## Static admissibility #2

**Question:** We consider a prismatic domain with a Carthesian coordinate system  $(\underline{O}, \underline{e_1}, \underline{e_2}, \underline{e_3})$ .

The domain is clamped over the plane (z=0). The top surface is subjected to a uniform density of tractions (intensity T about direction  $\underline{e}_1$ ). The other surfaces are free of traction and body forces are neglected.

**Question:** Write all the equations defining static admissibility for  $\underline{\sigma}$  and expand them.

**Answer:** Find  $\underline{\underline{\sigma}}$  such that  $\underline{\underline{\sigma}} = T \underline{\underline{\sigma}}$ ,  $\forall n \in \Omega$ 

Interior equilibrium equation:

$$\underline{\operatorname{div}}\underline{\sigma} = \underline{0}, \forall m \in \Omega \tag{1}$$

Boundary equilibrium equation:

$$\underline{\sigma} \cdot \underline{n} = \underline{0}, \forall n \in S_1^- \cup S_1^+ \cup S_2^- \cup S_2^+ \tag{2}$$

$$\underline{\sigma} \cdot \underline{n} = T \cdot \underline{e}_1, \forall n \in S_3^+ \tag{3}$$

Expand:

Interior equilibrium equation:

$$\sigma_{11,1} + \sigma_{12,2} + \sigma_{13,3} = 0, (1a)$$

$$\sigma_{21,1} + \sigma_{22,2} + \sigma_{23,3} = 0, (1b)$$

$$\sigma_{31,1} + \sigma_{32,2} + \sigma_{33,3} = 0, (1c)$$
(4)

Boundary equilibrium equation:

$$\sigma_{11}(0, y, z) = 0$$

$$S_1^-: \sigma_{21}(0, y, z) = 0$$

$$\sigma_{31}(0, y, z) = 0$$
(5)

$$\sigma_{11}(L, y, z) = 0 
S_1^+: \sigma_{21}(L, y, z) = 0 
\sigma_{31}(L, y, z) = 0$$
(6)

$$\sigma_{12}(x, 0, z) = 0$$

$$S_2^-: \sigma_{22}(x, 0, z) = 0$$

$$\sigma_{32}(x, 0, z) = 0$$
(7)

$$\sigma_{12}(x, L, z) = 0 
S_2^+: \sigma_{22}(x, L, z) = 0 
\sigma_{32}(x, L, z) = 0$$
(8)

$$\sigma_{13}(x, y, L) = T 
S_3^+: \sigma_{23}(x, y, L) = 0 
\sigma_{33}(x, y, L) = 0$$
(9)

Question: Clearly define the set of of statically admissible stress fields,  $S^{ad}$ .

**Answer:** All stress field that satisfy the condition of the first question are  $S^{ad}$ .

Question: Can the following stress field be a viable solution for the problem:

$$\sigma_{13} = \sigma_{31} = T \quad ; \quad \sigma_{ij} = 0 \quad otherwise$$
 (10)

**Answer:** It doesn't satisfy the boundary condition in the face  $S_1^-$  and  $S_1^+$ , so it can't be a viable solution for this problem.




Figure 1: Sketch of the problem