Record 4	(5-2-0)					į	
	Seed 1	6 of 45			100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100		1	
	Seed 2	3 of 32			Section of the last		4	No. of Street, or other Persons and the street, or other persons are street, or other persons and the street, or other persons and the street, or other persons and the street, or other persons are street, or other persons and the street, or other persons are street, or other persons and the street, or other persons are street, or other persons and the street, or other persons are street, or other persons and the street, or other persons are street, or other persons and the street, or other persons are street, or other persons and the street, or other persons are street, or other persons and the street, or other persons are street, or other persons and the street, or other persons are street, or other persons ar
	Seed 3	16 of 63				1		
	Seed 4	12 of 86			THE PERSON NAMED IN	Contract of the last		4
	Alliance 1	#1 pick			STATE OF THE PERSON NAMED IN			100
	Alliance 2	#1 pick					7	-
	Alliance 3	#1 or 16 pick			-			は一日
	Alliance 4	#1 or 16 pick						
T)		Assessed	Colombatad	COMM			dao	
CCWM World Rank		Average Winning Margin Per Match	Contribution to Winning Margin	Regional Rank	Average Score Per Match	Offensive Power Rating	OPK Regional Rank	OPR World Rank
23	Regional 1	7.3	26.7	3	19.0	31.6	60	11
27	Regional 2	10.8	37.1	-	23.3	44.0		1
out of	Regional 3	7.9	23.6	4	25.9	203	•	out of
1498	Regional 4	1 4.7	6.3	33	27.0	32.8	17	1498



Newton	١	ß			23						45								47				8	8						22						72	П	П	
oalileƏ	Þ	ဖြွ					ব						6							ജ				-									ω					ঠ	
einuO	Þ	졍		-						유							9					L	g					9							54		T	\top	
SebemidorA	Þ	l	Ξ						4					46							22	T	T		ی			T		T				23			T	\exists	칙
ИемҮогк		졍																			5		T		T		ফ	T		T					П	П	\top	\forall	
puejsjbuog	_	φ θ													7							+	+		+		•	+									\dashv	+	_
eidqləbslid 	_	4																				+	+					+	+								\pm	+	_
Palmetto	_	л 9																				+	+	+	+			+									+	+	_
stosenniM ettermien	_	귫																				+	+	+				+	+								+	+	_
segeVseJ ctoooggiM	_	42		m			5												CC CC			+	+	+	+			+	+	9				Н	H		+	+	_
	_	37		(,,			-						7						ω			+	+	+	\vdash			+	+	-					Н		+	\dashv	_
iisweH	_	98											42	_								+	+	+	+			+	-					Н			\dashv	\dashv	_
otnoroT	_													01								+	-	+	-			+	+	-			_		_	00	\dashv	*	_
Стеатьакея	_	8												42			ස					_	Ċ	ñ	-			+	-			ΨD	m	8	₹	N	'	24	_
Colorado		42																				4	_	-	-			_	-		_						_	_	_
notsoB		25									Ж									몺		4	_	+					_		83						4	_	
novea		\$								뽔								73				_	_		00			4									_	_	
lsrael		မ္က								Ш													1			Ш										Ш	\perp	\Box	
IMtseW		g															33																	5				8	ic.
oohateVV		R																																		6			
Sacramental	▶	8																																					
Oklahoma		ရွ														12																							
frosoroiM	Þ	듄																																		П	\top	\exists	
sələbu\/so7	Þ	둢		ې																																		\exists	
Гопезтаг		φ																										7									\top	\top	
Вискеуе		δ																				+	+	83				1									\top	\forall	_
Wisconsin		8																				+	+	+				+	+								\pm	+	_
YəllsVnooili2	-	魯					~															+	+	+	+			+	+								+	+	_
		Ж М					<u> </u>															+	+	4	+			+	+			rģ					+	+	_
grudstti9																		m				+	+	4	-			+	-			74					\dashv	\dashv	_
Peachtree		- 8										"						73			_	+	-	-	-			-	-									\dashv	_
Florida		<u>6</u>										16		0.1								+	٠.		_		7	+	7	-							92	4	_
tionteQ		8	×		ব									12								_	6	7	9			4	_	-					Ш		\dashv	4	_
Connecticut	_	8																				4	_	_				_	_		27						_	_	
Сћеѕареаке	_	8				ব			23													4				무											_		
lizerB	_	Ω																																					
Воіјегтакег		台																				3	77																
SanDiego	Þ	64 49																																					
Acn	Þ	졍																																			П		
Капѕаѕ	Þ	Ŕ																																		П	\top	\exists	
 ЕіпдетLакеs	Þ	å									8												\uparrow										石		₽	9	\top	\forall	_
xinoəd9		ß									Ť								용				\dagger					5		22				П	Ħ	П	\top	\forall	
siuo_12	Þ	\$	4							Н									. /			9	₹					+	+	, 4				П		Н	\dashv	27	_
nogenO -: I4O	•	년 (왕	,-				0			Н													+			Н	H	+	+			Н				Н	+	+	_
МемЈегѕеу Оторого	•	8					+		m	Н			Ж								74	+	+		+	Н	\dashv	+	+			H			Н	Н	+	+	_
	-	2 6						23	. ,	8			m				ব				C.4	+	+	5		H	\dashv	+	+			Н			Н	\vdash	\dashv	\dashv	22
tsewbiM	•	48						2		7							24			LΩ		뒥	+	10	1	Н	\vdash	٧,	_	-		H		Н	H	H	+	\dashv	C
BA8	+	₹	.⊑		0		8		.⊑		,0		8	.⊑						62		7	a	2 2	3 .⊑	H	\vdash		5	0		H	8	.⊑		0	\dashv	핆	.⊑
qidanoiqmed noisiviQ			27.7 Archin	2.9 Curie	25.0 Newto		13.1 Galiled		41.1 Archin	39.7 Curie	44.9 Newto		48.8 Galiled	46.3 Archin			38.6 Curie		52.7 Newto	49.7 Galiled	31.3 Archin	-	34.5 Curie	20.4 Newto	9.5 Archin			20.7 Curie		22.1 Newto			29.7 Galiled	23.1 Archin	64.2 Curie	23.0 Newto		27.2 Galiled	44.0 Archin
	•		< <	0	6	3.7	1	w	₹.	<u>۲</u>	<u>6</u>	C.i	ω, Ο	ω. ∢	rύ	πi	9	œί	<u> </u>	<u>ا</u>	ωi ⊲r	ا د	ပ် <u>န</u> ၁၂၁	4 rc	10	ω	o.	<u>ا</u> ر	= -	-	C.i	o.i	<u>ا</u>	₹.	2	6	-	딁	□
A90 tes8			27	C/i	83	m	5	23.3	4	8	4		8	₽	C,	Ξ.	æ	12	23	6	턴	13.9	8 8	8 8	6	12	20.9	티	31.U				8	R	8		41.1	23	7
	•	+	161	1242	197	1208	935	243	4	45	8	474	9	24	ည်		5		5	9	107	က္က	≅ Ł		82			g;	2 2	267	135	1142	127	246	m	250	육 :	172	m
OPR Rank		SO	-	12	-	12	٥	2				ব			7	7		ω			-	സ		10	100	00	54	, (c.)	- 2	2	_	Ξ	-	CA		7	.	-	
Team #	Þ	ort	-	4	w	^	00	0	Ξ	9	8	7	22	27	8	ы	8	8	8	4	౼	4 ;	& t	4 8	5	S	යි	3 2	3 8	8	6	8	8	8	2	8	8	2	7
	•	Ø		œ	공	<u>т</u>	Je.	8	0	ò	Je	ò	. <u>e</u>	or or	<u>.</u> g	·Ξ	Ē	ö	e e	ğ,	œ.	ব্	0 3 0 3	= a	; 공	9	윙	8.	_ j j	.0	Έ	Je Je	Je	je e	e e	m	<u>т</u>	₫	e
- Міскпате - Міскпате	•		Por The The	Lak Mill Tea	Mel For Rot	Bal Loc Tea	Pal TIB The	Chi Roc Roc	Flai Giv: MO	Moi Bay Bor	Cliff Hos The	Titu ASI Cor	Nor Bris Rai	Cla The Tea	SagPIEMis	Jen AEl Prir	Aut The Kill	Ath Cor Rod	Gill Ger The	Marinte Che	Wa AN, Wa	HucDar P.A	Kol-Del Tec	Ma Del Del	SacDowRot	Gre Ele Are	Bou Eth Rok	HouExy Leo	Sou Fall Rio Mia Mia Rar	Kin Lan Bio	Upt EM Shi	Erie GE The	Por GM The	Yps Ger The	MilfGerThe	Por Ger T3	Qui P& Tea	God Ger Mor	ea Tr
Team Name	, ,		È	Σ	년 8	큹	Ē	Œ.	9	ĕ	Į.	Ą	ĕ	Ė	핗	n A	벌	<u>ٽ</u>	<u>ق</u>	⊒. ज	رة ح	ğ.	آ ک ک		ĮĞ	ίθ Π	핕	Щı	ت ک ح ک	۳	買	Θ	ğ	Ő	Ó	Ő	띜	읭	HarBeaTea
Location	•				_	ä		ਠ	ΙĒ	ž		Ĕ	ž		ഗ്	9	-	₹			≩	\rightarrow			_	Õ	ă	Ĭ	йΣ	Z	ž	ш						\rightarrow	
sniW to #	•		0	0	0		0		-	-	7		-	0			0		7	7	-	0	-		0	Ш		-		-			0	0	-	0	'	0	



Enhancements

- Different ways to report rank data (available)
- Match Query with customized fields (beta test)
- Alliance Selection (beta test)
- Scouting List (available if there is interest)